

**Robert Wood Johnson** | **RWJBarnabas**  
**University Hospital** **HEALTH**  
**Hamilton**

**COMMUNITY HEALTH  
NEEDS ASSESSMENT**

**ROBERT WOOD JOHNSON  
UNIVERSITY HOSPITAL HAMILTON**

**2018**

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The following partners led the Robert Wood Johnson University Hospital Hamilton (RWJUH Hamilton) Community Health Needs Assessment:

### **RWJUH HAMILTON HOSPITAL EXECUTIVES AND SENIOR TEAM**

- Richard Freeman, President & CEO
- Diane Grillo, VP Health Promotion
- Seth Rosenbaum, CMO
- Lisa Breza, CNO
- Joan Becari, VP Quality
- Matt Benjamin, AVP Surgical & Clinical Services
- Tonya Davis, AVP Cancer Service Line
- Jan Stout, AVP Risk Management

### **GREATER MERCER PUBLIC HEALTH PARTNERSHIP**

The RWJUH Hamilton Community Needs Assessment was developed in conjunction with the Greater Mercer Public Health Partnership Board and Membership Organization, and under the leadership of its Project Director, Carol Nicholas, BA, RN.

### **GMPHP Board Members**

- |   |  |
|---|--|
| • Mary Jo Abbondanza, St. Francis Medical Center                | • Yvette Graffie Cooper, Health Officer, Trenton Health Department     |
| • Karen Buda, Community Member                                  | • Darlene Hanley, St. Lawrence Rehabilitation Center                   |
| • Stephanie Carey, Health Officer, Hopewell/Pennington Borough  | • Evelyn Hawrylak, St. Francis Medical Center                          |
| • Carol Chamberlain, Health Officer, Lawrence Health Department | • Barbara Johnson, Community Member                                    |
| • Jeremye Cohen, Capital Health Medical Center                  | • Jane Millner, St. Lawrence Rehabilitation Center                     |
| • Peter Crowley, Community Member                               | • Steve Papenberg, Community Member                                    |
| • Ann Dorocki, Mercer County Human Services                     | • Jeff Plunkett, Health Officer, Hamilton Health Department            |
| • Robert English, Health Officer, Hopewell Health Department    | • Kristen Reed, Ewing Health Department/Mercer County                  |
| • Diane Grillo, RWJ Hamilton, GMPHP President                   | • Lauren Stabinsky, RWJ Hamilton                                       |
| • Jeff Grosser, Health Officer, Princeton Health Department     | • Jill Swanson, West Windsor/Hightstown/Robbinsville Health Department |
| • Giovanna Guarraggi, Hamilton Health Department                |  |

## RWJ BARNABAS HEALTH COMMUNITY HEALTH NEEDS ASSESSMENT STEERING COMMITTEE

The RWJ Barnabas Health CHNA Steering Committee oversees the 2018-2019 CNA process to update Hospitals CNAs and create new Implementation/Community Health Improvement Plans. The key tasks of the Steering Committee include:

- Oversight and guidance of CHNA implementation plan development
- Review facility implementation/health improvement plans and results
- Review of suggested priorities for facility implementation planning
- Share strategies and best practices

Members of the RWJ Barnabas Health CHNA Steering Committee include:

- Jen Velez, Executive Vice President, Community and Behavioral Health, Committee Chair
- Michellene Davis, Executive Vice President, Corporate Affairs
- Bryan Soltes, System Vice President, Network Development, Oncology Services
- Connie Greene, Behavioral Health/Preventive Care
- Joseph Jaeger, DrPH, Chief Academic Officer
- Barbara Mintz, Senior Vice President, Health and Wellness
- Jessica Israel, M.D., Corporate Chair, Geriatrics
- Michael Knecht, Corporate Vice President, Strategic Messaging and Marketing
- Ernani Sadural, M.D., Director of Global Health for Barnabas Health
- Richard Henwood, Vice President, Finance
- Tamara Cunningham, Vice President, System Development/Planning
- Hospital Representatives:
  - Darrell K. Terry, Sr., MHA, MPH, FACHE, President and CEO, Newark Beth Israel Medical Center (Designee: Kim Cook, Director, Community Relations & Volunteer Services)
  - Frank Mazarella, M.D., Chief Medical Officer, Clara Maass Medical Center (Designee: Fran Monteleone, Director, Physician Relations and Community Outreach)
  - Judy Colorado, Chief Nursing Officer and Vice President of Patient Care Services, Monmouth Medical Center Southern Campus
  - Anna Burian, Vice President of Ambulatory Care Services, Monmouth Medical Center (Designee: Jean McKinney, Community Education Department)
  - Teri Kubiel, DNP, Vice President, Patient Experience and Community Affairs
  - Shari Beirne, Director of Marketing and Patient Satisfaction, Barnabas Health Behavioral Health Center
  - Serena Collado, Director, Community Health, Robert Wood Johnson University Hospital Somerset
  - Lauren Stabinsky, Director Community & Corporate Health, Robert Wood Johnson University Hospital Hamilton
  - Mariam Merced, Director, Community Health Promotions, Robert Wood Johnson University Hospital New Brunswick
  - Ceu Cirne Neves, Vice President, Physician and Support Services, Saint Barnabas Medical Center (Designee: Margie Heller, System Administrator for Global Health, RWJ Barnabas Health and Administrative Director Community Outreach, Saint Barnabas Medical Center)
  - Donna Mancuso, Manager, Public & Community Affairs, Robert Wood Johnson University Hospital Rahway
  - Ruth Bash, Vice President & Chief Culture Officer, Children's Specialized Hospital

- Brenda Hall, Senior Vice President, Quality and Standards, Jersey City Medical Center
- Diane Grillo, Vice President, Health Promotion, Robert Wood Johnson University Hospital Hamilton

## GREATER MERCER PUBLIC HEALTH PARTNERSHIP MEMBER ORGANIZATIONS

- Advancing Opportunities
- Advocate for Mom and Dad
- Aging Advisors LLC
- AHA Senior Director  
Community Health
- Aim Coordinator - Henry J  
Austin
- Attitudes in Reverse
- Big Brother Big Sister of  
Mercer County
- Cancer Society
- Capital Health - Community  
Health
- Capital Health Alcohol and  
Drug Counselor
- Capital Health Community  
Relations
- Capital Health- Director of  
Planning
- Capital Health Foundation
- Capital Health-Dir. Clinical  
Integration
- Catholic Charities
- Central Jersey Family Health  
Consortium
- Central Jersey Family Health  
Consortium Substance  
Abuse
- Chief of Addiction Services,  
Mercer County
- Chief of Police Hopewell
- Children's Home Society
- CHOP
- Christina Seix Academy
- Community Activist
- Community Resident
- CSN Pond Road MS
- Director Mercer County  
TRADE Transportation
- Director Phoenix Behavioral  
Health
- Director Senior Center  
Robbinsville
- EmPower Mercer
- Encourage Kids
- ETS
- Ewing Health Department
- GMPHP
- Greater Mercer TMA
- Gwynedd Mercy University
- Hamilton Area YMCA
- Hamilton Health Officer
- Health Coach
- Healthcare Quality  
Strategies Inc.
- Home Front
- Hopewell Borough Health  
Department
- Hopewell Public Health  
Nurse/Capital Health Comm.  
Education
- Hopewell Valley Health  
Department
- Hunterdon County & Mercer  
Chronic Disease Coalition
- Interfaith Caregivers of  
Mercer County
- Jewish Family Services
- Lakeview Child Center
- Lawrence Hopewell Trail
- Lawrence Public Health  
Nurse
- Lawrence Township Health  
Department
- Lawrenceville Presbyterian  
Church
- Lawrenceville School Board
- Manager Senior and Social  
Services
- Medina Community Clinic
- Mental Health Educator
- Mercer Council Alcoholism  
and Drug Addiction
- Mercer County Freeholder
- Mercer County Human  
Services
- Mercer County Mental  
Health Administrator
- Mercer County Office of  
Economic Development
- Mercer County Parks  
Naturalist
- Montgomery Health  
Department - Educator
- NAMI of Mercer County
- New Jersey Partnership for  
Healthy Kids
- NJ Futures Program  
Manager
- PACF
- Pastor New Gen Church
- Pennington Board of Health
- Phoenix - Program Director
- Physician
- Presbyterian Church  
Lawrenceville
- Prevention Specialist - Rider  
University
- Princeton Chamber of  
Commerce
- Princeton Community  
Housing
- Princeton Health  
Department
- Princeton Health Officer
- Princeton House
- Retired Health Educator
- Retired lawyer, Ellarslie  
Museum
- Robert Wood Johnson  
Community Education
- Rutgers - Adjunct Professor  
of Epidemiology
- Rutgers University
- RWJ Hamilton
- St Francis Medical Center
- St Lawrence Rehabilitation  
Center
- St. Francis Medical Center
- TCNJ – Substance Abuse
- TCNJ Professor
- TCNJ Public Health
- TCNJ School of Nursing
- TCNJ's Alcohol and Drug  
Education Program

- Terhune Orchards
- The Watershed
- Thomas Edison State College
- Trenton Health Department
- Trenton Health Team
- Trenton Health Team  
Population Health - Manager
- Trenton School Nurse
- Trinity Cathedral Church
- United Way of Greater  
Mercer County
- United Way of Mercer  
County
- Viocare
- West Windsor Health  
Department
- West Windsor Health  
Educator
- West Windsor Public Health  
Nurse

## **RWJUH HAMILTON STEERING COMMITTEE CONSULTANT ADVISORS**

### Steering Committee Technical Advisors:

- Withum, Smith & Brown (Scott Mariani)
- New Solutions Inc. (Nancy Erickson<sup>1</sup>)

*Questions regarding the Community Needs Assessments should be directed to Barnabas Health System Development & Planning at [BHPlanningDept@RWJUH.org](mailto:BHPlanningDept@RWJUH.org).*

---

<sup>1</sup> The CHA's development consultants, New Solutions, Inc., have planned and conducted numerous community needs assessments and implementation plans with multiple organizations including individual hospitals, health systems, other health care and community organizations such as consortia comprised of a wide range of participant organizations. The NSI team, of which two are Ph.D. prepared, includes: planning consultants, market researchers, epidemiologists, computer programmers and data analysts. NSI has extensive regional and local community knowledge of health issues, community services and provider resources for the community reviewed by this assessment. This expertise, as well as the methodological and technical skills of the entire staff, was brought to bear in conducting this Community Health Needs Assessment.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>Executive Summary</b> .....	<b>ES-1</b>
<b>1. Introduction</b> .....	<b>1</b>
<b>2. Methodology/Service Area</b> .....	<b>6</b>
A. Methodology .....	6
B. Service Area.....	9
<b>3. RWJUH Hamilton Community Health Needs Survey</b> .....	<b>10</b>
A. RWJUH Hamilton Resident Survey Summary .....	10
B. Summary Tables of RWJUH Hamilton Resident Survey .....	12
<b>4. Focus Group Discussions and World Café Meeting</b> .....	<b>53</b>
A. Focus Groups .....	53
B. World Café Meeting .....	70
<b>5. RWJUH Hamilton Health Profile</b> .....	<b>73</b>
A. RWJUH Hamilton Service Area Overview.....	73
B. Social Determinants of Health.....	73
C. Health Factors .....	118
D. Health Outcomes.....	168
<b>6. Assets and Gaps Analysis</b> .....	<b>222</b>
A. Health Disparities .....	222
B. Health Factors .....	224
C. Health Outcomes.....	226
<b>Appendix</b> .....	<b>229</b>
A. RWJUH Hamilton CHNA Implementation Plan Results.....	230
B. Secondary Data Sources .....	245
C. Cancer Incidence and Mortality Rate Report by Cancer Site: Mercer County 2010-2017 .....	248
D. Resource Inventory .....	268
E. World Café Exercise Report .....	284
F. Discharges and Population 18-64 for Ambulatory Care Sensitive Conditions.....	298

## **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

### ***Background***

The Robert Wood Johnson University Hospital Hamilton's (RWJUH Hamilton) Community Health Needs Assessment (CHNA) was designed to ensure that the Hospital and other community stakeholders continue to effectively and efficiently serve the health needs of Mercer County residents and the communities served by the Hospital. The CHNA was developed in accordance with all federal rules and statues, specifically, PL 111-148 (the Affordable Care Act) which added Section 501(r) to the Internal Revenue Code and, in accordance with New Jersey regulations N.J.A.C. 8.52 10.1-10.3 governing local boards of health. The CHNA was undertaken in this context and developed for the purpose of enhancing the health and quality of life throughout the community. It builds upon the CHNA conducted in 2015. The 2015 CHNA Implementation Plan results are reviewed in **Appendix A**.

The CHNA uses detailed secondary public health data at state, county, and community levels, a community health survey, focus groups, and a World Café meeting. RWJUH Hamilton is a member of RWJ Barnabas Health, which convenes a multi-disciplinary, multi-facility Steering Committee that provides additional support and leadership to the process. Also, insight and expertise from the RWJUH Hamilton CHNA Oversight Committee helps identify health assets, gaps, disparities, trends, and priorities. The Methodology section details the data collection process and analysis.


### ***Service Area***

The CHNA focuses primarily on the health needs of RWJUH Hamilton primary service area (PSA) residents. Much of the data are provided at the county level; but, where available, city or zip code level data are provided to enhance the understanding of specific regions or populations. Mercer County, which comprises a large portion of the PSA, encompasses approximately 229 square miles and is comprised of 11 townships and boroughs, and one city. The county and its subdivisions are largely suburban. The county is bordered by Somerset County to the north, Hunterdon on the northwest Monmouth County to the east, Middlesex on the northeast, Burlington County on the south, and Bucks County, Pennsylvania to the west.

RWJUH Hamilton is located in Hamilton, New Jersey. The Hospital's PSA consists of the following zip codes:

## RWJUH Hamilton Primary Service Area

ZIP Code	ZIP Name
08608	Trenton
08609	Trenton/Hamilton
08610	Hamilton
08611	Hamilton
08618	Ewing
08619	Mercerville
08620	Hamilton
08638	Ewing
08648	Lawrence Township
08690	Hamilton
08691	Robbinsville
08510	Millstone Township
08501	Allentown
08505	Bordentown
08520	Hightstown/East Windsor
08629	Hamilton



The service area is determined by taking into consideration three factors: patient origin, market share, and geographic continuity/proximity. Zips representing approximately 50% of the RWJUH Hamilton patient origin form the initial PSA. Added to this list is any zip code in which the Hospital has a high market share presence, any zip code with low market share is deleted from the PSA definition and becomes part of the secondary service area (SSA). Geographic proximity to create a contiguous area completes the service area determination. This area contains some zip codes from the contiguous counties of Burlington and Monmouth. For purposes of this CHNA, Mercer County statistics were deemed to be most relevant for review.

While a suburban middle income service area, wealth is not uniform across all communities within the RWJUH Hamilton service area, and wide disparities exist within some communities. The high cost of living and a lack of affordable housing has led to concerns over a dwindling middle class. The following exemplifies a few social and economic differences identified in this review.

- Mercer County has a slightly lower proportion of whites than residents of New Jersey.
- Mercer County's Asian population grew by 29% between 2010 and 2018, and Hispanic and Latino populations grew 20% during the same timeframe.
  - Trenton zip code 08611 has the highest concentration of Hispanics at 59.5%.
  - Princeton has the largest Asian population, 29.8%.
- Mercer County's population grew 1.3% compared to 2% for New Jersey.
- The County's population distribution by age is similar to that of the State.
- The County's median household income was over \$88,125 in 2018 compared to \$87,916 in New Jersey.
  - Hamilton zip code 08690 had the highest median household income in the service area at over \$123,000.



- Trenton/Hamilton zip code 08610 (\$38,687) and Robbinsville (\$49,833), had amongst the lowest median household income in the service area.
- Mercer County's unemployment rate for 2016 was 5.6%, above the statewide rate of 5.2%.
  - Trenton/Hamilton zip code 08690 had the lowest unemployment rate, (2.5%)
  - Trenton zip code 08611 had an unemployment rate of 8.8%, higher than that of New Jersey.
- Poverty rates in Mercer County for families, people, and children, were higher than the State.
  - Children in Trenton zip codes 08611 and 08608 had among the highest rate of poverty, 25% and 26% respectively.
- The percent of Mercer County residents with a graduate or professional degree was 19.5% compared to 14.4% of New Jersey residents.
  - 50.4% of Princeton residents had a graduate or professional degree compared to only 2.0% of Trenton zip code 08608 residents.
  - 30% of Trenton zip code 08611 residents failed to complete high school.
- Less than 12% of Mercer County residents have limited English proficiency in the County.
  - Residents of Trenton zip code 08611 had a rate of limited English proficiency that was over 34%.
  - 41% of Mercer County children were eligible for free school lunches.

In addition to social and demographic differences, disparities in Mercer County and RWJUH Hamilton PSA residents' incidence and prevalence of illness identified in this CHNA include:

- The age-adjusted mortality rate for heart disease among Whites was higher than the rate for Blacks.
- The rate of low birth rate babies is, and has been, higher among Blacks than for both White or Hispanic residents since 2011.
- The Mercer County Black infant mortality rate was more than double that of Hispanics in 2016.
- The inpatient use rate in Mercer County (171.7 per 100,000) is higher than that of the State, and Trenton/Ewing zip code 08618, Mercerville zip code 08619, Trenton/Hamilton zip code 08609, Hamilton zip code 08610, and Trenton zip code 08611 all have hospital use rates well above the statewide rate.
- ED use rates for Mercer County are also above those of the State and residents of Trenton zip code 08609 have an ED use rate nearly 5 times that of the County.
- Trenton zip codes 08608 and 08609 CNI scores that are among the highest in the County while Trenton/Hamilton zip code 08690 has among the lowest CNI score in the County.
- The rate of persons hospitalized with a heart attack was highest in Trenton zip code 08638 in 2016.
- Among patients who used a hospital, the rate for stroke was highest among residents of Trenton zip code 08638, and Mercerville.
- The highest rate of diabetes, renal disease, asthma, and hypertension were seen in patients hospitalized from Trenton zip code 08638.

### **TOP HEALTH ISSUE**

The RWJUH Hamilton Internal Oversight Steering Committee in conjunction with the Greater Mercer County Public Health Partnership considered primary and secondary data to determine the top health issues. Prioritization was based on capacity, resources, competencies, and needs specific to the populations served. The selected issue is within the Partnership's and Hospital's purview, competency and

resources to impact in a meaningful manner and is believed to be a root cause of many of the chronic health issues facing residents of the county. For these reasons, “to help Mercer County residents achieve a healthy weight and lifestyle throughout their lives” was selected as the main priority issue for the 2018-2021 CHNA.

### **Assist RWJUH Hamilton Residents Achieve a Healthy Weight and Lifestyle Throughout Their Lives**

Maintaining a healthy weight is important for health. In addition to lowering the risk of heart disease, stroke, diabetes and high blood pressure, it can also lower the risk of several different cancers. An individual’s weight, waist size and the amount of weight gained since one’s mid-20’s can have serious health implications. These factors can strongly influence an individual’s chances of developing the following diseases and conditions:

- Cardiovascular disease, heart attack, stroke;
- Diabetes;
- Cancer;
- Arthritis;
- Gallstones;
- Asthma;
- Cataracts;
- Infertility;
- Snoring;
- Sleep apnea.

To be in a healthy range, your weight isn’t more than ten pounds over what you weighed since your mid-20’s. Because most adults between the ages of 18-49 gain one to two pounds each year, stopping and preventing weight gain should be a priority. Gaining weight as you age increases the likelihood of developing one or more chronic diseases.

The key to achieving and maintaining a healthy weight isn’t about short-term dieting changes. It’s about a lifestyle that includes healthy eating, regular physical activity and balancing the number of calories you consume with the number of calories your body uses.

Genetics affect the amount of body fat stored, where fat is distributed, and how efficiently the body converts food into energy. Family eating and physical activity habits play a role in the development of obesity. Prolonged inactivity results in calorie imbalance, the intake of calories is higher than the burning of calories. Often, inactivity is a result of other medical problems like arthritis or injuries. An unhealthy diet, high in calories and lacking in fruits and vegetables, is a significant contributor to weight gain. Research has linked social and economic factors to obesity. Socioeconomic factors include: not having safe areas to exercise, cultural traditions of unhealthy eating and obese family members.

Approximately 39.8% of the U.S. population, or 93.3 million adults, are affected by obesity according to the 2015-2016 National Center for Health Statistics data brief. But some groups are disproportionately impacted. For example, Hispanics (47%) and non-Hispanic Blacks (46.85) had the highest age-adjusted prevalence of obesity followed by non-Hispanic Whites (37.9%) and non-Hispanic Asians (12.7%). The association between obesity and income or education level is complex and differs by age, sex and ethnicity.

- Overall, men and women with college degrees had lower obesity prevalence compared to those with less education.<sup>2</sup>
- By race/ethnicity, the same obesity and education pattern was seen among non-Hispanic White, non-Hispanic Black, and Hispanic women, and also among non-Hispanic White men, although the differences were not all statistically significant. Although the difference was not statistically significant among non-Hispanic Black men, obesity prevalence increased with educational attainment. Among non-Hispanic Asian women and men, and Hispanic men there were no differences in obesity prevalence by education level.
- Among men, obesity prevalence was lower in the lowest and highest income groups compared with the middle-income group. This pattern was seen among non-Hispanic White and Hispanic men. Obesity prevalence was higher in the highest income group than in the lowest income group among non-Hispanic Black men.
- Among women, obesity prevalence was lower in the highest income group than in the middle and lowest-income groups. This pattern was observed among non-Hispanic White, non-Hispanic Asian, and Hispanic women. Among non-Hispanic Black women, there was no difference in obesity prevalence by income.

Obesity can occur at any age, even among young children. Hormonal changes and physical inactivity in older individuals also increase risk. The amount of body muscle decreases with age, leading to a decrease in metabolism. Quitting smoking is also associated with weight gain, sometimes resulting in obesity. Structured smoking cessation programs can help mitigate the effects of weight gain associated with quitting. Not getting enough sleep or conversely getting too much sleep can cause changes in the hormones that increase appetite and contribute to weight gain.

Poor nutrition and a lack of a healthy diet pattern, and regular physical activity, are health behaviors that contribute to obesity. A healthy diet pattern is one that emphasizes eating whole grains, fruits, vegetables, lean protein, low fat and fat-free dairy products, and drinking water. Healthy activity patterns include 150 minutes of moderate intensity activity or 75 minutes of vigorous activity or a combination of both, along with two days of weight training per week.

- Nearly 34% of Mercer residents reported a BMI  $\geq 30$  in 2016.
- 15.2/1,000 Mercer County residents who used a hospital service in Mercer County had a diagnosis of obesity compared to 14.1/1,000 New Jersey residents.
  - Obesity rates were found to be amongst the highest in Hamilton zip code 08610 (19.34/1,000) and Mercerville (18.58/1,000).
- Between 2014 and 2016 the percent of Mercer County residents reporting no leisure time activity trended upwards from 19.5% in 2014 to 29.1% in 2016.
- More than 40% of all survey respondents claimed to have hypertension, high cholesterol or a weight problem.
- Obesity was the number 1 concern among survey respondents from Mercer County and the RWJUH Hamilton Service Area.
- 44% of RWJUH Hamilton service area residents indicating an obesity problem said they were under a physician's care for the issue, while 32% were monitoring it on their own.

---

<sup>2</sup> <https://www.cdc.gov/obesity/data/adult.html>

Healthy weight programs are available in Mercer County in both inpatient and outpatient clinic settings and include Bariatrics wellness programs, and the RWJUH Bariatric surgery program offering both surgical and non-surgical weight. A bariatric surgery and medically supervised weight loss program is also available at Capital Health at the Capital Health Metabolic and Weight Loss Center. St. Francis Medical Center in Trenton also offers Bariatric surgery with a multi-disciplinary approach to the care of patients.

Interfaith Caregivers of Mercer County offers a free 24-week session for seniors at risk of osteoporosis to teach the benefits of exercise, nutrition and safety, drug therapy and lifestyle factors. The program was developed by New Jersey Department of Health and the North Jersey Regional Arthritis Center. The Mercer County nutrition project for older adults offers meals in settings that bring seniors together for activities and social interaction. Lunch is provided Monday-Friday at 12 sites throughout the county. The program also arranges services including nutrition education/nutrition counseling and other health, educational and recreational programs. The nutrition project for older adults also participates in the senior Farmer's Market program, which was developed to provide low income seniors with checks they can use to purchase fresh produce grown by New Jersey farmers.

In 2015, the Hamilton YMCA launched a series of healthy living programs in an effort to combat a variety of chronic diseases. Three programs, ACT Youth Weight Management and Healthy Ways Adult Weight Management and YMCA Diabetes Prevention Program, are closely related to this year's goal of assisting Mercer County residents achieve and maintain a healthy weight and lifestyle throughout their lives. Mercer Street Friends Food Bank leads the community response to hunger in Mercer County, channeling 2.7 million pounds of food and groceries to a network of 80 local food partners, shelters, soup kitchens, meal sites, schools, senior and disabled programs and low-income housing sites.

In addition to the prioritized needs discussed above, this CHNA identifies the following as additional health needs for the communities:

- Mental health and substance abuse;
- Chronic disease;
- Access to care/transportation;
- Coordination and communication;
- Cancer;
- Cardiovascular disease/stroke;
- Diabetes;
- Underserved populations/healthy equity;
- Chronic respiratory diseases and asthma;
- Chronic diabetes;
- Hypertension;
- Food security;
- Safe recreational spaces; and
- Health concerns related to aging.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In 2018, Robert Wood Johnson University Hospital Hamilton (RWJUH Hamilton) in conjunction with the Greater Mercer County Public Health Partnership (GHPHP) undertook development of its third Community Health Needs Assessment (CHNA). The CHNA was designed to ensure that the Hospital and other Mercer County public, private, and community-based organizations continue to effectively and efficiently serve the needs of their communities. The CHNA was developed in accordance with all federal rules and statutes, specifically PL 111-148 (The Affordable Care Act) which added Section 501(c) to the internal revenue code and, in accordance with New Jersey regulations N.J.A.C. 8:52 10.1-10.3 governing local boards of health. The latter regulations govern: the collection, computation, interpretation, and communication of vital statistics and health status measures within one or more New Jersey counties; the identification of threats to health; the assessment of health service needs; and the analysis, communication, and publication of access, utilization, quality, and outcome of personal health issues. This needs assessment was undertaken in this context and developed for the purpose of enhancing the quality of life throughout Mercer County and the communities served by RWJUH Mercer. This assessment builds upon the CHNAs conducted in 2012 and 2015. In accordance with rules established in 2015, the 2015 Community Health Improvement Plan (CHIP), also referred to as the Implementation Plan, results are reviewed in **Appendix A** of this document.

The CHNA uses detailed secondary public health and demographic data at state, county and municipality or zip code levels, as well as primary data collected through a community health survey, focus groups, and a World Café meeting. In addition to the input provided by the Greater Mercer Public Health Partnership County Coalition, a consortium of public, private and community-based organizations, RWJUH Mercer is a member of RWJBH, which convenes a multidisciplinary, multi-facility Steering Committee that provides additional support and leadership. Also, insight and expertise from the RWJUH Mercer CHNA Oversight Committee helps identify health assets, gaps, disparities, trends, and priorities. The Methodology Section of this CHNA details the data collection process and analysis.

The CHNA data sources included *Healthy People 2020* and the County Health Rankings, Census Bureau, and CDC, to name a few. *Healthy People 2020* and County Health Rankings, which are described below, also provide benchmarks for county-specific indicators.

- *Healthy People 2020* is a 10-year agenda to improve the nation's health that encompasses the entire continuum of prevention and care. For over three decades *Healthy People* has established benchmarks and monitored progress over time to measure the impact of prevention activities. *Healthy People 2020* benchmarks are used throughout the report to assess the health status of residents.
- *County Health Rankings*, published by the University of Wisconsin Population Health Institute and the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation, rank the health of nearly all counties in the United States. The rankings look at a variety of measures that affect health such as high school graduation rates, air pollution levels, income, rates of obesity and smoking, etc. These rankings are also used throughout the report to measure the overall health of Mercer County residents. County rates are also compared to statewide rates.

The RWJUH Hamilton needs assessment was undertaken and developed for the purpose of enhancing the health and quality of life throughout the community. To this end, a broad array of information both internal and external was used to understand recent health indicators and the opportunities to provide a positive impact on health and wellness. In addition to the priority area mentioned below, other significant

needs determined through this process include:

- Mental Health and Substance Abuse;
- Chronic Disease;
- Access to care/transportation;
- Coordination and Communication;
- Cancer;
- Cardiovascular disease/stroke;
- Diabetes;
- Underserved populations/health equity;
- Chronic respiratory disease and asthma;
- Chronic diseases;
- Hypertension;
- Food Security;
- Safe Recreational Spaces; and
- Health Concerns related to Aging.

### **Top Health Issue**

The Greater Mercer Public Health Partnership County Coalition, in its review of both primary and secondary source data, determined to work on one global priority if felt had impacts on several health issues of concern to its membership's overall goals. The priority area was selected using criteria that considered population health impact and whether the selected priority area would be within its purview, competency and resources to implement in a meaningful manner. The top priority area chosen was to assist Mercer County residents achieve and maintain a healthyweight and lifestyle throughout their lives.

*Reaching and maintain a healthy weight is important to overall health and can help individuals prevent and control many diseases and conditions. Individuals who are overweight or obese are at higher risk of developing serious health problems including heart disease, high blood pressure, type 2 diabetes, arthritis and some cancers. Poor nutrition, unhealthy eating habits, and lack of physical activity are behaviors that contribute to overweight and obesity.*

### **Assist RWJUH Hamilton Residents Achieve a Healthy Weight and Lifestyle Throughout Their Lives**

Maintaining a healthy weight is important for health. In addition to lowering the risk of heart disease, stroke, diabetes and high blood pressure it can also lower the risk of several different cancers. An individual's weight, waist size and the amount of weight gained since one's mid-20's can have serious health implications. These factors can strongly influence an individual's chances of developing the following diseases and conditions:

- Cardiovascular disease, heart attack, stroke;
- Diabetes;
- Cancer;
- Arthritis;
- Gallstones;
- Asthma;

- Cataracts;
- Infertility;
- Snoring;
- Sleep apnea.

To be in a healthy range, your weight isn't more than ten pounds over what you weighed when you were in your mid-20's. Because most adults between the ages of 18-49 gain one to two pounds each year, stopping and preventing weight gain should be a priority. Gaining weight as you age increases the likelihood of developing one or more chronic diseases.

The key to achieving and maintaining a healthy weight isn't about short-term dieting changes. It's about a lifestyle that includes healthy eating, regular physical activity and balancing the number of calories you consume with the number of calories your body uses.

Genetics affect the amount of body fat stored, where fat is distributed, and how efficiently the body converts food into energy. Family eating and physical activity habits play a role in the development of obesity. Prolonged inactivity results in calorie imbalance, the intake of calories is higher than the burning of calories. Often, inactivity is a result of other medical problems like arthritis or injuries. An unhealthy diet, high in calories and lacking in fruits and vegetables, is a significant contributor to weight gain. Research has linked social and economic factors to obesity. Socioeconomic factors include: not having safe areas to exercise, cultural traditions of unhealthy eating and obese family members.

Approximately 39.8% of the U.S. population, or 93.3 million adults, are affected by obesity according to the 2015-2016 National Center for Health Statistics data brief. But some groups are disproportionately impacted. For example, Hispanics (47%) and non-Hispanic Blacks (46.85) had the highest age-adjusted prevalence of obesity followed by non-Hispanic Whites (37.9%) and non-Hispanic Asians (12.7%). The association between obesity and income or education level is complex and differs by age, sex and ethnicity.

- Overall, men and women with college degrees had lower obesity prevalence compared to those with less education.<sup>3</sup>
- By race/ethnicity, the same obesity and education pattern was seen among non-Hispanic White, non-Hispanic Black, and Hispanic women, and also among non-Hispanic White men, although the differences were not all statistically significant. Although the difference was not statistically significant among non-Hispanic Black men, obesity prevalence increased with educational attainment. Among non-Hispanic Asian women and men, and Hispanic men there were no differences in obesity prevalence by education level.
- Among men, obesity prevalence was lower in the lowest and highest income groups compared with the middle-income group. This pattern was seen among non-Hispanic White and Hispanic men. Obesity prevalence was higher in the highest income group than in the lowest income group among non-Hispanic Black men.

---

<sup>3</sup> <https://www.cdc.gov/obesity/data/adult.html>

- Among women, obesity prevalence was lower in the highest income group than in the middle and lowest-income groups. This pattern was observed among non-Hispanic White, non-Hispanic Asian, and Hispanic women. Among non-Hispanic Black women, there was no difference in obesity prevalence by income.

Obesity can occur at any age, even among young children. Hormonal changes and physical inactivity in older individuals also increase risk. The amount of body muscle decreases with age, leading to a decrease in metabolism. Quitting smoking is also associated with weight gain, sometimes resulting in obesity. Structured smoking cessation programs can help mitigate the effects of weight gain associated with quitting. Not getting enough sleep or conversely getting too much sleep can cause changes in the hormones that increase appetite and contribute to weight gain.

Poor nutrition and a lack of a healthy diet pattern, and regular physical activity, are health behaviors that contribute to obesity. A healthy diet pattern is one that emphasizes eating whole grains, fruits, vegetables, lean protein, low fat and fat-free dairy products, and drinking water. Healthy activity patterns include 150 minutes of moderate intensity activity or 75 minutes of vigorous activity or a combination of both, along with two days of weight training per week.

- Nearly 34% of Mercer residents reported a BMI  $\geq 30$  in 2016.
- 15.2/1,000 Mercer County residents who used a hospital service in Mercer County had a diagnosis of obesity compared to 14.1/1,000 New Jersey residents.
  - Obesity rates were found to be amongst the highest in Hamilton zip code 08610 19.34/1,000 and Mercerville (18.58/1,000).
- Between 2014-2016 the percent of Mercer County residents reporting no leisure time activity trended upwards from 19.5% in 2014 to 29.1% in 2016.
- More than 40% of all survey respondents claimed to have hypertension, high cholesterol or a weight problem.
- Obesity was the number 1 concern among survey respondents from Mercer County and the RWJUH Hamilton Service Area.
- 44% of RWJUH Hamilton service area residents indicating obesity said they were under a physician's care for the issue, while 32% were monitoring it on their own.

Healthy weight programs are available in Mercer County in both inpatient and outpatient clinic settings and include Bariatrics wellness programs, and the RWJUH Bariatric surgery program offering both surgical and non-surgical weight. A bariatric surgery and medically supervised weight loss program is also available at Capital Health at the Capital Health Metabolic and Weight Loss Center. St. Francis Medical Center in Trenton also offers Bariatric surgery with a multi-disciplinary approach to the care of patients.

Interfaith caregivers of Mercer County offer a free 24-week session for seniors at risk of osteoporosis to teach the benefits of exercise, nutrition and safety, drug therapy and lifestyle factors. The program was developed by New Jersey Department of Health and the North Jersey Regional Arthritis Center. The Mercer County nutrition project for older adults offers meals in settings that bring seniors together for activities and social interaction. Lunch is provided Monday-Friday at 12 sites throughout the county. The program also arranges services including nutrition education/nutrition counseling and other health, educational and recreational programs. The nutrition project for older adults also participates in the Senior Farmer's Market program, which was developed to provide low income seniors with checks they can use to purchase fresh produce grown by New Jersey farmers.



In 2015, the Hamilton YMCA launched a series of healthy living programs in an effort to combat a variety of chronic diseases. Three programs, ACT Youth Weight Management and Healthy Ways Adult Weight Management and YMCA Diabetes Prevention Program, are closely related to this year's goal of assisting Mercer County residents achieve and maintain a healthy weight and lifestyle throughout their lives. Mercer Street Friends Food Bank leads the community response to hunger in Mercer County, channeling 2.7 million pounds of food and groceries to a network of 80 local food partners, shelters, soup kitchens, meal sites, schools, senior and disabled programs and low-income housing sites.

## 2. METHODOLOGY/SERVICE AREA

### A. METHODOLOGY

GMPHP, in conjunction with RWJUH Hamilton, developed an evidenced-based process to determine the health needs of Mercer County residents. CHNA data sources include both primary and secondary data to provide qualitative and quantitative information about the communities. Data from these sources were reviewed the GMPHP Steering Committee to identify and prioritize the top issues facing residents in the service area (see Top Health Issues section).

The flow chart below identifies the CHNA and CHIP process employed.



### Prioritization Process

Following GMPHP's review of quantitative and qualitative data on August 6<sup>th</sup>, a list of 12 issues were identified by consultants as common themes of the research. Through discussion, this list was expanded to 15. These issues became the suggested priority issues and included:

- Mental Health and Substance Abuse
- Chronic Disease
- Overweight and Obesity, Nutrition
- Health Concerns Related to Aging
- Chronic Respiratory Diseases and Asthma
- Coordination and Communication
- Access to Care/Transportation
- Cardiovascular Disease/Stroke
- Underserved Populations/Health Equity

- Diabetes
- Hypertension
- Maternal Child Health
- Cancer
- Food Security
- Safe Recreational Spaces

A ballot was developed, and a survey sent on August 14, 2018 to the GMPHP membership asking them to rank each issue based on the following criteria.

- Number of people impacted
- Risk of mortality and morbidity associated with the problem
- Impact of the problem on vulnerable populations
- Meaningful progress can be made within a three-year timeframe
- Community's capability and competency to impact

A tally of the 48 ballots cast resulted in the following five issues to be ranked highest overall.

- Underserved Populations
- Mental Health and Substance Abuse
- Food Security
- Chronic Diseases
- Access to Transportation

Rankings were also developed and weighted for each prioritization criterion.

On September 5<sup>th</sup>, members of the GMPHP Board met to discuss and determine the priority/priorities it would undertake for the next three years. The Board reviewed the top five priority areas and weighted ranking, reviewed root cause analysis and evidence-based programs that met the group's priority goals and agreed that for the next three years the Partnership would work to help Mercer County residents achieve a healthy weight and lifestyle throughout their lives.

### **Secondary Data Sources**

Over 100 secondary data sources are compiled in this CHNA, presenting data by indicator by county and state. Sources include: The United States Census Bureau, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), New Jersey Department of Health (NJDOH), and Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS). See **Appendix B** for a detailed list of sources.

**Appendix C** contains a detailed report of cancer incidence and mortality by cancer site for Mercer County for the years 2010-2017. In addition, hospital tumor registry data is utilized to understand stage of cancer at time of diagnosis.

### ***Health Profile***

Section 5 provides a comprehensive presentation of health outcomes as well as the social determinants of health and other health factors that contribute to the health and well-being of Mercer County residents.

### ***Color Indicator Tables***

Throughout the Health Profile Section of this CHNA, the color indicator tables compare county level data to *Healthy People 2020* targets, County Health Rankings benchmarks, and New Jersey State data. Data by race/ethnicity are compared to data for all races in the county, unless otherwise indicated. Mercer County was the midpoint value compared to a range 20% higher than the value for New Jersey, *Healthy People 2020*, or County Health Rankings Benchmarks, or 20% lower than the value for New Jersey, *Healthy People 2020*, or County Health Rankings Benchmarks. If the county value was within the range 20% lower or 20% higher than the comparison indicator, or considered within reasonable range, the indicator will be yellow. The table will be red if the Mercer County value is more than 20% worse or lower than the indicator value. If the Mercer County value is 20% better or higher than the indicator value, the table will be green. Comparative counties are also presented providing additional context for select health indicators.

### **Primary Data Sources**

#### ***Community Health Needs Surveys***

In order to obtain a service area-specific analysis for the RWJUH Hamilton service area, separate on-line survey Interviews were conducted among 1,368 residents of the Hospital's PSA. Interviews were conducted online and by telephone. A link to the online survey was displayed on hospital web pages and social media sites. Additionally, postcards were handed out at area businesses and libraries, directing residents to the online survey link. A telephone augment was conducted to capture additional interviews in specific areas and among specific ethnic groups. For the telephone portion, a representative sample of households was generated from a database of residential telephone numbers. Bruno and Ridgway Research Associates, Inc. administered the on-line and telephone surveys from April 2 to June 18, 2018. Survey results are incorporated into this CHNA. (See Section 3)

#### ***Focus Group Discussions***

Five focus groups were undertaken to uncover additional information from key community groups and individuals with respect to health needs, challenges and barriers, and suggestions for improving access to health care services. Focus groups were located in each of the five major geographic areas. Mercer County Focus Group Report is found in Section 4. (See Section 4) Focus group meetings were conducted in March 2018 by Curtis Analytical Partners.

#### ***World Café Meeting***

The World Café meeting was held on April 25, 2018, and brought together community representatives to discuss four issues including:

1. What Does a Healthy Community Look Like?
2. What Do You View as a Barrier to Good Health in Mercer County?
3. Whose Voice Do We Still Need to Hear?
4. Which parts are most relevant to our community health assessment?

The World Café Exercise Report is found Section 4. (See Section 4)

## Assets and Gaps

Section 6, Assets and Gaps, summarizes the preceding components of the CHNA. Assets highlight county information indicating improvement over time, in comparison to other counties and the State, or in comparison to other races or genders. Gaps focus on disparities in Mercer County or the RWJUH Hamilton service area that have a negative trend, in comparison to other counties in the State or to other races or genders.

## Resource Inventory


A service area-specific resource inventory is included as **Appendix D**, which details health and social service resources available to residents in Mercer County. Providers' names, addresses, and phone numbers and type of services provided are contained in the inventory.

### **B. SERVICE AREA**

The CHNA focuses primarily on the health needs of residents of RWJUH Hamilton's Service Area. Much of the data are provided at the county level, but where available city or zip code level data are provided to enhance understanding of specific regions or populations. The Service Area consists of the following zip codes:

ZIP Code	ZIP Name
08608	Trenton
08609	Trenton/Hamilton
08610	Hamilton
08611	Hamilton
08618	Ewing
08619	Mercerville
08620	Hamilton
08638	Ewing
08648	Lawrence Township
08690	Hamilton
08691	Robbinsville
08510	Millstone Township
08501	Allentown
08505	Bordentown
08520	Hightstown/East Windsor
08629	Hamilton

### **Mercer County**



The service area is determined by taking into consideration three factors: patient origin, market share, and geographic continuity/proximity. Zips representing approximately 50% of the RWJUH Hamilton patient origin form the initial PSA. Added to this list is any zip code in which the Hospital has a high market share presence, any zip code with low market share is deleted from the PSA definition and becomes part of the secondary service area (SSA). Geographic proximity to create a contiguous area completes the service area determination. This area contains some zip codes from the contiguous counties of Burlington and Monmouth. For purposes of this CHNA, Mercer County statistics were deemed to be most relevant for review.

### 3. RWJUH HAMILTON COMMUNITY HEALTH NEEDS SURVEY

#### Research Objective

The primary objective of this research was to obtain opinions of residents within the RWJUH Hamilton service area in order to meet government CHNA requirements. Areas of focus included:

- Health issues and concerns that impact the community.
- Barriers to accessing health care.
- Strengths and weaknesses of community services offered.
- Personal health attitudes, conditions and behaviors.

#### Methodology

Interviews were conducted among residents of RWJUH Hamilton's service area (PSA). Interviews were conducted on-line and by telephone. A link to the on-line survey was displayed on hospital web pages and social media sites. Additionally, postcards were handed out at area businesses and libraries, directing residents to the on-line survey link. A telephone augment was conducted to capture additional interviews in specific areas and among specific ethnic groups. For the telephone portion, a representative sample of households was generated from a database of residential telephone numbers. The interview averaged 15-20 minutes in length and was conducted April 2 - June 18, 2018.

#### Sample Composition Highlights

A total of 1,368 interviews were conducted in the RWJUH Hamilton PSA among adults aged 21+. These interviews broke down among gender, age and ethnicity as follows: 992 (73%) Females, 296 (22%) Males; 542 (40%) 21-49 years of age, 390 (29%) 50-64 years of age, 353 (26%) 65+ years of age; 754 (55%) Caucasian, 266 (19%) African-American, 164 (12%) Hispanic, 82 (6%) Asian.<sup>4</sup>

#### **A. RWJUH HAMILTON RESIDENT SURVEY SUMMARY**

This Community Health Assessment survey generated learnings and insights that can be used to effectively serve the health care needs of the community.

- **Obesity is the #1 health concern of area residents. High levels of concern were also cited for chronic illnesses such as diabetes, cancer and heart disease.**
  - Obesity is a leading contributor to chronic illnesses and a major cause of death in the U.S. More than one-half of respondents claim to have high blood pressure, high cholesterol and/or a weight problem.
  - Increasing outreach and developing educational programs that address nutrition and wellness, with focus on preventative lifestyle behaviors, could improve the health and overall well-being of area residents.
  - Healthy eating and exercise programs in schools could aid in lowering childhood obesity and the risks associated with chronic conditions.

---

<sup>4</sup> Not shown are "other" mentions and "no answer".






- **Additionally, high levels of concern were cited regarding mental health, substance use/abuse, health concerns related to aging and high stress lifestyles.**
  - The rising opioid epidemic and increased concern about mental health issues present opportunities to increase education to both community residents and to health care professionals in an effort to help reduce the growing trend of opioid/Rx drug abuse and the stigma associated with mental health.
  
- **Regardless of age, income level or ethnicity, the key barriers to seeking medical care are insurance issues and related cost concerns.**
  - Addressing the economic challenges associated with access to care, including insurance issues, will serve to improve access and affordability of care to a greater proportion of the community.
  
- **RWJUH Hamilton PSA is regarded highly by most residents, with many positive services offered to the community. However, specific needs for improvement are cited in the areas of transportation services, healthy food choices in schools, safe/affordable housing and interpersonal violence.**
  - Since access to services can be challenging for some, expanding transportation services, particularly for seniors and persons with disabilities, can improve access to care for these population groups.
  
- **RWJUH Hamilton PSA residents describe their overall health as being good/very good and exhibit many positive health-related behaviors (healthy eating, physical activity, annual physicals and recommended screening tests).** They report their children eat breakfast daily and are physically active. However, a substantial portion of residents (Hispanics, lower income, older residents) do not eat healthy, lead a sedentary lifestyle, do not get recommended screening tests and/or suffer chronic medical conditions.
  - Diagnostic screenings are crucial in the early detection, treatment and management of chronic diseases. The availability of these preventative services should be expanded to ensure they are reaching and serving minority and lower income populations in a cost-efficient manner.

In summary, survey data suggest that wellness initiatives, programs and services addressing the availability, accessibility and affordability of care would meet a significant portion of the communities' needs.

**B. SUMMARY TABLES OF RWJUH HAMILTON RESIDENT SURVEY**

**Demographic Profile**

Profile of Respondents in RWJUH Hamilton's PSA




(N=1368)

\* = Less than 0.5%.





Profile of Respondents in RWJUH Hamilton's PSA – (continued)




(N=1368)

\*Quotas were established to align closely with census data.



^ = Multiple mentions.

### Length of Time in Area



Average # Years: 23.0


### Towns/Zips Where Interviews Came From



## Health-Related Concerns of Area Residents

### Major Health Concerns Among Residents in the RWJUH Hamilton's PSA Community

- Obesity is the #1 health concern among area residents.



(N=1368)

Q.3 - In your opinion, what are the TOP 3 HEALTH ISSUES OR CONCERNS in your community?

## Summary of Health Concerns by Subgroups

### Obesity

- #1 health concern among most age, gender, income, education and ethnic groups, with the exception of Asians.

#### Substance Use/Abuse

- Caucasian
- Female
- Higher income (\$50K+)
- Younger (<65)
- *Least among Asian*

#### Mental Health

- Caucasian
- Female
- Higher income (\$50K+)
- Younger (<65)
- *Least among Asian*

#### Aging

- Caucasian/Asian
- Older (65+)

#### High Stress Lifestyle

- Younger (<65)

#### Cancer

- Older (65+)
- *Least among Asian*

#### Diabetes

- Male
- Older (65+)

#### Smoking

- Male
- Hispanic/African Am.
- Lower income (<\$50K)
- Younger (<50)

#### Chronic Heart Disease

- Asian
- Male
- Older (65+)

#### Asthma

- Hispanic/African Am.
- Lowest income (<\$25K)

#### Hunger

- African Am./Hispanic
- Lowest income (<\$25K)

#### Infectious Diseases

- Asian

#### Lung Disease

- Older (65+)

#### Teen Pregnancy

- Hispanic/African Am.
- Lowest income (<\$25K)
- Younger (<50)

#### STD's

- Hispanic/African Am.
- Younger (<50)
- Lower income (<\$50K)


#### Prenatal Care

- Hispanic
- Younger (<50)
- Lower income (<\$50K)

(N=1368)


Q.3 - In your opinion, what are the TOP 3 HEALTH ISSUES OR CONCERNS in your community?

## Community Health-Related Issues of Concern – by Ethnicity



Q.3 - In your opinion, what are the TOP 3 HEALTH ISSUES OR CONCERNS in your community?  
(A/B/C/D) = Significantly greater than indicated cell at the 90% confidence level.

## Community Health-Related Issues of Concern – by Age



Teen pregnancy, smoking, STD's and lack of prenatal care all skew younger (<50)

Mental health, substance abuse and high stress lifestyle skew younger (<65)

Concerns related to aging and chronic diseases skew older (65+)

Q.3 - In your opinion, what are the TOP 3 HEALTH ISSUES OR CONCERNS in your community?  
(A/B/C) = Significantly greater than indicated cell at the 90% confidence level.

### Community Health-Related Issues of Concern – by Gender

	Male (n=296) (A)	Female (n=992) (B)
Obesity	53%	48%
Mental Health	33%	39% <sup>A</sup>
Substance Use/Abuse	40%	45% <sup>A</sup>
Aging	27%	24%
High Stress Lifestyle	25%	24%
Cancer	21%	22%
Diabetes	27% <sup>B</sup>	18%
Chronic Heart Disease	18% <sup>B</sup>	13%
Smoking	20% <sup>B</sup>	15%
Asthma	9%	11%
Hunger	11%	9%
Infectious Diseases	8%	8%
Lung Disease	6%	5%
Teen Pregnancy	5%	6%
STD's	5%	6%
Lack of Prenatal Care	3%	3%

Males indicate more concern about diabetes, chronic heart disease and smoking, while females often cite mental health and substance abuse issues

Q.3 - In your opinion, what are the TOP 3 HEALTH ISSUES OR CONCERNS in your community?  
 (A/B) = Significantly greater than indicated cell at the 90% confidence level.

### Community Health-Related Issues of Concern – by Income

Higher concern about obesity, mental health and substance abuse among middle to high income residents

	<i>Under \$25K (n=181) (A)</i>	<i>\$25-50K (n=206) (B)</i>	<i>\$50-100K (n=315) (C)</i>	<i>\$100-150K (n=193) (D)</i>	<i>\$150K+ (n=125) (E)</i>
Obesity	44%	51%	53% <sup>A</sup>	55% <sup>A</sup>	60% <sup>AB</sup>
Mental Health	33%	35%	39%	44% <sup>AB</sup>	44% <sup>A</sup>
Substance Use/Abuse	32%	47% <sup>A</sup>	51% <sup>A</sup>	50% <sup>A</sup>	51%
Aging	22%	21%	22%	30% <sup>ABC</sup>	25%
High Stress Lifestyle	24%	25%	26%	28%	22%
Cancer	19%	21%	20%	18%	24%
Diabetes	26% <sup>BD</sup>	18%	20%	19%	21%
Chronic Heart Disease	15%	14%	14%	12%	11%
Smoking	32% <sup>BCDE</sup>	17% <sup>E</sup>	14%	11%	10%
Asthma	17% <sup>BDE</sup>	8%	12% <sup>D</sup>	6%	8%
Hunger	14% <sup>BCE</sup>	8%	7%	11% <sup>E</sup>	5%
Infectious Diseases	8% <sup>E</sup>	7% <sup>E</sup>	10% <sup>DE</sup>	5%	3%
Lung Disease	6%	5%	6%	4%	6%
Teen Pregnancy	12% <sup>BCDE</sup>	5% <sup>D</sup>	4% <sup>D</sup>	2%	2%
STD's	10% <sup>CDE</sup>	9% <sup>CDE</sup>	4%	3%	3%
Lack of Prenatal Care	5% <sup>DE</sup>	4%	2%	2%	2%

High concern about a variety of issues

Q.3 - In your opinion, what are the TOP 3 HEALTH ISSUES OR CONCERNS in your community?  
 (A/B/C/D/E) = Significantly greater than indicated cell at the 90% confidence level.






## Barriers to Accessing Health Care Services

### Major Barriers to Accessing Health Care in the RWJUH Hamilton's PSA

- Insurance and cost of care are the key barriers to obtaining health care services among area residents.
- Roughly one-third of residents claim they do not experience any difficulty accessing the care they need.



(N=1368)

Q.4 - Over the last few years, which, if any, of these issues made it difficult for you, or a household family member, to get medical treatment or care when needed?

## Summary of Health Care Barriers by Subgroups

### Insurance

### Cost of Care

- Virtually all age, gender, income, education and ethnic groups cite insurance and cost of care as key issues.
- Particularly high concern among lower income residents and Hispanics.

### Scheduling Appointments

- Younger (<65)
- Higher income (\$50K+)

### Long Wait Times

- Younger (<65)
- Hispanic

### Doctors Not Taking New Patients

- Lowest income (<\$25K)
- Younger (<65)
- Female

### Finding a Specialist

- Hispanic/Asian
- Younger (<65)
- Lowest income (<\$25K)
- Female

### Transportation

- Asian/African Am.
- Lowest income (<\$25K)
- Older (65+)
- Female

### Fear of Doctors/Hospitals

- Lowest income (<\$25K)

### Finding a Dentist

- Lowest income (<\$25K)

### Language Problems

- Lower income (<\$50K)
- Asian/Hispanic
- Older (65+)

### Child Care

- Younger (<50)
- Hispanic/African Am.
- Lower income (<\$50K)

### Not Accessible for Disabled

- Lowest income (<\$25K)


### No Difficulty Getting Care

- Older (50+)
- Higher income (\$50K+)
- Caucasian

(N=1368)

Q.4 - Over the last few years, which, if any, of these issues made it difficult for you, or a household family member, to get medical treatment or care when needed?

## Barriers to Accessing Health Care Services – by Ethnicity



Most likely to cite insurance/cost issues, long wait times and finding a specialist

Q.4 - Over the last few years, which, if any, of these issues made it difficult for you, or a household family member, to get medical treatment or care when needed?  
(A/B/C/D) = Significantly greater than indicated cell at the 90% confidence level.

Language, finding a specialist and transportation problems often cited

## Barriers to Accessing Health Care Services – by Age

Insurance, cost, scheduling wait times and finding a specialist are more of a barrier to younger (<65 yrs.) residents

	21-49 (n=542) (A)	50-64 (n=390) (B)	65+ (n=353) (C)
Insurance Problems	42% <sup>BC</sup>	36% <sup>C</sup>	24%
Cost of Care	37% <sup>CD</sup>	26% <sup>C</sup>	18%
Scheduling Appointments	33% <sup>BC</sup>	24% <sup>C</sup>	13%
Long Wait Times	27% <sup>BC</sup>	22% <sup>C</sup>	17%
Drs Not Taking New Patients	19% <sup>C</sup>	20% <sup>C</sup>	14%
Finding a Specialist	18% <sup>C</sup>	14% <sup>C</sup>	9%
Transportation Problems	9%	9%	13% <sup>A</sup>
Fear of Doctors/Hospitals	7%	7%	5%
Finding a Dentist	7%	7%	6%
Language Problems	4%	4%	9% <sup>AB</sup>
Child Care	8% <sup>BC</sup>	3% <sup>C</sup>	1%
Not Accessible for Disabled	2%	4% <sup>A</sup>	5% <sup>A</sup>
DO NOT HAVE ANY DIFFICULTIES GETTING CARE	25%	32% <sup>A</sup>	39% <sup>AB</sup>

Older residents cite transportation and language issues

Q.4 - Over the last few years, which, if any, of these issues made it difficult for you, or a household family member, to get medical treatment or care when needed?  
 (A/B/C) = Significantly greater than indicated cell at the 90% confidence level.

## Barriers to Accessing Health Care Services – by Gender

	<b>Male (n=296) (A)</b>	<b>Female (n=992) (B)</b>
Insurance Problems	31%	37% <sup>A</sup>
Cost of Care	28%	30%
Scheduling Appointments	22%	25%
Long Wait Times	25%	22%
Drs Not Taking New Patients	14%	18% <sup>A</sup>
Finding a Specialist	9%	15% <sup>A</sup>
Transportation Problems	7%	11% <sup>A</sup>
Fear of Doctors/Hospitals	8%	6%
Finding a Dentist	7%	7%
Language Problems	6%	6%
Child Care	5%	5%
Not Accessible for Disabled	5%	3%
DO NOT HAVE ANY DIFFICULTIES GETTING CARE	33%	29%

Females have more of an issue with insurance, doctors not taking new patients, finding a specialist and transportation vs. Males

Q.4 - Over the last few years, which, if any, of these issues made it difficult for you, or a household family member, to get medical treatment or care when needed?  
 (A/B) = Significantly greater than indicated cell at the 90% confidence level.

## Barriers to Accessing Health Care Services – by Income

- Lower income groups (<\$50K) have more barriers vs. higher income groups and are the most likely to encounter insurance/cost problems when seeking care.

	<b>Under \$25K</b> (n=47) (A)	<b>\$25-50K</b> (n=78) (B)	<b>\$50-100K</b> (n=156) (C)	<b>\$100-150K</b> (n=130) (D)	<b>\$150K+</b> (n=100) (E)
Insurance Problems	46% <sup>CDE</sup>	46% <sup>CDE</sup>	30%	31%	34%
Cost of Care	29%	42% <sup>ACDE</sup>	31% <sup>D</sup>	22%	23%
Scheduling Appointments	18%	22%	28% <sup>AB</sup>	29% <sup>AB</sup>	37% <sup>AB</sup>
Long Wait Times	28% <sup>D</sup>	22%	24%	20%	28% <sup>D</sup>
Drs Not Taking New Patients	22% <sup>BD</sup>	15%	18%	15%	19%
Finding a Specialist	19% <sup>D</sup>	14%	14%	10%	12%
Transportation Problems	17% <sup>BCDE</sup>	9%	7%	8%	6%
Fear of Doctors/Hospitals	10% <sup>D</sup>	8%	6%	5%	6%
Finding a Dentist	13% <sup>BCDE</sup>	7% <sup>E</sup>	6%	4%	3%
Language Problems	12% <sup>BCDE</sup>	6% <sup>CDE</sup>	3%	2%	2%
Child Care	7% <sup>CE</sup>	8% <sup>CE</sup>	2%	6% <sup>C</sup>	2%
Not Accessible for Disabled	6% <sup>CDE</sup>	3%	3%	2%	1%
DO NOT HAVE ANY DIFFICULTIES GETTING CARE	24%	22%	31% <sup>AB</sup>	35% <sup>AB</sup>	28%

Q.4 - Over the last few years, which, if any, of these issues made it difficult for you, or a household family member, to get medical treatment or care when needed?  
(A/B/C/D/E) = Significantly greater than indicated cell at the 90% confidence level.


Most difficulty with scheduling appointments

## Community Strengths and Weaknesses

### Area Strengths

- A large majority of residents surveyed feel their community is a good place to raise a family, with safe places to walk/play, ease of finding fresh food and ample places to socialize.
- On the other hand, the community receives relatively low scores in the areas of interpersonal violence, safe, affordable housing, healthy food offerings at schools and transportation services to assist residents.

### Community Strengths



(N=1368) **Top 2 Box Agreement**

Q.5 - Using the scale below, please indicate how much you agree or disagree with the following statements about your community.

## Summary of Community Strengths by Subgroups

- Overall, Asians and Caucasians tend to rate community services high, while African Americans and Hispanics rate community services lower.
- Additionally, those in higher income brackets are more positive to their community services versus those in lower income brackets.

### Easy to Find Fresh Fruits/Veggies

- Caucasian
- Older (50+)
- Higher income (\$50K+)
- *Least among African Am.*

### Places to Socialize

- Caucasian
- Higher income (\$50K+)
- Older (50+)

### Safe Outdoor Places to Walk/Play

- Caucasian/Asian
- Older (50+)
- Higher income (\$50K+)
- *Least among African Am.*

### Good Place to Raise a Family

- Caucasian/Asian
- Older (50+)
- Male
- Higher income (\$50K+)
- *Least among African Am.*

### Easy to Live a Healthy Lifestyle

- Caucasian/Asian
- Higher income (\$50K+)
- Male
- Older (50+)

### Low Level of Violence

- Caucasian/Asian
- Higher income (\$50K+)
- Male
- Older (65+)
- *Least among African Am.*

### Educational Opportunities

- Caucasian
- Higher income (\$100K+)
- Older (50+)
- Male

### Affordable Basic Needs

- Higher income (\$50K+)
- Older (50+)
- Male
- *Least among African Am.*

### Transportation Services for Disabled/Seniors

- Lower income (<\$50K)
- Older (65+)
- Male

### Job Opportunities

- Male
- Caucasian/Hispanic
- Higher income (\$100K+)

### Transportation Services to Assist Residents

- African Am.
- Older (65+)
- Male
- Lowest income (<\$25K)

### Low Interpersonal Violence

- Male
- Older (65+)
- *Least among African Am.*

### Schools Offer Healthy Food Choices

- Younger (<65)
- Male
- *Least among Caucasian*

### Ample/Safe Affordable Housing

- Male

(N=1368) **Top 2 Box Agreement**

Q.5 - Using the scale below, please indicate how much you agree or disagree with the following statements about your community.



## Community Strengths – by Ethnicity

- Caucasians and Asians tend to rate community services higher than African Americans or Hispanics.

	<i>Caucasian (n=754) (A)</i>	<i>African American (n=266) (B)</i>	<i>Hispanic (n=164) (C)</i>	<i>Asian (n=82) (D)</i>
Safe Outdoor Places to Walk/Play	77% <sup>BC</sup>	44%	59% <sup>B</sup>	76% <sup>BC</sup>
Good Place to Raise a Family	77% <sup>BCD</sup>	44%	57% <sup>B</sup>	68% <sup>BC</sup>
Easy to Find Fresh Fruits/Veggies	83% <sup>BCD</sup>	50%	71% <sup>B</sup>	71% <sup>B</sup>
Places to Socialize	76% <sup>BCD</sup>	52%	59%	66% <sup>B</sup>
Easy to Live Healthy Lifestyle	67% <sup>BC</sup>	40%	48%	67% <sup>BC</sup>
Low Level of Violence	62% <sup>BC</sup>	34%	45% <sup>B</sup>	59% <sup>BC</sup>
Educational Opportunities	56% <sup>BCD</sup>	32%	39%	39%
Affordable Basic Needs	45% <sup>B</sup>	33%	42% <sup>B</sup>	44% <sup>B</sup>
Transportation Services for Disabled/Seniors	40%	44%	40%	35%
Job Opportunities	40% <sup>BD</sup>	28%	42% <sup>BD</sup>	23%
Low Interpersonal Violence	34% <sup>B</sup>	24%	37% <sup>B</sup>	43% <sup>B</sup>
Ample/Safe Affordable Housing	29% <sup>B</sup>	21%	27%	35% <sup>B</sup>
Schools Offer Healthy Food Choices	27%	37% <sup>A</sup>	41% <sup>A</sup>	33%
Transportation to Assist Residents	32%	40% <sup>AD</sup>	34%	26%

Rate most services lowest

### Top 2 Box Agreement

Q.5 - Using the scale below, please indicate how much you agree or disagree with the following statements about your community.  
 (A/B/C/D) = Significantly greater than indicated cell at the 90% confidence level.

## Community Strengths – by Age

- Older residents (50+) are more positive towards many community services such as finding fresh fruit, safe outdoor places to walk, good place to raise a family, educational opportunities and affording basic needs vs. their younger counterparts.

	21-49 (n=542) (A)	50-64 (n=390) (B)	65+ (n=353) (C)
Safe Outdoor Places to Walk/Play	61%	71% <sup>A</sup>	73% <sup>A</sup>
Good Place to Raise a Family	57%	71% <sup>A</sup>	74% <sup>A</sup>
Easy to Find Fresh Fruits/Veggies	68%	77% <sup>A</sup>	76% <sup>A</sup>
Places to Socialize	60%	71% <sup>A</sup>	75% <sup>A</sup>
Easy to Live Healthy Lifestyle	48%	64% <sup>A</sup>	68% <sup>A</sup>
Low Level of Violence	43%	55% <sup>A</sup>	67% <sup>AB</sup>
Educational Opportunities	39%	54% <sup>A</sup>	53% <sup>A</sup>
Affordable Basic Needs	37%	44% <sup>A</sup>	47% <sup>A</sup>
Transportation Services for Disabled/Seniors	33%	39% <sup>A</sup>	47% <sup>AB</sup>
Job Opportunities	35%	40% <sup>C</sup>	32%
Low Interpersonal Violence	28%	32%	41% <sup>AB</sup>
Ample/Safe Affordable Housing	24%	28%	30% <sup>A</sup>
Schools Offer Healthy Food Choices	35% <sup>C</sup>	31% <sup>C</sup>	24%
Transportation to Assist Residents	28%	31%	41% <sup>AB</sup>

Older residents (65+) more favorable towards transportation systems

Younger residents (<65) feel schools offer healthy food choices

### Top 2 Box Agreement

Q.5 - Using the scale below, please indicate how much you agree or disagree with the following statements about your community.

(A/B/C) = Significantly greater than indicated cell at the 90% confidence level.

## Community Strengths – by Gender

- Males report more positive feeling toward most community services than females.

	<i>Male (n=296) (A)</i>	<i>Female (n=992) (B)</i>
Safe Outdoor Places to Walk/Play	68%	67%
Good Place to Raise a Family	71% <sup>B</sup>	65%
Easy to Find Fresh Fruits/Veggies	75%	73%
Places to Socialize	71%	67%
Easy to Live Healthy Lifestyle	64% <sup>B</sup>	58%
Low Level of Violence	64% <sup>B</sup>	50%
Educational Opportunities	56% <sup>B</sup>	46%
Affordable Basic Needs	51% <sup>B</sup>	40%
Transportation Services for Disabled/Seniors	46% <sup>B</sup>	38%
Job Opportunities	41% <sup>B</sup>	35%
Low Interpersonal Violence	43% <sup>B</sup>	29%
Ample/Safe Affordable Housing	32% <sup>B</sup>	25%
Schools Offer Healthy Food Choices	36% <sup>B</sup>	30%
Transportation to Assist Residents	38% <sup>B</sup>	31%

### Top 2 Box Agreement

Q.5 - Using the scale below, please indicate how much you agree or disagree with the following statements about your community.

(A/B) = Significantly greater than indicated cell at the 90% confidence level.

## Community Strengths – by Income

- In general, those in higher income brackets are more positive to their community services versus those in lower income groups.

	<i>Under \$25K (n=47) (A)</i>	<i>\$25-50K (n=78) (B)</i>	<i>\$50-100K (n=156) (C)</i>	<i>\$100-150K (n=130) (D)</i>	<i>\$150K+ (n=100) (E)</i>
Safe Outdoor Places to Walk/Play	54%	56%	69% <sup>AB</sup>	75% <sup>AB</sup>	84% <sup>ABCD</sup>
Good Place to Raise a Family	56%	55%	69% <sup>AB</sup>	76% <sup>AB</sup>	82% <sup>ABC</sup>
Easy to Find Fresh Fruits/Veggies	60%	63%	74% <sup>AB</sup>	84% <sup>AB</sup>	83% <sup>ABC</sup>
Places to Socialize	60%	60%	68% <sup>AB</sup>	74% <sup>AB</sup>	77% <sup>ABC</sup>
Easy to Live Healthy Lifestyle	47%	48%	61% <sup>AB</sup>	65% <sup>AB</sup>	70% <sup>ABC</sup>
Low Level of Violence	44%	42%	56% <sup>AB</sup>	60% <sup>AB</sup>	63% <sup>AB</sup>
Educational Opportunities	40%	38%	49% <sup>AB</sup>	58% <sup>AB</sup>	58% <sup>AB</sup>
Affordable Basic Needs	37%	34%	44% <sup>AB</sup>	45% <sup>AB</sup>	53% <sup>ABC</sup>
Transportation Services for Disabled/Seniors	47% <sup>CD</sup>	44% <sup>D</sup>	38% <sup>AB</sup>	32% <sup>AB</sup>	39%
Job Opportunities	34%	31%	37% <sup>AB</sup>	42% <sup>AB</sup>	47% <sup>ABC</sup>
Low Interpersonal Violence	35%	28%	30% <sup>AB</sup>	33% <sup>AB</sup>	38% <sup>B</sup>
Ample/Safe Affordable Housing	32% <sup>B</sup>	22%	25% <sup>AB</sup>	31% <sup>AB</sup>	26%
Schools Offer Healthy Food Choices	33%	30%	27% <sup>AB</sup>	32% <sup>AB</sup>	38% <sup>C</sup>
Transportation to Assist Residents	45% <sup>BCDE</sup>	34%	32% <sup>AB</sup>	28% <sup>AB</sup>	28%

Lower income residents tend to rate the available transportation systems high.

### Top 2 Box Agreement


Q.5 - Using the scale below, please indicate how much you agree or disagree with the following statements about your community.

(A/B/C/D/E) = Significantly greater than indicated cell at the 90% confidence level.

## Personal Health Habits and Practices

### Self-Description of Overall Health

- In all, about one-half of residents describe their health as being excellent or very good; over one-third describes it as good, while 13% say their health is fair or poor.




(N=1368)  
Q.6 - How would you describe your overall health?

## Self-Description of Overall Health – by Subgroups


### Age:

Younger residents describe their overall health being just slightly better vs. older residents.



### Gender:

Males and females describe their overall health about the same.




(N=1368)  
Q.6 - How would you describe your overall health?

## Self-Description of Overall Health – by Subgroups – (continued)


### Ethnicity:

Caucasians generally describe their health as best while Asians describe their health as worst vs. other ethnic groups.



### Income:

Higher income = better self described health.




(N=1368)  
Q.6 - How would you describe your overall health?


## Self-Description of Understanding and Eating Healthy

- The vast majority of residents feel they understand what food is healthy, but fewer say they eat healthy food on a regular basis.
- Those with higher incomes are more likely than lower income residents to eat healthy on a regular basis.
- While African Americans claim to understand what healthy food is, they are the least likely to eat healthy regularly.

Have enough information to understand what food is healthy



Eat healthy food on a regular basis



(N=1368)

Q.11 - Do you feel that you...

Gender: (A/B) = Significantly greater than indicated cell at the 90% confidence level.


Income: (A/B/C/D/E) = Significantly greater than indicated cell at the 90% confidence level.

Ethnicity: (A/B/C/D) = Significantly greater than indicated cell at the 90% confidence level.





## Self-Description of Physical Activity

- In all, 7 of 10 residents claim to be physically active; highest among the higher income brackets.
- Males appear to be more active versus females and Hispanics are the least physically active ethnic group.



**# Times Exercise per Week**  
(Among those who are physically active)  
(N=961)



Q.11 - Do you feel that you...

Q.11 - How often do you exercise each week?


Gender: (A/B) = Significantly greater than indicated cell at the 90% confidence level.

Income: (A/B/C/D/E) = Significantly greater than indicated cell at the 90% confidence level.

Ethnicity: (A/B/C/D) = Significantly greater than indicated cell at the 90% confidence level.


## Activity Level of Children in Household

- In households with children, the large majority are eating breakfast daily and are physically active.
- While both Hispanics and Asians have a high level of children present in the household, they have the lowest level of physically active children.



(N=1368)  
 Q.11a - Do you have any children that live with you?  
 Q.11b - Do they eat breakfast before the start of the school day?  
 Q.11c - Would you describe your child(ren) as physically active or sedentary during after school hours and weekends?  
 (A/B/C/D) = Significantly greater than indicated cell at the 90% confidence level.


## Profile of Those in "Excellent/Very Good" Health vs. Those in "Fair/Poor" Health



## Incidence of Screening Tests and Conditions Diagnosed

### Incidence of Screenings/Exams/Tests – Past 2 Years

- Hispanics are significantly less likely versus Caucasians and African Americans to get any screening tests or exams. Asians have a reported low level of obtaining mammograms, prostate cancer and skin cancer screenings.
- Higher income residents are more likely to get screening tests than lower income residents.



(N=1368)

Q.7 - Please indicate if you have had, or participated in, the services that are listed below in the past 2 years



## Incidence of Screenings/Exams/Tests – by Ethnicity

Least likely to get preventative screening tests

	<i>Caucasian</i> (n=754) (A)	<i>African American</i> (n=266) (B)	<i>Hispanic</i> (n=164) (C)	<i>Asian</i> (n=82) (D)
Blood Pressure Check	95% <sup>BC</sup>	87% <sup>C</sup>	66%	96% <sup>BC</sup>
Cholesterol Screening	79% <sup>BC</sup>	63% <sup>C</sup>	52%	82% <sup>BC</sup>
Diabetes/Blood Sugar Check	77% <sup>BC</sup>	67% <sup>C</sup>	55%	81% <sup>BC</sup>
Heart Disease Education	51% <sup>C</sup>	51% <sup>C</sup>	29%	49% <sup>C</sup>
Annual Physical Exam	82% <sup>BCD</sup>	73% <sup>C</sup>	60%	73% <sup>C</sup>
Dental Screening	80% <sup>BCD</sup>	69% <sup>C</sup>	58%	66%
Vision Screening	80% <sup>BC</sup>	73% <sup>C</sup>	59%	77% <sup>C</sup>
Mammogram/Breast Exam (Females)	71% <sup>BC</sup>	56% <sup>C</sup>	39%	63% <sup>C</sup>
Prostate Cancer Screen (Males)	48% <sup>BC</sup>	27%	15%	38% <sup>C</sup>
Flu Shot	72% <sup>BC</sup>	60%	58%	82% <sup>ABC</sup>
Skin Cancer Screening	46% <sup>BCD</sup>	18%	17%	23%
Hearing Screening	36%	46% <sup>AC</sup>	36%	43%
Nutrition Education	31%	49% <sup>ACD</sup>	31%	32%
Parenting Classes	3%	14% <sup>A</sup>	10% <sup>A</sup>	9% <sup>A</sup>
Mental Health Counseling	21% <sup>D</sup>	22% <sup>D</sup>	20% <sup>D</sup>	12%
Alcohol/Drug Counseling	4%	9% <sup>A</sup>	7%	6%
Stop Smoking Program	3%	9% <sup>ACD</sup>	3%	4%

Q.7 - Please indicate if you have had, or participated in, the services that are listed below in the past 2 years.  
 (A/B/C/D) = Significantly greater than indicated cell at the 90% confidence level.

## Incidence of Screenings/Exams/Tests – by Age

	21-49 (n=542) (A)	50-64 (n=390) (B)	65+ (n=353) (C)
Blood Pressure Check	84%	94% <sup>A</sup>	95% <sup>A</sup>
Cholesterol Screening	58%	85% <sup>A</sup>	86% <sup>A</sup>
Diabetes/Blood Sugar Check	62%	82% <sup>A</sup>	83% <sup>A</sup>
Heart Disease Education	41%	56% <sup>A</sup>	52% <sup>A</sup>
Annual Physical Exam	70%	82% <sup>A</sup>	84% <sup>A</sup>
Dental Screening	70%	80% <sup>AC</sup>	74%
Vision Screening	69%	80% <sup>A</sup>	84% <sup>A</sup>
Mammogram/Breast Exam (Females)	45%	83% <sup>AC</sup>	76% <sup>A</sup>
Prostate Cancer Screen (Males)	11%	50% <sup>A</sup>	70% <sup>AB</sup>
Flu Shot	59%	71% <sup>A</sup>	80% <sup>AB</sup>
Skin Cancer Screening	25%	41% <sup>A</sup>	43% <sup>A</sup>
Hearing Screening	34%	38%	42% <sup>A</sup>
Nutrition Education	36%	35%	33%
Parenting Classes	11% <sup>BC</sup>	4% <sup>C</sup>	*
Mental Health Counseling	26% <sup>BC</sup>	19% <sup>C</sup>	12%
Alcohol/Drug Counseling	6% <sup>C</sup>	6% <sup>C</sup>	3%
Stop Smoking Program	5% <sup>C</sup>	5% <sup>C</sup>	2%

Most screening exams skew towards the older population (50+), with the exception of mental health/drug counseling and parenting classes

Q.7 - Please indicate if you have had, or participated in, the services that are listed below in the past 2 years.  
 (A/B/C) = Significantly greater than indicated cell at the 90% confidence level.  
 \* = Less than 0.5%.

## Incidence of Screenings/Exams/Tests – by Gender

	Male (n=296) (A)	Female (n=992) (B)
Blood Pressure Check	90%	90%
Cholesterol Screening	72%	73%
Diabetes/Blood Sugar Check	72%	73%
Heart Disease Education	51%	48%
Annual Physical Exam	77%	77%
Dental Screening	70%	76% <sup>A</sup>
Vision Screening	75%	76%
Mammogram/Breast Exam (Females)	NA	63%
Prostate Cancer Screen (Males)	41%	NA
Flu Shot	72%	68%
Skin Cancer Screening	32%	35%
Hearing Screening	43% <sup>B</sup>	36%
Nutrition Education	32%	36%
Parenting Classes	4%	7% <sup>A</sup>
Mental Health Counseling	18%	21%
Alcohol/Drug Counseling	6%	5%
Stop Smoking Program	4%	4%

Females tend to have a higher incidence than males with regard to dental screening, while males report higher hearing screenings

Q.7 - Please indicate if you have had, or participated in, the services that are listed below in the past 2 years.  
 (A/B) = Significantly greater than indicated cell at the 90% confidence level.  
 NA = Not applicable.

## Incidence of Screenings/Exams/Tests – by Income

	<b>Under \$25K</b> (n=47) (A)	<b>\$25-50K</b> (n=78) (B)	<b>\$50-100K</b> (n=156) (C)	<b>\$100-150K</b> (n=130) (D)	<b>\$150K+</b> (n=100) (E)
Blood Pressure Check	80%	87% <sup>A</sup>	93% <sup>AB</sup>	94% <sup>AB</sup>	98% <sup>ABCD</sup>
Cholesterol Screening	55%	67% <sup>A</sup>	77% <sup>AB</sup>	79% <sup>AB</sup>	88% <sup>ABCD</sup>
Diabetes/Blood Sugar Check	62%	67%	78% <sup>AB</sup>	77% <sup>AB</sup>	82% <sup>AB</sup>
Heart Disease Education	37%	46% <sup>A</sup>	53% <sup>AB</sup>	53% <sup>A</sup>	55% <sup>AB</sup>
Annual Physical Exam	67%	74%	83% <sup>AB</sup>	77% <sup>A</sup>	87% <sup>ABD</sup>
Dental Screening	51%	65% <sup>A</sup>	81% <sup>AB</sup>	84% <sup>AB</sup>	92% <sup>ABCD</sup>
Vision Screening	54%	76% <sup>A</sup>	81% <sup>A</sup>	79% <sup>A</sup>	92% <sup>ABCD</sup>
Mammogram/Breast Exam (Females)	45%	48%	68% <sup>AB</sup>	76% <sup>ABC</sup>	82% <sup>ABC</sup>
Prostate Cancer Screen (Males)	33%	45% <sup>A</sup>	37%	59% <sup>ABD</sup>	28%
Flu Shot	62%	57%	73% <sup>AB</sup>	73% <sup>AB</sup>	77% <sup>AB</sup>
Skin Cancer Screening	16%	25% <sup>A</sup>	35% <sup>AB</sup>	47% <sup>ABC</sup>	47% <sup>ABC</sup>
Hearing Screening	37%	36%	39%	35%	41%
Nutrition Education	37%	37%	37%	38%	34%
Parenting Classes	11% <sup>CE</sup>	9% <sup>CE</sup>	4%	7% <sup>E</sup>	2%
Mental Health Counseling	25% <sup>E</sup>	21% <sup>E</sup>	20% <sup>E</sup>	23% <sup>E</sup>	10%
Alcohol/Drug Counseling	14% <sup>BCDE</sup>	5% <sup>E</sup>	4% <sup>F</sup>	3%	1%
Stop Smoking Program	7% <sup>DE</sup>	5% <sup>E</sup>	4% <sup>F</sup>	3%	1%

Alcohol/drug counseling is more common among poverty level residents


Highest incomes have more screening tests

Q.7 - Please indicate if you have had, or participated in, the services that are listed below in the past 2 years.  
(A/B/C/D/E) = Significantly greater than indicated cell at the 90% confidence level.



## Conditions Diagnosed by Physician (Self or Family Member)


- Older residents (50+) report being diagnosed with more conditions versus their younger counterparts, although depression/anxiety, smoking addiction and substance abuse skew towards the younger population.
- Males report somewhat higher incidence of high blood pressure, high cholesterol, cancers and lung disease, while females report more weight issues, depression/anxiety, asthma and smoking addictions.



(N=1368)  
Q.8 - Have you, or a household family member, ever been told by a doctor or other health professional that you have had any of the following?

## How Conditions Are Being Managed

- The large majority of those reporting high blood pressure, diabetes, high cholesterol, heart conditions, asthma and kidney disease are currently under care for their conditions, with some reporting the condition no longer exists, does not require treatment or is currently being monitored on their own.




NOTE: Multiple mentions.

Q.9 - Are you/household family member currently under care for this [CONDITION]?

Q.10 - Why are you/household family member not under current care for the [CONDITION] you mentioned? Would you say it is because...

### How Conditions Are Being Managed – (continued)

- Of those reporting weight issues, 44% say they are currently under a physician's care for the condition, while about one-third (32%) say they are currently monitoring on their own; 12% say the condition does not warrant treatment and a handful say the condition no longer exists.
- While a majority of those diagnosed with depression/anxiety are currently under care, some are monitoring it on their own, say it doesn't require treatment or the condition no longer exists.




NOTE: Multiple mentions.

Q.9 - Are you/household family member currently under care for this [CONDITION]?

Q.10 - Why are you/household family member not under current care for the [CONDITION] you mentioned? Would you say it is because...

### How Conditions Are Being Managed – (continued)



NOTE: Multiple mentions.


Q.9 - Are you/household family member currently under care for this [CONDITION]?

Q.10 - Why are you/household family member not under current care for the [CONDITION] you mentioned? Would you say it is because...


## Additional Data

### Statements About Ample Food/Food Assistance Programs


"We worried whether our food would run out before we got money to buy more."



"The food that we bought just didn't last and we didn't have money to get more."



"We rely on a community supper program, food pantry or meal assistance program to supplement our household."



Those who agree with these statements tend to be:  
lower income, younger, African Am. or Hispanic.

(N=1368)

Q.12 - Please read the following statements that people have made about their food situation.

For each one, indicate how true the statement was for your household over the last 12 months.

## Physician Habits

- Older and higher income residents are significantly more likely versus their younger/lower income counterparts to visit the same doctor or group every year or two for a check-up, while the younger and lower income residents are more likely to visit the doctor only when sick or need medical care.
- Hispanics and Asians tend to visit the doctor only when sick or urgent care is needed.

	Total	Age			Income					Ethnicity			
		21-49 (A)	50-64 (B)	65+ (C)	<25 (A)	25-50 (B)	50-100 (C)	100-150 (D)	150+ (E)	Caucasian (A)	AA (B)	Hispanic (C)	Asian (D)
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Go to Dr/group every year or two for check-up	73	65	77 <sup>A</sup>	84 <sup>AB</sup>	64	66	79 <sup>AB</sup>	80 <sup>AB</sup>	86 <sup>ABC</sup>	79 <sup>BCD</sup>	73 <sup>C</sup>	58	67
Go to Dr/group only when sick/hurt	24	31 <sup>BC</sup>	21	19	33 <sup>CDE</sup>	35 <sup>CDE</sup>	23 <sup>E</sup>	17	14	21	25	36 <sup>AB</sup>	39 <sup>AB</sup>
Go to Urgent Care or ER when need medical care	11	16 <sup>BC</sup>	8	6	20 <sup>BCDE</sup>	10 <sup>F</sup>	6 <sup>E</sup>	10 <sup>F</sup>	2	8	11	21 <sup>AB</sup>	13

(N=1368)

NOTE: Multiple mentions.

Q.13 - When you need medical care, which of the statements below best describes you?


Age: (A/B/C) = Significantly greater than indicated cell at the 90% confidence level.

Income: (A/B/C/D/E) = Significantly greater than indicated cell at the 90% confidence level.

Ethnicity: (A/B/C/D) = Significantly greater than indicated cell at the 90% confidence level.

## Likelihood of Accessing Medical Care Virtually

- Few residents indicated a strong likelihood of accessing medical care virtually.



(N=1368)

Q.14 - If you were able to access medical care virtually, for example, through FaceTime or Skype, how likely would you be to use this type of technology?

## Sampling of Additional Comments - (Reference Data File for Complete List)



Q.15 - Use the space below to expand on a topic previously mentioned or an important health-related topic that was not mentioned in this survey.



**4. FOCUS GROUP DISCUSSIONS AND WORLD CAFÉ MEETING**

**A. FOCUS GROUPS**

Five focus groups were held with 55 participants across five Mercer County geographic areas:

- Hamilton/Robbinsville
- Pennington/Hopewell Borough and Township
- East and West Windsor/Hightstown
- City of Trenton
- Lawrenceville/Ewing/Princeton

Participants were community leaders representative of the following organizations; government, health and wellness, chronic disease, behavioral health, non-profits, education, faith-based, business/employers, public safety, veterans, and transportation.

Areas discussed included service needs and challenges, resource availability, family and patient support; stigma; challenges and barriers; underserved consumers; and integrated care.

**Overall Findings**

Each community noted improvement over the past few years. Better service and/or overall increase in services in the areas identified below were most commonly mentioned.

Area	Definite Improvements		‘Some’ Improvement
Hamilton/Robbinsville	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Clinics for uninsured families</li> <li>• Support for those disabled</li> <li>• Veterans programs</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Childhood obesity</li> <li>• Senior services availability</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Opioid addiction</li> </ul>
Pennington/Hopewell	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Hospital access</li> <li>• Anti-smoking campaign</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Parental support in various areas – medical and emotional</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Information flow</li> <li>• Broader drug/alcohol reach</li> <li>• Mental health support</li> </ul>
Windsor/Hightstown	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Senior transportation</li> <li>• Wkly BP screening</li> <li>• Improved coordination with police</li> <li>• Closer hospital and EMT closer to police</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• New leadership</li> <li>• Lock boxes for elderly</li> <li>• Politicians more in touch with community</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Vision/hearing screener availability</li> </ul>
City of Trenton	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Employment improved</li> <li>• Young adults doing more in community</li> <li>• More small businesses opening</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Food pantry availability</li> <li>• Heightened community activism/collaboration</li> </ul>	n/a
Lawrenceville/Ewing/Princeton	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Improved partnership with law enforcement</li> <li>• General outreach improved</li> <li>• Health services for undocumented population</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Hospitals engaging more</li> <li>• Availability of more physical space</li> <li>• Heightened health focus</li> </ul>	n/a

Each community had a few notable differences, with Trenton identifying the greatest number of concerns:

- Hamilton/Robbinsville: Hunger/Food insecurity
- Pennington/Hopewell: Loss of Planned Parenthood
- East/West Windsor/Hightstown: Immigrant population, hospital emergency room wait times (no specific hospital identified)
- Lawrenceville/Ewing/Princeton: Access to healthy food in Ewing

- City of Trenton: hunger/food insecurity; maternal/pediatric services; employment; emergency medical service; teen pregnancy; homelessness/poverty; violence/racism; infant mortality; sexually transmitted disease; lead poisoning.

While discussing community improvement, there was a singular commonly voiced theme regarding coordination/communication. All groups mentioned the need for better coordination/communication across the various volunteer/governmental service organizations.

- *“There’s no coordination of services and I don’t know exactly where to point/send people. As a result, services go underutilized.”*
- *“Communities and senior centers need to work together – there’s no oversight.”*
- *“Currently we are in silo’s that don’t communicate.”*
- *“We need a central communication port, connecting all of the services together.”*
- *“We have so much need in this area, and often volunteers don’t know what is available or how to connect people to what they know is available.”*

Although occasional improvements were noted, respondents characterized access to care as “not good” or “in need of improvement”; this was true across all groups. Access was often intertwined with lack of a broader communication network.

There were eight common concerns/needs that were consistently mentioned across the five groups.

- Community/network connectedness/communication
- Access to care
- Mental health: opioid addiction, stress/anxiety management, suicide prevention
- Transportation barriers
- Language barriers/immigrant populations
- Senior adult needs - veterans’ services in some areas
- Education: health, parenting, social media, tutoring programs, bullying
- Medical conditions: Alzheimer’s/dementia, asthma, cancer, cardiovascular disease, hypertension, childhood obesity, chronic pain.

When asked to prioritize their common areas, similarities and differences among communities were noted. Common to all geographies were five main needs:

- Coordination and communication of information/services between agencies
- Access to care as broadly defined
- Greater focus on mental health issues and health education in general
- Senior Services
- Transportation

Priority areas that differed by community included:

- Hopewell/Robbinsville: Preventative medical conditions, parenting classes
- Pennington/Hopewell: Elderly, safe drinking water
- Windsor/Hightstown: Domestic violence, veterans’ services

- Trenton: Institutional racism, employment, gang violence, chronic poverty, nighttime safety, nutrition, emergency services, employment/lack of opportunity
- Lawrence/Ewing/Princeton: Access to nutritional food, access for low income/no insurance, affordable housing, sexually transmitted disease, parent education, chronic disease focus (cancer, Alzheimer's)

The following describes specific discussions at each of the five locations.

### **Hamilton/Robbinsville**

#### **Areas of Improvement**

Regardless of some perceived improvement, most indicated there was still more to be done in each of the areas identified.

- Opioid awareness
- More programs are initiated at the hospital at the time of intervention.
- More clinics available for uninsured families to receive healthcare
- Narcan availability and opioid training now in high school at Hamilton
- Clinics for wellness visits, unvaccinated children who are uninsured, STD's in adults (Hamilton).
- Many families with special needs (mental emotional) – Ability Tree now in Robbinsville.
  - Helps families with a variety of issues for those with disabilities (e.g., transfer from school to adult life etc.)
- Various veteran programs via Office of Veteran Affairs (e.g., resource room for vets, vet mentors, etc.)

In other areas some improvements were noted. Also identified was an increased demand, which made it hard to keep up with needs.

- Childhood obesity has diminished.
- More aging senior services are available than previously.
- Increased demand for mental health services for aging seniors.
- While many services were available it was very hard to know who provided, what was provided and where services were located.
- Strong need for communication among all services and lack of appropriate networking between providers.

#### **Access Needs**

- Insurance
- Linkage to appropriate care
  - Access to physicians
  - Hospital access
  - Affordable medical services
  - Access to medication
- Affordable housing
- Transportation needs

- Over population causes lack of resources (e.g., vaccines, well care)

Overall access to care was seen as the single, key factor in maintaining community health.

### Greatest Health Concerns

#### 1. Mental health

- Opioid addiction (resources in the community)
  - Viewed as a family disease that needs to involve educators, spiritual leaders and prosecutors
- Lack of insurance
- Lack of rehab space for time sensitive conditions
- Loved ones who are not supportive
- No recovery housing
- Increased crime
- Marijuana use (Robbinsville)
- Difficult to sustain sobriety in the addiction community
- Stressful culture
  - How to manage the environment: life culture pressure: over-scheduled kids, adults, home life, finances, college, etc.
  - Generalized anxiety
- Suicide prevention
- Breakdown of the family unit
  - Leads to anxiety and substance abuse
- Counseling availability for emotional issues
- Social media/bully concerns

#### 2. Seniors

- Education
- Transportation for elderly and disabled growing – have waiting lists; vets also have transportation needs.
  - “much space taken up for dialysis patients, doesn’t leave much room for senior transport”.
  - “Many are frightened to drive – others can’t drive”
  - “Need for senior friendly Uber”
- Medication compliance and education
- Connections between support system and compliance.
- Affordability of care
- Concerns re: those still driving

#### 3. Education (Health and Wellness)

- How to live with health concerns/conditions
  - Educating people about eating and living healthily
- Special needs populations (autistic training)
- Diabetic care education
- Limited access for some populations
- Nutrition education and understanding

4. Food Insecurity
  - Children and adults
    - “1 in 5 kids are food deprived.”
  - Lack of healthy food requires education to eat healthy
    - “It’s easier to go with fast food or corner bodegas.”
5. General Increase in Violence
  - Dating violence
  - Gun violence (in parts of Hamilton)
  - Domestic violence
  - Impact on students
  - Support of those abused
6. Language Barriers
  - Limited English proficiency/education (residents from Haiti, Ghana, Mexico)
  - Decrease in ESL enrollment down 33%
    - “no one wants to come forward given the political environment today, ESL enrollment is a way down due to the federal crackdown via ICE.”
7. Veterans
  - Need more attention: WWII and Vietnam veterans all different (PTSD)
  - Not enough services locally
8. Parenting Classes
  - Focusing on: coping skills, entitlement, helicoptering, addressing the desire for instant gratification/quick fix.
9. Therapy Dogs for PTSD, seizures and dementia
10. Pedestrian and bike safety
11. Medication compliance
12. Physician specialists lacking Endocrinologists, dermatologists, and rheumatologists

#### Medical Concerns and Problems

- Alcoholism – increase in the number of persons found passed out
- Alzheimer’s
  - Money and care worries
  - Stress for caregivers
- Cancer
- Childhood obesity
- Chronic pain management
- Dementia
  - Aging problems
  - 70% of residents in facility have some form of dementia.
  - Lack of nurses to spend time with patients in once/month
- Diabetes: diabetic care follow-up
- Hep C increases
- STD’s in young teens

## Areas Prioritized for Attention

Overall the county itself is overgrown and the current infrastructure falls short in meeting all needs.

- Coordination of information and services across communities
- Diabetic care
- Access to care specifically focused on:
  - Hospitals and doctors – particularly specialists (e.g. Endocrinologists)
  - Coordinated information
  - Knowledge of available resources
  - Insurance coverage
- Mental health – specifically opioid addiction and stress management
- Opioid addiction
  - “We need to do more/deal with it now; focus on prevention and diminishing over-prescribing of drugs; need to have something like linkage to care.”
  - “it’s an epidemic – We are trying to educate schools, spiritual leaders, etc. We are frustrated because there are no beds immediately available for the uninsured...not enough beds available – We are constantly begging nonprofit groups for help...it becomes a family disease.”
- More community focus on certain prevalent medical conditions that are underserved: diabetes, cancer, childhood obesity and alcoholism (prevention, management, and treatment)
- Parenting classes that focus on the concerns identified.

## **Pennington, Hopewell Borough, Hopewell Township**

### Areas of Improvement

- Hospital access
- Support for parents of children (medical and emotional)
- Anti-smoking efforts

### Areas in Need of Further Improvement

- Flow of information
- Broader reach with drugs and alcohol
- Mental health

### Access Needs

- Grant applications
  - “We can only have better access to care if we receive more money than we get from grant applications and right now the money is running out.”*
- Insurance needs
- Transportation
  - Lack of cabs
  - Transport for those living alone that goes beyond Meals on Wheels
  - Access, so the elderly are able to function independently
  - Better, faster identification and support of people in need

## Greatest Health Concerns

1. Mental health
  - Stress/Pressure
    - Especially those going to college
    - Parental education about stress
  - Reduction of stigma associated with mental health
  - Lack of resources for mental health issues
  - Suicide/Cutting
2. Connectedness of Community
  - Communication needs to improve
  - Review/address community financial resources
  - Cultural competence
    - Providers/Organizations need to more effectively deliver health care services that meet the social, cultural and linguistic needs of patients.
3. Drug use was viewed as increased. Some believed use was increasing because the stigma associated with drug use was down.
  - Opioid addiction
    - Narcan in schools and uncertain if that is good or bad
  - Heroin, vaping more common
  - Lack of insurance to cover treatment
  - Schools taking a therapeutic approach
  - Random drug testing in some places
4. Loss of Planned Parenthood viewed as critical.

## Additional Concerns

5. Seniors
  - Need better information on community resources
  - Focus on senior falls
  - Transportation (seniors and disabled)
  - Housing
6. Poverty in certain areas needs to be addressed.
7. Housing
  - Need for more affordable housing
  - Project Freedom, of some help, but more is needed.
8. Immigration Integration
  - Other vulnerable populations
9. Education
  - Need for tutoring programs
  - Violence and Bullying
  - Gender and Fluidity training

### Medical Conditions and Problems

- Hypertension
- Sexually transmitted diseases
- Under-age drinking
- Concussions
- Nutrition
- Alcoholism
- Sleep Disturbances

### Four Areas Prioritized for Immediate Attention

1. Communication needs to improve from the municipality/community perspective
  - Need to encompass and focus on:
    - Better community health
    - School districts
    - Social media
2. Elderly Population
  - Identification of resources and adequate care for elderly
3. Education and Tutoring
  - Tutoring programs
  - Violence/Bullying
  - Sexual consent
  - Gender and sexual fluidity
4. Ensuring Safe Drinking Water
  - Older system – need to evaluate water access as well as water lead levels

### **East Windsor, West Windsor, Hightstown**

#### Areas of Improvement

- Availability of RISE (a community service partnership)
- Senior transportation has improved
- Availability of weekly blood pressure screenings
- Penn Medical providing closer hospital services
- 24 hr. EMT now near the police station
- Politicians more in tune with community needs
- Blue Angel lock box for elderly
- Congregation works with police chief re: drugs/Rx drugs
- New leadership and health screening
- Greater trust in/use of social media
- Vision and hearing screening availability – in progress



## Access Needs

- Participants viewed access as hindered because of a lack of a broader communication network that would aid connections and collaborations.
  - General concept of volunteers, chairperson and Network not always apparent.
  - Government involvement was thought necessary.

“There is a sense of provincialism – we’re all trying to solve the same problems.”
- Access to care was considered problematic in terms of both services and specific populations.
  - Reaching appropriate doctors
  - Training
  - Lack of cancer screening
  - Medicaid cutbacks
  - Adult/Senior care
  - Uninsured populations
  - Undocumented populations
  - Language barriers (need more bi-lingual support)
  - Health programs

## Areas of Need

- Mental health
  - Substance abuse
  - Domestic violence
  - Any crisis
  - Stress (school-aged children)
  - Psychological barriers
  - Differences between East and West Windsor
- Adult population needs
  - Uninsured adults
  - Homelessness
  - Access to doctors
  - Domestic violence
- Schools – schools should be safe haven
  - Suicide concern – middle or high school
  - Loneliness of students
  - Stress over academics
  - Backpack Food Program – not utilized in middle school – children thought to be embarrassed
  - Bullying
- Immigrant concerns – ICE
  - Undocumented – trickle down problems
  - Language barriers
  - Need for clothing and food
  - Availability of non-English tutors
  - Summer programs for children

In assuming needs in these communities, a few commented that there were no measurable results for any of the initiatives put into place.

#### Other Needs

- Drug abuse
  - Prescription drug use
  - HIV/AIDS
    - Hyacinth Foundation helpful
  - Marijuana (edible and liquid)
- Emergency Room of hospital
  - Basically, a holding pen
- RISE – Tremendous service group
  - Always looking for more volunteers
- Sexual orientation
  - LGBTQ numbers are less – but still a problem
- Better public transportation
- 24/7 mobile response needed
- Lack of Veterans’ services

#### Medical Conditions of Concern

- Alcoholism
- Asthma
- Cardiovascular problems
- Dental health
- HIV
- Obesity
- Pregnancy
- Sexually transmitted diseases

The need for community networks and adequate communication between those networks was consistent in both Windsor/Hightstown.

#### Areas Prioritized for Attention

- Mental health
- Stress relief for students, parents and teachers
  - Teachers specifically noted feeling the stress of school shootings
- Medical transport
  - Cardiac issues and falls
- Domestic violence
  - Related to alcoholism
  - Recurring stress
- Translators needed
  - No translators available; currently young children are translators for parents

- Transportation issues
- Veterans' Services
  - No VA hospital/clinics

### City of Trenton

Focus group members felt there had been a “climate change” for the better over the past two years (hope for change with new leadership but not enough accomplished).

Also noted was a desire to do more, especially among young adults who want to affect change and contribute back to the community.

Positive changes were noted, *“more small business and restaurants showing up (i.e., Starbucks), leading to more hiring of locals and workers around the community”* and more outreach from existing institutions.

It was noted that *“even with all the budget cuts at the libraries, they are doing many free programs for people. Social workers come in to help the homeless, mentor programs, partnering with other groups.”* All were seen as positive moves.

Additional positive changes noted were:

- More community awareness – better collaboration among groups
  - More people coming together
- Food Pantry
  - Demand greater but amount and quality of food better
  - Improved leadership communication with suppliers
- Trentonians are better served because of heightened community awareness, activism and better collaboration
- Employment is a bit better

Access was considered a HUGE issue in Trenton, particularly as related to medical care.

- Medical Care
  - Erosion – maternal/child care is missing issue, maternity departments closed left and right, and the nearest is very far
    - “Through grants they are improving access to care, free pregnancy testing, WIC. Grants allow grassroots knocking on doors to see who needs care, bus tickets allow access to care.”*
  - No pre-natal care
  - No OB GYNs in Trenton, only 1 free clinic
  - Most OBs do not accept Medicaid
  - Doctor time limitation because of insurance
  - Infant mortality rate of Blacks vs Whites is 3X more
  - Babies are being born addicted to drugs
  - Diminishing nursing care/doctors
  - Lack of Patient Advocates

- Transportation issues – no bus service to Capital Health
  - Clinic sees 1,400 patients each month. 120 new pregnancies a month – it still takes 3-5 weeks to get an appointment. Pre-natal Clinic has a shuttle to Capital Health.

Additional concerns that fall under the umbrella of access included:

- Health literacy
  - The need to teach patients how to interact with physicians
  - The need for better explanation of conditions, medications, lab work, billing to patients by physicians and pharmacists
 

*“Very confusing, even if they get it they can’t find doctors or can’t figure it out, so they end up not using it. The system is not set up to help them – too many barriers. Policies change all the time. Unsure of what is covered, labs, appointments, etc. It’s hard enough for professionals to navigate the System, much less the everyday people.”*
- Insurance changes
  - Coverage problems in general and need for broader range of coverage options to support health care choices
  - Have to teach them what to ask for
- Language barriers
 

*“In the communities there are lots of single moms. They don’t have coverage, but the kids do. However, there are language barriers for quite a few.”*
- Racial barriers
  - Closure of services
  - Opioid addiction services but not crack cocaine
  - Services in general
- Mental health
  - Trenton Psychiatric Hospital closed
 

*“Now there is an influx of mentally ill at libraries since they are a safe haven with a roof. ODs in bathrooms. This is the only place to possibly find access to information about care. Their needs are not being met; the Police Department monitors the library and tries to help with tips on the System, suggest other resources, clinics. However, the libraries worry about their own employees and visitors with the mentally ill.”*
  - Ill-equipped, lacking resources for employees and patients with mental health problems
- Public health services are diminishing or closing
 

*“Once you figure it out, things change or there are closures.”*

Underlying the areas of concern was the *“negative perception of people who live in Trenton, which creates an internal powerlessness, a living the perception stigma.”*

## Areas of Concern

- Mental health
  - Anxiety, depression/other psychiatric illnesses
  - Students disempowered/disenfranchised
  - Suicide prevention needed
  - Cyber bullying (fed by Social Media)
- Hunger/Food insecurity
  - Corner stores only – high sodium, poor quality, etc.  
*“Food stamps have been cut back due to the lack of redemption rates but there is no access to food. There is still a huge need.”*
  - Lack of supermarket in the area
  - WIC offering some fresh food
  - No place to redeem vouchers: County cutbacks
  - Lack of access to fresh foods/cost
    - Farmers’ Market only open May-October
- Medical issues
  - Maternal and pediatric service concerns
  - Infant prematurity
  - Preventative health care including support for alternative health care approaches (i.e., support for immune system and enhance good health)
- Immigrant population issues (Haitian, Egyptian, Nigerian, Spanish, Polish)  
*“Is the library safe from ICE? Parents don’t even take their own kids to school out of fear. ESL is available but not for disabled groups, ADD, autism, etc. If there has been an ICE issue you see a huge drop within the next days/weeks of immigrants coming in for services.”*
- School environment
  - School-to-prison pipeline
  - Narcan in bathroom
  - Need for leadership development

In discussing other areas of concern/need, participants talked about a generalized disappointment because so much is stacked against the City and the County. The issues cited included:

- Poverty: chronic and concentrated  
*“Outside investors come in and buy buildings, turn them into Section 8 housing. People don’t work, don’t contribute to the community.”*
- Violence
  - Sexual assault and abuse
- Drug use/opioid addiction
  - Racial disparity
  - Mothers addicted
- Homelessness
  - Lack of affordable housing
- Veterans’ Services
  - Lack of services for Trenton Vets – have to go to other areas for care

- Racism
  - Apparent in closure of services
- Women
  - Low status of women
  - No Women’s professional representative
- Institutional racism
  - Racial disparity
- Seniors: General Elder Care
- Suicide prevention
  - “Of 15 kids in a youth group, 4 personally knew someone who committed suicide.”
- Sexual assault by adults on young people
  - Family members or those in the community
- Transportation
  - Inefficient public transportation
  - Transportation systems difficult to navigate
- Smoking cessation
- Employment
  - Job readiness/education
- Teen pregnancy
  - Teen pregnancy rates are the same as they were 4 to 5 years ago, approximately one-third of 100 kids under 17
- College graduates
  - “They don’t come back; were not raising in-house leaders.”
- Health education
  - Health literacy
  - How proper food and nutrition can prevent and reverse disease

With few exceptions, similar medical conditions were noted for Trenton that were identified in other communities.

- Asthma in the young
- Cancer
- Depression
- Diabetes
- Heart disease
- HIV
- Infant mortality
- Lead poisoning rampant (leads to misdiagnosis)
- Obesity/childhood obesity
- Pre-natal care
- Sexually transmitted diseases

Trenton participants had the longest list of priority needs compared to the other communications. The list included:

- Mental health
  - Access to services
  - Education on mental health

- Preventative health care
- Substance abuse
- Mental health Patients' Bill of rights
  - Felt to work against families and caregivers
- Health care
  - Access to maternity and pediatric services
  - Health literacy
  - Preventative health care
- Institutionalized racism
  - School-to-prison pipeline
  - Treatment in health care system
- Transportation services
  - Lack of public transportation; need Medicaid for Mercer County T.R.A.D.E.
- Employment
  - Job readiness – high school education
- Outside perceptions of Trenton creates internal powerlessness
- Gang violence
- Chronic poverty
- Nighttime safety
- Nutrition – children and elderly
- Emergency services
- Overall lack of services
- Employment/job readiness

There were several other issues and concerns raised by participants including a lack of leaders from Trenton.

“Civic association volunteers are residents, but most other leaders are from outside of Trenton.”

Also highlighted were safety concerns and a sense that between 5:30-6:00 PM the City shuts down.

“Even for meetings, people say they can’t come out in the dark.”

Participants were also concerned about budget cuts impacting mentoring programs and about outsiders (Pipeline) building a City of concentrated poverty.

### **Lawrence, Ewing and Princeton**

Among the improvements noted in the Lawrence, Ewing and Princeton area was a heightened awareness about what is available and what is being done was considered a good change over the past few years. Other improvements included:

- Law Enforcement
  - Improved partnership/relationship
- Undocumented high school population
  - Well Baby does exams to help Moms stay in high school
- Hospitals are engaging more with the community
- Outreach has improved

- More vans available, allowing greater spread of health information
- Facility Increase
  - Improved/larger physical spaces
  - Greater access to families
- Health focus heightened
  - Health Fairs – block parties
  - Health coaches: diabetic/cardiac – although not enough use of them

Access issues were focused on five areas, with support for mental health most dominant.

- Mental health
  - Adolescent/child psychiatry
  - Sibling relationships
  - ‘Telemental’ health
- Transportation
  - Provided by private groups – but more publicity is needed to heighten awareness e.g., disability buses
- Access to broader medical care
  - Currently insufficient for low income families
- Insurance issues
- The dental or vision plans for all

Participants saw a greater need for more information in many areas.

- Mental health
  - Depression/anxiety/suicide – middle school, high school and adults
  - Healthy coping skills
  - Prevention program in the high school
  - Anxiety and pressure/stress for trivial matters
  - Generational change Post 911: greater stress, more threatening environment
- Education
  - Behavioral health
  - Alzheimer’s
  - Bullying
- Access to healthy food (Ewing)
  - Boxed dinners/garden-to-table
  - Send Hunger Packing, but there is a stigma with that
  - Food Pantry
  - General food insecurity
- Immigrant populations (Asian-Seniors – more well-to-do; Latinos – younger)
  - Undocumented minors and children of undocumented parents
  - No vision/dental – limited to no access to health care
  - Children worry about parents
  - Afraid to go to WIC services – will be denied seen as a ‘public burden’.



Other areas in which respondents saw a need for further improvement included:

- Elderly
  - Nursing home
  - Home health available, but insurance issues
  - Ongoing need for support with activities of daily living
  - Lack of awareness of volunteer services
  - Degree of media literacy (no computers, smart phones, etc.); lack of ability to communicate with seniors
- Transportation
  - Always an issue, but more of one outside Trenton
- Communication
  - Need for a central referral location, perhaps through law enforcement – ‘See something, say something’.
  - How do we connect people to services offered?
  - How do we create neighborhood groups?
  - Where are community resources?
  - Ensure other helping organizations know what each is doing in each community.

Participants saw a need for improvements in a number of additional areas, including:

- Women
  - Sexual assault
  - Domestic violence
- Volunteer Programs
  - Group shopping
  - Specific neighborhood groups
- Substance Abuse
  - Drugs: DARE – Lawrenceville and Corner House – Princeton
- Veterans’ Services
  - Need for more home care connections
- Affordable Housing
  - Home First – limited and expensive
- Weekend Health Care
  - ED is the only resource
  - Need coordinated access to doctors on Saturday and Sunday
- Dental Care
  - One-third of kids are not receiving care
- Understanding Hospital billing
- Nicotine Addiction
  - Tobacco/smoking/vaping at the high school level
  - Vaping devices – high nicotine

The following medical conditions were noted as areas of concern:

- Alcoholism
- Alzheimer’s disease
- Cancer
- Chronic disease
- Dementia
- HPV
- Opioid addiction
- Parkinson’s disease
- Pulmonary Lung Disease

The final list of priority issues developed was greater than anticipated:

- Senior Home Care
  - Education about home care
- Access to nutritional food
- Depression/stress – all ages
- Access to health care for low income or uninsured—not enough free or sliding scale services
- Transportation
- Affordable housing
- Collaboration of Social Service Agency (holistic) Hope Group
- STDs in young and adults
- Opioid addiction education
- Cancer prevention
- Chronic disease prevention
- Alzheimer’s education/management
- ADHD parent support and how to recognize signs for proper diagnosis
- Information sharing/promotion strategy
  - Need for digital strategy
- Outdoor Programs for County – low cost
- Improved medical access (mental health care, dental, vision)

## **B. WORLD CAFÉ MEETING**

The Greater Mercer Public Health Partnership, in cooperation with Community Advisory Board, held a World Café Listening Session on April 25, 2018. A World Café, or Knowledge Café, is a structured conversation held with groups of people who discuss a topic area at several tables, with individuals switching tables periodically and being introduced to the previous discussion at their new table by a table host. Among the topics were, “What Does a Healthy Community Look Like?”; “Whose Voice Do We Still Need to Hear?”; and “What One Thing Do You Wish We Could All Work Together On Over The Next Three Years To Make Our Towns Healthier?” A summary of the perspectives provided by World Café participants is presented below. Please see **Appendix E** for the World Café Exercise Report.

**What Does a Healthy Community Look Like?**

- Access to healthy food
- Walkable communities; safe streets
- Little or no disease
- Employment
- Health knowledge/awareness
- Mental health wellness
- Safety
- Built/clean environment
- Access to health systems
- Community involvement

**What Do You View as a Barrier to Good Health in Mercer County?**

- Insurance
- Access to care
- Time to live healthy
- Communication
- Access to transportation
- Health literacy
- Low income, poverty and lack of resources
- Access to food
- Safe roads
- Stigma

**Whose Voice Do We Still Need to Hear?**

- Insurance representatives
- School district representatives
- Faith-based organizations
- Sick and elderly who can't get to meetings
- Adolescents/Youth
- LGBTQ community
- Law enforcement,
- Homeless community
- Illegal immigrants

**Which parts are most relevant to our community health assessment?**

- Mental health
- Access to resources
- Chronic disease data
- Overcoming barriers
- Data

From the discussions, the group formulated a summary strength, weaknesses, opportunities and threats (SWOT), as follows.

Strengths	Weaknesses
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ CAB member interest in learning about community health needs</li> <li>▪ Collaboration between community partners</li> <li>▪ Member commitment/Involvement</li> <li>▪ Shared resources</li> <li>▪ Using CHIP to apply for grants</li> <li>▪ Passion to support the underserved</li> <li>▪ Members want to be actively engaged and make a difference</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Unidentified unrepresented populations</li> <li>• Built environment (healthy/green spaces)</li> <li>• Access to healthy affordable foods especially for underserved</li> <li>• Lack of access to affordable transportation</li> <li>• Significant health inequities by zip code</li> <li>• Document meetings and conversations with GMPHP leadership</li> <li>• Limited internal quality improvement</li> <li>• Objectives weren't SMART</li> <li>• Collect more localized data (vulnerable populations by priority area)</li> </ul>
Opportunities	Threats
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Conduct focus groups identify health inequities experienced by vulnerable populations</li> <li>• Collect mental health and substance abuse data to address mental health needs</li> <li>• Professional development training (ex:Lean Six Sigma QI Trainings)</li> <li>• Work with Priority Area Leaders to develop SMART objectives</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Lack of awareness of GMPHP's CHA/CHIP process among new members</li> <li>• Lack of funding for local data collection</li> <li>• Funding for programs, marketing and resources</li> <li>• Gaps in accountability in CHIP Implementation</li> </ul>

## **5. RWJUH HAMILTON HEALTH PROFILE**

The RWJUH Hamilton Health Profile provides comparative analysis of health outcomes and health factors across the region, neighboring counties, New Jersey, *Healthy People 2020* targets and County Health Rankings benchmarks. Health outcomes depict the health of a region. Health factors represent health influences within a geographic area; an evaluation of health behaviors, access to care, social, economic and cultural specific issues and behavioral health are provided. Included also are social determinants of health, factors that influence health outcomes, disparities in health, and equity in health care. Whenever possible, the Health Profile represents the RWJUH Hamilton service area; however, Mercer County data are provided when local data were unavailable.

### **A. RWJUH HAMILTON SERVICE AREA OVERVIEW**

RWJUH Hamilton is located in Mercer County in west central New Jersey, bordering Somerset and Hunterdon counties to the north and Middlesex, Monmouth and Burlington counties to the east and south. The Delaware River and Pennsylvania are on the western edge of the county. The county encompasses a land mass of 226.1 square miles with seven townships, three boroughs, 34 zip codes, and 371,183 residents. The county and its subdivisions are now largely suburban with Trenton as its principal urban center. The RWJUH Hamilton service area (SA) is home to 307,091 residents. Trenton is the capital of the U.S. state of New Jersey and the county seat of Mercer County.

Trenton and Hamilton are two of the most populated communities in the State of New Jersey. Trenton sits on the banks of the Delaware River and features four distinct wards with many neighborhoods. Its distinct architecture and new residential spaces that were once industrial buildings reflect emerging urban renewal. In contrast, small boroughs such as Pennington, Hightstown and Hopewell feature parks, recreational areas, a living history farm and wildlife centers, local business establishments and residential neighborhoods. Five colleges and universities are located in Mercer County; Princeton is internationally recognized for its outstanding Princeton University.<sup>5</sup>

RWJUH Hamilton's service area population is growing slower than the State overall. Between 2010 and 2018, the population increased 0.9% compared to the statewide growth of 2.0%. Princeton saw the greatest population growth (5.4%) during this time. While the county's population is 49.1% white, the greatest racial/ethnic increase occurred among Asians (29%), Hispanic/Latinos (20.4%) and persons of two or more races (24.8%).<sup>6</sup> The service area age distribution consists of a large percentage under age 17 (21.8%), particularly in Trenton. Areas with high concentrations of seniors are found in Hopewell (18.3%) and Trenton zip code 08690 (20.4%) and exceed the statewide average of 16%.

### **B. SOCIAL DETERMINANTS OF HEALTH**

Social determinants of health include socioeconomic and environmental factors which influence health outcomes, disparities in health, equity in health care, and are important tools to assess health at the local level. *Healthy People 2020* provides a framework for assessing social determinants of health across five topic areas: economic stability; education; social and community context; health and health care; and,

---

<sup>5</sup> <http://www.mercercounty.org/explore>

<sup>6</sup> All demographic data are from Claritas 2019 population estimates.

neighborhood and built environment. While a relatively affluent county, there are residents of RWJUH Hamilton’s service area that face many socioeconomic challenges that may have consequences for health and health care in the region.<sup>7</sup> In particular, the Trenton area differs from much of the suburban parts of the county in that its population is more racially and ethnically diverse, economically challenged and least favorable with regard to most health status indicators.


## 1. Economic Stability

### Poverty

Many believe that the Federal Poverty Level (FPL) understates true poverty and is prejudicial to New Jersey as it fails to adjust for differences in the cost of living across states.

Mercer County is comparable to New Jersey with 11.2% of residents sustaining an income below poverty.

- Overall, Mercer County families, people, and children had a higher or equal percentage of an income below federal poverty level than the Statewide rate.
- Fewer Mercer County seniors had income below poverty compared to seniors statewide.
- Trenton zip code 08611 poverty rates among individuals (25.3%) and families (25.6%) are higher than RWJUH Hamilton PSA and New Jersey. Individuals in Trenton zip code 08608 had the highest poverty rate (25.3%) in the service area.



Source: United States Census 2016 5 Year ACS Estimates<sup>8</sup>

<sup>7</sup> <https://www.healthypeople.gov/2020/topics-objectives/topic/social-determinants-of-health>


<sup>8</sup> Numbers are too small to count.

- Hopewell has a lower percentage of individuals below poverty (4.1%) and families (1.9%) in the area reviewed, the state and the county.
- Trenton zip codes 08611 and 08638 have the among highest individual poverty rates and exceed those of the State.

<b>INDIVIDUALS BELOW POVERTY (2016*)</b>			<b>FAMILIES BELOW POVERTY (2016*)</b>		
<b>GEOGRAPHIC AREA</b>	<b>#</b>	<b>%</b>	<b>GEOGRAPHIC AREA</b>	<b>#</b>	<b>%</b>
New Jersey	956,005	10.9%	New Jersey	181,398	8.1%
Mercer County	39,793	11.2%	Mercer County	7,216	8.2%
<b>RWJUH SERVICE AREA</b>	<b>33,902</b>	<b>11.04%</b>	<b>RWJUH SERVICE AREA</b>	<b>6,035</b>	<b>8.03%</b>
08690 Trenton (Hamilton Square)	341	1.8%	08690 Trenton (Hamilton Square)	56	1.1%
08648 Lawrence	1,680	5.6%	08648 Lawrence	313	4.0%
08540 Princeton	2,445	5.5%	08540 Princeton	398	3.3%
08525 Hopewell	203	4.1%	08525 Hopewell	27	1.9%
08638 Trenton (Ewing)	4,304	19.7%	08638 Trenton (Ewing)	726	13.9%
08611 Trenton	6,170	25.3%	08611 Trenton	1,304	25.6%
08608 Trenton	210	26.3%	08608 Trenton	0	0.0%

\* Source: US Census ACS Survey 2016 5 Year Estimates

## RWJUH Hamilton Service Area Percent of Families with Incomes Below the Poverty Level




\* Source: US Census ACS Survey 2016 5 Year Estimates

To ascertain the number of households that may be struggling due to the high cost of living in New Jersey we turned to the United Way’s Asset Limited Income Constrained Employed project (ALICE)<sup>9</sup> to get a better idea of the number of households that earn more than the Federal Poverty Level but less than the basic cost of living in Mercer County. As shown in the chart below, the ALICE Threshold (AT) combined the number of households in poverty and ALICE households equals the population struggling to afford basic needs. In Mercer County, this percentage amounts to 27% (2014).

<sup>9</sup> <http://www.unitedwaynj.org/ourwork/aliceatnj.php>



### Household Income 2007-2014



The United Way’s analysis shows ALICE households in Mercer County may earn above the Federal Poverty Level for a single adult, \$11,670, or \$23,850 for a family of four, but less than the household survival budget.

### Household Survival Budget, Mercer County

	SINGLE ADULT	2 ADULTS, 1 INFANT, 1 PRESCHOOLER
<b>Monthly Costs</b>		
<b>Housing</b>	\$900	\$1,225
<b>Child Care</b>	\$-	\$1,252
<b>Food</b>	\$202	\$763
<b>Transportation</b>	\$382	\$609
<b>Health Care</b>	\$152	\$510
<b>Miscellaneous</b>	\$19	\$640
<b>Taxes</b>	\$350	\$889
<b>Monthly Total</b>	\$2,185	\$5,611
<b>ANNUAL TOTAL</b>	\$26,220	\$67,332
<b>Hourly Wage</b>	\$13.11	\$33.67

Sources: **2014 Point-in-Time Data:** American Community Survey. **ALICE Demographics:** American Community Survey; the ALICE Threshold. **Budget:** U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD); U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA); Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS); Internal Revenue Service (IRS); State of New Jersey Department of the Treasury; Child Care Aware NJ (CCANJ).

There appear to be wide differences among municipalities in Mercer County in terms of the percentage of households living in poverty or at the AT. Hopewell has a lower (15%) and Trenton has a higher (69%) percentage of households living in poverty or at the AT.

**Households Living in Poverty or at the AT  
Mercer County, 2014**

Mercer County, 2014		
Town	Total HH	% ALICE & Poverty
<b>East Windsor</b>	9,790	33%
<b>Ewing</b>	12,661	35%
<b>Hamilton</b>	33,734	38%
<b>Hightstown</b>	2,071	36%
<b>Hopewell</b>	771	21%
<b>Hopewell</b>	6,672	15%
<b>Lawrence</b>	12,410	22%
<b>Princeton</b>	9,528	24%
<b>Robbinsville</b>	5,138	24%
<b>Trenton</b>	27,998	69%
<b>West Windsor</b>	9,664	19%


*Note: Municipal-level data on this page is for Places and County Subdivisions, which include Census Designated Places (CDP). These are overlapping geographies so totals will not match county-level data.  
Municipal-level data often relies on 5-year averages and is not available for the smallest towns that do not report income.*

**Unemployment**


Those who are unemployed face greater challenges to health and well-being, including lost income and health insurance. Unemployed individuals are 54% more likely to be in poor or fair health as compared to employed individuals. According to CHR, racial and ethnic minorities and those with less education, often already at-risk for poor health outcomes, are most likely to be unemployed. Labor statistics indicate unemployment rates peaked at the height of the recession in 2010, and began to show some improvement by 2014.

- Between 2014 and 2016, the unemployment rate for Mercer County declined from 6.9% to 5.6%. Individual zip codes also declined except for Hopewell and Trenton zip code 08608.
- The 2016 Mercer County unemployment rate is higher than the state and all comparison counties other than Camden.
- Trenton zip code 08611 had the highest unemployment rate in 2016, 8.8%.

### State, County and Select Zip Code Unemployment Comparisons



### Mercer County




Source: United States Census 201320142016 5 Year ACS Estimates

## Income

Income allows families and individuals to purchase health insurance and medical care, but also provides options for healthy lifestyle choices. While the starkest difference in health is between those with the highest and lowest incomes, this relationship persists throughout all income brackets.<sup>10</sup>

- In 2016, Mercer County’s median household income was slightly higher than the median household income statewide.
- Mercer County’s median household income declined from 2014 to 2016. Income levels increased from 2014 to 2016 for all SA zip codes except for Hopewell, Princeton, Hamilton Square, and Trenton zip codes 08638 and 08611.
- Princeton and Hopewell had the highest median household income level and Trenton zip code 08608 had the lowest.
- Household income levels were lowest in Trenton zip code 08608 compared to all other geographic areas.

**Median Household Income (2016)  
State and County Comparisons**




Source: United States Census 2016 5 Year ACS Estimates

<sup>10</sup> [www.countyhealthrankings.org/our-approach/health-factors](http://www.countyhealthrankings.org/our-approach/health-factors)

### RWJUH Hamilton Service Area

HOUSEHOLD INCOME (2018*)	
GEOGRAPHIC AREA	MEDIAN
New Jersey	\$87,916
Mercer County	\$88,125
RWJUH Hamilton	\$82,197
<b>08610 Hamilton</b>	<b>\$ 38,687</b>
<b>08619 Mercerville</b>	<b>\$ 88,125</b>
<b>08620 Hamilton</b>	<b>\$ 96,162</b>
<b>08628 Trenton</b>	<b>\$ 54,509</b>
<b>08690 Hamilton Square</b>	<b>\$ 123,842</b>
<b>08691 Robbinsville</b>	<b>\$ 47,833</b>



\* Source: Claritas 2018 Estimates


Indicator	Healthy People 2020 Target	County Health Rankings Benchmark	New Jersey
<b>Unemployment</b> <i>Percent of Labor Force Unemployed</i>	N.A.		
<b>Income</b> <i>Median Household</i>	N.A.		
<b>Income in the Past Year Below Federal Poverty Level</b> <i>Percent of Total Population</i>	N.A.	N.A.	
<b>Income in the Past Year Below Federal Poverty Level</b> <i>Percent of Families</i>	N.A.	N.A.	
<b>Income in the Past Year Below Federal Poverty Level</b> <i>Percent of Children</i>	N.A.	N.A.	
<b>Income in the Past Year Below Federal Poverty Level</b> <i>Percent of Seniors</i>	N.A.	N.A.	

### Temporary Assistance Needy Families (TANF)

In order to qualify for TANF in New Jersey, applicants must comply with all requirements of Work First New Jersey. This includes signing over rights of child support payments, helping to establish paternity of children, cooperating with work requirements and applying for all assistance programs for which a household may be eligible. Additionally, eligible applicants must meet income and resource guidelines.<sup>11</sup>

- In 2017, 0.22% of Mercer County adults received TANF benefits, higher than the statewide rate. TANF beneficiaries declined from 2015 to 2017.
- In 2017, 2.0% of Mercer County children received TANF benefits, greater than Burlington and Middlesex counties. TANF beneficiaries declined from 2015 to 2017.


**Temporary Assistance to Needy Families  
State & County Comparisons Adults 2015 – 2017**



Source: [http://www.nj.gov/humanservices/dfd/news/cps\\_dec17.pdf](http://www.nj.gov/humanservices/dfd/news/cps_dec17.pdf)

<sup>11</sup> <http://www.tanfprogram.com/new-jersey-tanf-eligibility>

### Temporary Assistance to Needy Families State & County Comparisons Children 2015 - 2017



Source: [http://www.nj.gov/humanservices/dfd/news/cps\\_dec17.pdf](http://www.nj.gov/humanservices/dfd/news/cps_dec17.pdf)


#### **Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP)**

SNAP offers nutrition assistance to millions of eligible, low-income individuals and families. The Food and Nutrition Service works with State agencies, nutrition educators and neighborhood and faith-based organizations to ensure that those eligible for nutrition assistance make informed decisions and access benefits.<sup>12</sup>

- In 2017, 5.2% of Mercer County adults received SNAP benefits, lower than the statewide rate.
- SNAP beneficiaries declined from 2015 to 2017.
- In 2017, 18.03% of Mercer County children received SNAP benefits, greater than Burlington and Middlesex counties.
- The percentage of Mercer County children and adults receiving SNAP benefits ranks in the middle performing quartile among all counties.

<sup>12</sup> <http://www.fns.usda.gov/snap/supplemental-nutrition-assistance-program-snap>


### Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) State & County Comparisons Adults 2015 - 2017



Source: [http://www.nj.gov/humanservices/dfd/news/cps\\_dec17.pdf](http://www.nj.gov/humanservices/dfd/news/cps_dec17.pdf)



### Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) State & County Comparisons Children 2015 - 2017



Source: [http://www.nj.gov/humanservices/dfd/news/cps\\_dec17.pdf](http://www.nj.gov/humanservices/dfd/news/cps_dec17.pdf)


#### **Children Eligible for Free Lunch**

Public schools nationwide and across New Jersey have free lunch programs for children living at or near poverty. New Jersey requires public schools serve school lunches meeting at least one-third of recommended dietary allowances. According to the National School Lunch Program, the objective is “to provide a nutritious, well-balanced lunch for children in order to promote sound eating habits, to foster good health and academic achievement and to reinforce the nutrition education taught in the classroom.”<sup>13</sup>

- In 2015-2016, the percentage of children eligible for free lunch (41%) is greater than the Statewide rate.
- The Mercer County rate for children eligible for free lunch is greater than that of Burlington and Middlesex counties.

<sup>13</sup> [http://www.nj.gov/agriculture/divisions/fn/childadult/school\\_lunch.html](http://www.nj.gov/agriculture/divisions/fn/childadult/school_lunch.html)

### Children Eligible for Free Lunch State & County Comparisons 2012 - 2016



Source: [http://www.nj.gov/humanservices/dfd/news/cps\\_dec17.pdf](http://www.nj.gov/humanservices/dfd/news/cps_dec17.pdf)

Indicator	Healthy People 2020 Target	County Health Rankings Benchmark	New Jersey
<b>Children Eligible for Free Lunch</b>	N.A.		
<b>SNAP (Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program)</b> <i>Percent of Population Receiving SNAP</i>	N.A.	N.A.	
<b>SNAP- Children</b> <i>Percent of Children Receiving SNAP</i>	N.A.	N.A.	
<b>WFNJ/TANF (Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program)</b> <i>Percent of Population</i>	N.A.	N.A.	
<b>WFNJ/TANF- Children</b> <i>Percent of Children</i>	N.A.	N.A.	

## 2. Education

### **Educational Attainment**


Higher levels of education are linked to better health, healthier lifestyle decisions and fewer chronic conditions.<sup>14</sup> Lower levels of educational attainment often signals issues of health literacy and inability to follow medical advice.

- New Jersey and Mercer County residents have a higher percentage of individuals who did not complete a high school education than the *Healthy People 2020* target of 2.1%.
- The percent of Mercer County residents that did not complete high school is slightly higher than statewide.

<sup>14</sup> [www.countyhealthrankings.org/our-approach/health-factors](http://www.countyhealthrankings.org/our-approach/health-factors)

- Trenton zip code 08611 had the highest level of individuals without a high school diploma (37.8%).
- Princeton had the highest percent of the population with a graduate or professional degree (50.4%).

### Educational Attainment State & County Comparisons 2016



Source: United States Census 2016 5 Year ACS Estimates




Baseline: 89.0 %  
Target: 97.9%  
Mercer County 2016: 87.7%

### Limited English Proficiency

The lack of English proficiency can negatively impact one's ability to understand and follow medical directions. Mercer County residents experienced a decrease in the percentage of the population over age 5 with limited English proficiency.

- The percent of Mercer County residents with limited English proficiency is slightly lower than the State.
- The percent of Mercer County residents with limited English proficiency increased by .3 percentage points between 2014 and 2016.

### Limited English Proficiency State & County Comparisons 2014 – 2016



Source: United States Census 2014 2016 ACS 5 Year Estimates; Persons Age 5+ reporting speaking English “less than well”.

### 3. Social and Community Context


#### Social Associations

Social isolation can negatively impact health outcomes. Having a strong social network is associated with healthy lifestyle choices, positive health status, and reduced morbidity and mortality. Participation in community organizations can enhance social trust and a sense of belonging.<sup>15</sup> Social associations include structured membership organizations such as civic organizations, bowling centers, golf clubs, fitness centers, sports organizations, religious organizations, political organizations, business and professional associations.

- Mercer County’s rate of association per 10,000 population (11.3) is higher than the State and comparison counties.
- The rate of association per 10,000 has remained consistent from 2013-2016.

<sup>15</sup> <http://www.countyhealthrankings.org/app/new-jersey/2015/measure/factors/140/description>

### Rate of Membership Organizations per 10,000 Population



Source: County Health Rankings, CDC Wonder Mortality Data, 2013 - 2016



National benchmark: 22.1  
Mercer County: 8.4

Indicator	Healthy People 2020 Target	County Health Rankings Benchmark	New Jersey
<b>Educational Attainment: No High School Diploma</b> <i>Percent of Population (Age 25+)</i>	N.A.	N.A.	
<b>Limited English Proficiency (LEP)</b> <i>Percent of Population (Age 5+)</i>	N.A.	N.A.	
<b>Membership Organizations</b>	N.A.		

#### 4. Health and Health Care

Access to affordable quality health care is important to physical, social, and mental health. Health insurance helps individuals and families access needed primary care, specialists, and emergency care, but does not ensure access. It is also necessary for providers to offer affordable care, be available to treat patients and be near patients.<sup>16</sup>

##### **Health Insurance**

The expansion of Medicaid coverage and the Affordable Care Act’s (ACA) coverage provisions, which began taking effect in 2010, helped decrease the nation’s uninsured rate by 7.2 percentage points, from 16 percent in 2010. That translates into 20.4 million fewer people who lacked health insurance in 2016 compared to 2010. The uninsured rate is estimated to have increased to 15.5% in the first quarter of 2018, meaning another 4 million lost coverage since 2016 due to changes in health policy and insurance


<sup>16</sup> <http://www.countyhealthrankings.org/our-approach/health-factors/access-care>

offerings. The uninsured are less likely to have primary care providers than the insured; they also receive less preventive care, dental care, chronic disease management, and behavioral health counseling. Those without insurance are often diagnosed at later, less treatable disease stages than those with insurance and, overall, have worse health outcomes, lower quality of life, and higher mortality rates.

Neighborhoods with low health insurance rates often have fewer providers, hospital beds and emergency resources than areas with higher rates. Even the insured have more difficulty getting care in these areas.

Cost can be a barrier to care even for those who have insurance. Lack of insurance creates barriers to timely access to care for patients and financial burdens to the providers who care for them.

- Since 2013, the nonelderly population without health insurance in Mercer County has trended downward, decreasing from 14.56 percent to 9.88 percent in 2015.
- From 2013 through 2015, Mercer County had consistently lower rates of nonelderly population without health insurance than statewide.
- The 2015 percent of the nonelderly population without health insurance (9.88%) is higher than the *Healthy People 2020* target of 0% and the County Health Rankings benchmark of 6%.



Source: *Healthy People 2020* - CDC Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System

County Health Rankings - US Census Bureau's Small Area Health Insurance Estimates (SAHIE)

Source: Sara R. Collins et al., First Look at Health Insurance Coverage in 2018 Finds ACA Gains Beginning and Reserve. Findings from the Commonwealth Fund Affordable Care Act Tracking Survey, Feb.-March 2018



Baseline: 10.0%  
Target: 0.0%  
Mercer County 2016: 9.88%



National Benchmark: 6.0%  
Mercer County 2016: 9.88%

## Access to Care


Access to affordable quality health care is important to ensuring physical, social, and mental health. Health insurance assists individuals and families to obtain primary care, specialists, and emergency care, but does not ensure access. Access to care goes beyond just insurance, it is also necessary for providers to offer affordable care, be available to treat patients and be near patients.<sup>17</sup>

### Primary Care Physicians

Nationally, many areas lack sufficient providers to meet patient needs; as of June 2014, there are about 7,200 primary care, 5,000 mental health and 5,900 dental federally designated Health Professional Shortage Areas in the US. Having a usual primary care provider is associated with a higher likelihood of appropriate care and better outcomes. In 2017, 88% of Americans had a usual source of care, but those with low incomes are less likely to than those with higher incomes, and the uninsured are twice as likely as the insured to lack a usual care source.<sup>18, 19</sup>

- Within Mercer County, the ratio of population to primary care physicians declined slightly from 947:1 to 938:1.
- From 2013 through 2015, the ratio of population to primary care physicians in Mercer County was lower than statewide.
- The 2015 Mercer County ratio of population to primary care physicians (938:1) compares favorably to the County Health Rankings benchmark of 1,030:1 and performs in the highest quartile in comparison to the state.

**Ratio of Population to Physician  
State & County Comparisons 2013 - 2015**



Source: County Health Rankings – HRSA Area Resource File



National Benchmark: 1030  
Mercer County 2016: 938

<sup>17</sup> <http://www.countyhealthrankings.org/our-approach/health-factors/access-care>

<sup>18</sup> <http://www.countyhealthrankings.org/our-approach/health-factors/access-care>

<sup>19</sup> <http://www.cdc.gov/fastfactsaccessstohealthcare.htm>

Indicator	Healthy People 2020 Target	County Health Rankings Benchmark	New Jersey
<b>Primary Care Physicians</b> <i>Rate/ 100000 Population</i>	N.A		
<b>Health Care Access/ Coverage</b> <i>Do You Have Any Kind of Coverage</i> % No			

### Community Need Index<sup>20</sup>


The Community Need Index (CNI), jointly developed by Dignity Health and Truven Health in 2004, is strongly linked to variations in community healthcare needs and is a strong indicator of a community’s demand for services.

Based on a wide array of demographic and economic statistics, the CNI provides a score for every populated ZIP Code in the United States. A score of 1.0 indicates a ZIP Code with the least need and a score of 5.0 represents a ZIP Code with the most need. The CNI is useful as part of a larger community health needs assessment to pinpoint specific areas with greater need than others.

The CNI score is an average of five barrier scores that measure socio-economic indicators of each community using 2017 source data:

#### 1. Income Barrier

- Percentage of households below poverty line, with head of household age 65 or older
- Percentage of families with children under 18 below poverty line
- Percentage of single female-headed families with children under 18 below poverty line



**COMMUNITY NEED INDEX (Dignity Health)**  
Darker Red Areas Indicate A Higher CNI Score (Higher Need)

<sup>20</sup> Truven Health Analytics, 2017; Insurance Coverage Estimates, 2017; Claritas, 2017; and Community Need Index, 2017. <http://cni.chw-interactive.org/>



2. Cultural Barrier
  - Percentage of population that is minority (including Hispanic ethnicity)
  - Percentage of population over age 5 that speaks English poorly or not at all
3. Education Barrier
  - Percentage of population over 25 without a high school diploma
4. Insurance Barrier
  - Percentage of population in the labor force, aged 16 or more, without employment
  - Percentage of population without health insurance
5. Housing Barrier
  - Percentage of households renting their home

A comparison of CNI scores and hospital utilization reveals a strong correlation between need and use. Communities with low CNI scores can be expected to have high hospital utilization. There is a causal relationship between CNI scores and preventable hospitalizations and ED visits for manageable conditions. Communities with high CNI scores may have more hospitalization and ED visits that could have been avoided with improved healthy community structures and appropriate outpatient and primary care.

- Trenton zip codes 08608 and 08609 CNI score (5.0) indicates highest need in the County, followed by Trenton zip codes 08611 (4.8), 08618/Ewing (4.4) and 08629/Hamilton (4.4).
- Conversely, Trenton zip code 08690/Hamilton Square’s score (1.4) represents the lowest CNI score in the County followed by Hopewell, Pennington and Trenton zip code 08691/Robbinsville (1.6) and Trenton zip code 08620/Hamilton (1.8).

#### Community Needs Index

	Service Area	ZIP Code	ZIP Code Description	CNI Score
<b>Highest CNI Score (Highest Need)</b>	RWJUH Hamilton	08608	Trenton	5.0
		08609	Trenton	5.0
		08611	Trenton	4.8
		08618	Trenton/Ewing	4.4
		08629	Trenton/Hamilton	4.4
		08610	Trenton	3.6
<b>Lowest CNI Score (Lowest Need)</b>	RWJUH Hamilton	08620	Trenton (Hamilton)	1.8
		08691	Trenton (Robbinsville)	1.6
		08501	Allentown	1.4
		08510	Millstone Township	1.4
		08690	Trenton (Hamilton Square)	1.4

Source: 2017 Dignity Health, Truven Health Analytics, 2016; Insurance Coverage Estimates, 2016; Claritas, 2016; and Community Need Index, 2016.

#### **Timeliness of Service**

A key indicator of the timeliness of service is emergency department (ED) utilization for conditions that could have been treated in a primary care setting.

Reasons for accessing the ED instead of a more appropriate, lower acuity level of care include:


- No regular source of primary care
- Lack of health insurance
- Cost
- Transportation
- Office hours
- Citizenship status

Fifteen percent of renter-occupied households and 3.5% of owner-occupied households in Mercer County do not have a motor vehicle. Trenton and Ewing areas have nearly nine percent of households without a vehicle. Eight percent of Mercer County residents use public transit to commute for work.<sup>21</sup>

### ***ED Utilization for Ambulatory Care Sensitive Conditions***

Ambulatory Care Sensitive Conditions (ACSC) are potentially preventable medical conditions that are treated in the ED although more appropriate care should have been provided in a non-emergent outpatient primary care setting. ED utilization rates may be reduced by addressing primary care access issues. Higher rates of ACSC conditions in Emergency Departments may indicate primary care access issues poor(er) preventative care among the population and in some instances health barriers related to socio-economic status.

The map shows the total New Jersey ACSC Emergency Department Rate by county. Dark Red shading represents the counties with the 5 highest rates in the State. White Shading represents the counties with the 5 lowest rates in the State. Pink Shading represents counties between the highest and lowest “Top 5s”.



<sup>21</sup> New Jersey Department of Health, Cancer Priority Alignment Tool: Mercer County 2017, p. 14 and 22.

- In 2016, Mercer County's ACSC ED visit rate (74.33/1,000) was 27.7% higher than the statewide rate (58.22/1,000).
- Mercer County had the sixth highest ACSC ED visit rate of the 21 Counties in 2016; at 74.33/1,000, there was a 1.2% increase from the 2013 rate.

### Total ACSC ED Visits Rate/1,000 Population


ACSC - ED Rate/1000				ACSC - ED Rate/1000			
COUNTY	NJ 2013	NJ 2016	Change '13-'16	COUNTY	NJ 2013	NJ 2016	Change '13-'16
CUMBERLAND	82.08	89.34	7.26	GLOUCESTER	53.34	53.60	0.27
CAMDEN	92.53	87.44	(5.09)	MONMOUTH	52.97	52.52	(0.46)
ESSEX	81.43	85.99	4.56	BURLINGTON	53.85	48.54	(5.31)
ATLANTIC	85.64	84.99	(0.65)	MIDDLESEX	48.46	47.45	(1.01)
SALEM	77.56	75.01	(2.55)	WARREN	36.90	41.28	4.38
MERCER	73.13	74.33	1.20	SUSSEX	25.76	36.14	10.38
PASSAIC	70.77	72.95	2.18	MORRIS	30.40	32.96	2.56
CAPE MAY	71.68	66.41	(5.27)	BERGEN	31.74	31.49	(0.25)
UNION	61.98	64.24	2.26	SOMERSET	30.77	30.51	(0.26)
HUDSON	58.01	63.83	5.81	HUNTERDON	23.72	26.62	2.90
OCEAN	62.11	59.29	(2.83)	STATEWIDE	57.56	58.22	0.65

Source: NJDHSS 2013/2016 UB-04 Data – NJ Residents; Population: United States Census American Community Survey 5yr Estimate

#### Children

- Children in Mercer County had a higher ED visit rate per 1,000 for ACSC conditions than New Jersey.
- RWJUH Hamilton's Service Area ED ACSC rate is higher than the Mercer County and the statewide rates.
- Trenton zip code 08611 has the highest ED ACSC rate for children at 303.47/1,000, more than triple that of the rate of the RWJUH Hamilton service area.

### Total ACSC ED Visits for Children (Age 0-17): Rate/1,000 Population




Source: UB-04 2016 Discharges

### ED ACSC VOLUME: TOP 5 BY SERVICE AREA ZIP CODES – Pediatric (Age 0-17) Rate / 1,000 Population

GEOGRAPHIC AREA	RATE
New Jersey	81.95
RWJUH Hamilton Service Area	97.47
Mercer County	104.38
HIGHEST SERVICE AREA RATES	
08611 Trenton	303.47
08609 Trenton	234.26
08618 Trenton/Ewing	205.21
08629 Trenton/Hamilton	178.00
08638 Trenton	168.42

Source: UB-04 2016 Discharges

## RWJUH Hamilton Total ED ACSC Rate – Pediatrics (Age 0-17)



Source: UB-04 2016 Discharges

- There was a total of 8,331 ACSC ED visits for children in RWJUH Hamilton’s service area.
- Children in Mercer County had a higher ED visit rate per 1,000 for ACSC conditions than New Jersey.
- The most common reason for an ACSC visit was ENT, followed by Asthma, Kidney/Urinary infection, Gastrointestinal Obstruction and Bacterial Pneumonia.
- Several Trenton Zip Codes had ACSC Rates that were 2 to 3 times greater than the county.
- RWJUH Hamilton’s service area use rates for ED visits for ACSC among children is higher than the State.

**ACSC ED Volume: Top 5 by Service Area – Pediatric (Age 0-17)**


**In 2016, the RWJUH Hamilton Service Area had a total of 8,331 cases of ED ACSCs in the 0-17 Age Cohort**



<b>EMERGENCY DEPARTMENT (2016) – AGE 0-17</b>		
<b>Service Area</b>	<b>ACSC Description (Top 5 RWJUH Hamilton)</b>	<b>TOTAL IN AREA</b>
<b>RWJUH Hamilton Service Area</b>	<b>ENT</b>	<b>5,117</b>
	<b>Asthma</b>	<b>934</b>
	<b>Kidney/Urinary Infection</b>	<b>422</b>
	<b>Gastrointestinal Obstruction</b>	<b>400</b>
	<b>Bacterial Pneumonia</b>	<b>361</b>
	<b>All Others</b>	<b>1,097</b>
<b>TOTAL RWJUH Hamilton Area</b>		<b>8,331</b>

Top 5 Based on Total ACSCs in RWJUH Hamilton Service Area

### Total ACSC ED Visits for Children (Age 0-17): Rate/1,000 Population Top 5 Conditions (2016)



ED ACSC (2016) Pediatrics (Age 0-17)				
Geographic Area	Rate	Geographic Area	Geographic Area	Rate
New Jersey	81.95	08611	TRENTON/HAMILTON	303.47
RWJUH Hamilton Service Area	97.47	08609	TRENTON	234.26
Mercer County	104.38	08618	TRENTON/EWING	205.21
		08629	TRENTON/HAMILTON	178.00
		08638	TRENTON	168.42

Source: UB-04 2016 Discharges

### ED ACSC VOLUME: TOP 5 BY SERVICE AREA ZIP CODES -PEDIATRIC (AGE 0-17)

SERVICE AREA	ZIP CODE	TOWN	#1 ENT	#2 Asthma	#3 Kidney/ Urinary Infection	#4 Gastro- intestinal Obstruction	#5 Bacterial Pneumonia	All others	Total of All ACSC By ZIP	Total ACSC Rate / 1000
	08611	TRENTON/HAMILTON	1,426	185	85	140	72	209	2117	303.47
	08609	TRENTON	528	95	33	41	28	84	809	234.26
	08618	TRENTON/EWING	973	200	91	66	73	218	1621	205.21
	08629	TRENTON/HAMILTON	378	42	43	38	15	61	577	178
	08638	TRENTON/EWING	468	130	37	32	23	105	795	168.42
	08610	HAMILTON	503	87	39	40	41	104	814	124.18
RWJUH Hamilton	08608	TRENTON	20	4	2	3	0	9	38	75.73
	08520	HIGHTSTOWN	233	40	23	17	31	85	429	60.44
	08619	TRENTON	139	29	18	5	11	38	240	60.44
	08505	BORDENTOWN	102	27	6	2	18	45	200	47.82
	08620	TRENTON	62	11	3	2	7	17	102	46.7
	08648	LAWRENCE	157	39	17	7	19	47	286	44.28
	08690	TRENTON	71	20	15	3	11	29	149	39.69
	08510	MILLSTONE	24	3	1	3	2	13	46	38.63
	08691	TRENTON	25	18	6	1	7	24	81	19.62
	08501	ALLEN TOWN	8	4	3	0	3	9	27	17.29
		RWJUH Hamilton	5,117	934	422	400	361	1097	8,331	97.47
		New Jersey	95,478	16,918	7,097	10,063	7,840	23,356	160,752	81.95
		Mercer County	5,266	986	448	429	374	1,169	8,672	104.38


Top 5 Based on Total ACSCs in RWJUH Hamilton Service Area<sup>22</sup>

<sup>22</sup> \* represents numbers too small to count.

Adults

- Mercer County’s Adult ED ACSC rate is higher than the statewide rate.
- Trenton zip code 08609 has the highest use rate, 207.89/1,000, higher than the state and almost four times the state.
- The second highest use rate occurs in Trenton/Ewing zip code 08618, 168.96/1,000, higher than the State.
- In 2016 RWJUH Hamilton had a total of 18,628 cases of ED ACSCs in the 18+ age.
- RWJUH Hamilton’s Service Area ED ACSC rate is higher than statewide and Mercer County rates.
- The top 5 causes of adult ACSC visits were for ENT, Kidney/Urinary Infection, Dental Conditions, Asthma, and Cellulitis.

**Total ACSC ED Visits for Adults (Age 18+): Rate/1000 Population**




Source: UB-04 2016 Discharges



**ACSC ED 2016 – Adults (Age 18+)  
Rate / 1,000 Population**

<b>GEOGRAPHIC AREA</b>	<b>RATE</b>
<b>New Jersey</b>	<b>52.13</b>
<b>RWJUH Hamilton Service Area</b>	<b>79.43</b>
<b>Mercer County</b>	<b>66.85</b>
<b>Top 5 By Zip Code</b>	<b>Rate</b>
<b>08609 Trenton</b>	<b>207.89</b>
<b>08618 Trenton/Ewing</b>	<b>168.96</b>
<b>08611 Trenton</b>	<b>156.98</b>
<b>08608 Trenton</b>	<b>137.86</b>
<b>08629 Trenton/Hamilton</b>	<b>116.14</b>

\*Source: UB-04 2016 Discharges



\*Source: UB-04 2016 Discharges

ACSC ED Volume: Top 5 by Service Area – Adults (Age 18+)

In 2016, the RWJUH Hamilton Service Area had a total of 18,628 cases of ED ACSCs in the 18+ Age Cohort




EMERGENCY DEPARTMENT (2016) – AGE 18+

Service Area	ACSC Description (Top 5 Service Area)	TOTAL IN AREA
RWJUH Hamilton Service Area	ENT	4,063
	Kidney/Urinary Inf.	2,944
	Dental Conditions	2,099
	Asthma	1,793
	Cellulitis	1,412
	All Others	6,317
<b>Total RWJUH Hamilton Area</b>		<b>18,628</b>

Top 5 Based on Total ACSCs in RWJUH Hamilton Service Area

- RWJUH Hamilton’s service area had adult ED ACSC rates that exceeded those of the state and the county.
- Adult ACSC ED rates were highest in the Hamilton zip code 08629 and 08611, Ewing zip code 08618, Trenton zip codes 08609 and 08608.

**Total ACSC ED Visits for Adults (Age 18+): Rate/1,000 Population:  
Top 5 Conditions (2016)**



ED ACSC (2016) Adults 18+				
Geographic Area	Rate	Geographic Area	Rate	
New Jersey	52.13	08609	TRENTON	207.89
RWJUH Hamilton Service Area	79.43	08618	TRENTON/EWING	168.96
Mercer County	66.85	08611	TRENTON/HAMILTON	156.98
		08608	TRENTON	137.86
		08629	TRENTON/HAMILTON	116.14

Source: UB-04 2016 Discharges

**ED ACSC VOLUME: TOP 5 BY SERVICE AREA ZIP CODES -ADULTS (AGE 18+)**


SERVICE AREA	ZIP CODE	TOWN	#1 ENT	#2 Kidney/ Urinary Infection	#3 Dental Conditions	#4 Asthma	#5 Cellulitis	All others	Total of All ACSC By ZIP	Total ACSC Rate / 1000
	08609	TRENTON	475	294	260	241	118	630	2018	207.89
	08618	TRENTON/EWING	949	580	566	455	305	1549	4404	168.96
	08611	TRENTON/HAMILTON	836	573	414	308	212	895	3238	156.98
	08608	TRENTON	41	46	16	24	12	69	208	137.86
	08629	TRENTON/HAMILTON	286	160	103	102	69	324	1044	116.14
	08638	TRENTON/EWING	459	289	276	205	152	718	2099	95.82
	08610	HAMILTON	382	292	181	175	149	551	1730	73.18
	08619	TRENTON	104	143	58	62	67	274	708	41.04
	08620	TRENTON	59	67	32	24	44	110	336	37.42
	08648	LAWRENCE	158	128	49	77	78	364	854	32.37
	08505	BORDENTOWN	63	73	50	35	42	178	441	31.82
	08520	HIGHTSTOWN	124	132	49	38	60	275	678	31.18
	08690	TRENTON	55	90	28	23	54	207	457	28.43
	08510	MILLSTONE	16	19	8	4	16	38	101	25.54
<b>RWJUH Hamilton</b>	08501	ALLENTOWN	15	14	3	4	11	48	95	18.11
	08691	TRENTON	41	44	6	16	23	87	217	17.59
		<b>RWJUH Hamilton</b>	<b>4,063</b>	<b>2,944</b>	<b>2,099</b>	<b>1,793</b>	<b>1,412</b>	<b>6,317</b>	<b>18,628</b>	<b>79.43</b>
		<b>New Jersey</b>	<b>70,077</b>	<b>54,102</b>	<b>35,931</b>	<b>33,109</b>	<b>30,976</b>	<b>141,071</b>	<b>365,266</b>	<b>52.13</b>
		<b>Mercer County</b>	<b>4,198</b>	<b>3,137</b>	<b>2,167</b>	<b>1,883</b>	<b>1,588</b>	<b>6,909</b>	<b>19,882</b>	<b>66.85</b>

Top 5 Based on Total ACSCs in RWJUH Hamilton Service Area

### ***Inpatient Utilization for Ambulatory Care Sensitive Conditions***

Individuals may be admitted to the hospital due to an ACSC; higher rates of ACSC conditions among inpatients indicate primary care access issues, poor preventive care and barriers related to socioeconomic status.

- The RWJUH Hamilton Service Area ACSC inpatient use rate is higher than New Jersey, and Mercer County
- Trenton zip code 08608 has the highest utilization for inpatient ACSC use rate, 33.33/1,000.
- Use rates in Trenton/Ewing zip code 08618, Trenton zip code 08609, and Trenton/Hamilton zip code 08611 were almost twice as high as the rate for New Jersey.



Source: NJDHSS 2013/2016 UB-04 Data – NJ Residents; Population: United States Census American Community Survey 5yr Estimate

**Total Ambulatory Care Sensitive Conditions (ACSCs) Inpatient Admissions, per 1,000 Population**

ACSC - IP Rate/1000				ACSC - IP Rate/1000			
COUNTY	NJ 2013	NJ 2016	Change '13-'16	COUNTY	NJ 2013	NJ 2016	Change '13-'16
SALEM	26.07	27.81	1.75	MONMOUTH	19.07	16.69	(2.38)
CUMBERLAND	24.18	24.88	0.69	MERCER	20.17	16.60	(3.57)
CAPE MAY	20.71	22.11	1.40	MIDDLESEX	17.07	15.36	(1.71)
CAMDEN	22.87	21.04	(1.83)	UNION	16.18	14.62	(1.55)
OCEAN	24.79	20.38	(4.42)	MORRIS	15.04	14.03	(1.01)
ESSEX	21.61	21.92	(0.31)	HUNTERDON	13.81	13.21	(0.60)
ATLANTIC	23.63	19.27	(4.36)	SUSSEX	15.34	13.05	(2.28)
BURLINGTON	18.91	18.03	(0.88)	WARREN	15.94	12.98	(2.96)
HUDSON	20.58	17.39	(3.20)	SOMERSET	14.04	12.77	(1.27)
PASSAIC	20.78	16.88	(3.89)	BERGEN	15.20	12.17	(3.04)
GLOUCESTER	19.84	16.86	(2.97)	STATEWIDE	19.13	16.79	(2.34)

Source: NJDHSS 2013/2016 UB-04 Data – NJ Residents; Population: United States Census American Community Survey 5yr Estimate

**Total ACSC Inpatient Admissions – Rate/1,000 Population All Ages 2016**


GEOGRAPHIC AREA	RATE
New Jersey	16.79
RWJUH Hamilton Service Area	19.90
Mercer County	16.60
HIGHEST SERVICE AREA RATES	
08608 Trenton	33.33
08618 Trenton/Ewing	31.65
08609 Trenton	31.08
08611 Trenton/Hamilton	24.78
08638 Ewing	23.51

Source: UB-04 2016 Discharges



- In 2016, there was a total rate of 7,145 ACSC admissions from Mercer County.
- Bacterial Pneumonia, Congestive Heart Failure, COPD, Diabetes and Kidney/Urinary Infection were the top 5 ACSC conditions.

**Total ACSC Inpatient Admissions by Top 5 conditions, 2016: Rate/1,000 Population**



IP ACSC (2016) All Ages				
Geographic Area	Rate	Geographic Area		Rate
New Jersey	16.79	08608	TRENTON	33.33
RWJUH Hamilton Service Area	19.90	08618	TRENTON/EWING	31.65
Mercer County	16.60	08609	TRENTON	31.08
		08611	TRENTON	24.78
		08638	EWING	23.51

**Admissions by Top 5 Conditions, 2016: Rate/1,000 Population**

In 2016, the RWJUH Hamilton Service Area had a total of 6,498 cases of IP ACSCs from all ages.

INPATIENT (2016) – ALL AGES		
SERVICE AREA	ACSC Description (Top 5 RWJUH Hamilton Service Area)	TOTAL IN AREA
RWJUH Hamilton Service Area	Bacterial Pneumonia	789
	Congestive Heart Failure	786
	COPD	737
	Diabetes	601
	Kidney/Urinary Infection	599
	All Others	3,775
	<b>Total RWJUH Hamilton</b>	<b>6,498</b>

Source: UB-04 2016 Discharges

### ACSC Inpatient Volume: Top 5 By Service Area Zip Code All Ages

SERVICE AREA	ZIP CODE	TOWN	#1 Bacterial Pneumonia	#2 Congestive Heart Failure	#3 COPD	#4 Diabetes	#5 Kidney/Urinary Infection	All Others	Total of All ACSC By ZIP	Total ACSC Rate / 1000
RWJUH Hamilton	08608	TRENTON	3	13	13	6	3	32	67	33.33
	08618	TRENTON/EWING	139	127	105	148	70	625	1,075	31.65
	08609	TRENTON	54	50	50	54	27	228	409	31.08
	08611	TRENTON/HAMILTON	74	49	82	74	76	403	684	24.78
	08638	TRENTON/EWING	67	74	67	80	58	347	626	23.51
	08619	TRENTON	65	69	61	28	52	279	489	23.04
	08620	TRENTON	34	39	35	16	17	143	250	22.39
	08610	HAMILTON	80	76	77	53	51	404	661	21.89
	08690	TRENTON	60	63	49	16	58	248	434	21.89
	08505	BORDENTOWN	41	44	40	22	31	203	340	18.84
	08629	TRENTON/HAMILTON	26	19	20	29	16	139	223	18.23
	08510	MILLSTONE	17	13	4	5	7	50	79	15.35
	08648	LAWRENCE	57	68	48	29	65	272	482	14.68
	08520	HIGHTSTOWN	38	48	51	30	34	234	397	13.76
	08691	TRENTON	25	24	28	11	23	121	207	12.57
	08501	ALLENTOWN	9	10	7	0	11	47	75	11.02
			RWJUH Hamilton	789	786	737	601	599	3,775	6,498
		New Jersey	70,077	54,102	35,931	33,109	30,976	141,071	365,266	16.79
		Mercer County	891	867	774	669	645	3,299	7,145	16.6

Top 5 Based on Total ACSCs in RWJUH Hamilton Service Area

Additional information regarding Ambulatory Care Sensitive Conditions may be found in **Appendix F: Discharges and Population 18-64 for Ambulatory Care Sensitive Conditions.**

#### 5. ***Neighborhood and Built Environment***

The neighborhood and built environment contribute to health in a variety of ways. Pollution, crime, and access to healthy food and water are environmental and neighborhood factors that may be hazardous to a community's health.<sup>23</sup>

#### ***Air Quality***


Outdoor air quality has improved since the 1990, but many challenges remain in protecting Americans from air quality problems. Air pollution may make it harder for people with asthma and other respiratory diseases to breathe.<sup>24</sup> County level data masks ZIP Code level analysis that may reveal higher concentrations of air pollution, particularly in industrialized areas of a county.

<sup>23</sup> Source: Commission to Build a Healthier America, Robert Wood Johnson Foundation <http://www.commissiononhealth.org/PDF/888f4a18-eb90-45be-a2f8-159e84a55a4c/Issue%20Brief%203%20Sept%2008%20-%20Neighborhoods%20and%20Health.pdf>

<sup>24</sup> <http://www.cdc.gov/air/default.htm>



- In 2012, the average daily density of fine particulate matter was 8.9 micrograms per cubic meter in Mercer County, lower than the statewide rate and all comparison counties.
- In 2012, the average daily density of fine particulate matter was 8.9 micrograms per cubic meter in Mercer County, higher than the CHR national benchmark of 6.7 micrograms per cubic meter.



Source: County Health Rankings - Environmental Public Health Tracking Network



National Benchmark: 6.7  
Mercer County 2016: 8.9

### ***Housing Built before 1950***


The potential for exposure to lead based paint in housing units built before 1950 is high. A main source of lead exposure is found in household dust with lead-based paint. Children are highly vulnerable to exposure to lead because of its adverse effects on the developing brain and nervous system.<sup>25</sup>

- In 2016, 30.5% of the Mercer County housing stock was built before 1950 and had possible lead-based paint hazards.
- This rate is higher than the Statewide comparison and the comparative counties.

<sup>25</sup> Report on the National Survey of Lead-Based Paint in Housing, <https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/documents/r95-003.pdf>

## Housing Built Before 1950 With Possible Lead-Based Paint Hazard State & County Comparisons 2016

■ New Jersey ■ Mercer County ■ Burlington County ■ Camden County ■ Middlesex County



Source: <https://www26.state.nj.us/doh-shad/indicator/view/pre1950home.percent.html>

### **Lead Hazards**


The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) defines lead poisoning in children as a blood lead level of 10 micrograms per deciliter ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{dL}$ ) or above. Young children can be exposed by swallowing lead dust or soil that gets on their hands or objects they put into their mouths such as toys; swallowing leaded paint chips; breathing leaded dust or lead contaminated air and eating food or drinking water that is contaminated with lead.

Very high levels of lead can cause seizures, brain damage, developmental or intellectual disabilities, coma and even death. Exposure to lead, even at low levels, has been associated with decrease hearing, lower intelligence, hyperactivity, attention deficit, and developmental problems.<sup>26</sup> County level analysis cannot reveal individual town disparities in blood lead levels particularly in towns with housing stock built before 1950.

- In 2016, 0.80% of children in Mercer County had elevated blood levels.
- This rate has stayed consistent since 2013 and is higher than the rate statewide and for the comparison counties.

<sup>26</sup> <http://www.nj.gov/health/fhs/newborn/lead.shtml>

## Children with Elevated Blood Levels State & County Comparisons 2013 - 2015



Source: <https://www.cdc.gov/nceh/lead/data/state/njdata.htm>


### ***Access to Healthy Foods***

Choices about food and diet are influenced by accessibility and affordability of retailers. Specifically, travel time to shopping, availability of healthy foods and food prices are key to decision making. Low-income families face greater barriers in accessing healthy and affordable food retailers, which in turn negatively affect diet and food security.<sup>27</sup>

- In 2015, 3.65% of Mercer County residents had food insecurity, fewer than all comparative counties. However, the 2010 rate (3.12%) was lower.
- The percent of Mercer County residents with limited access was the same as the rate statewide.

<sup>27</sup> <https://www.ers.usda.gov/data-products/food-environment-atlas/go-to-the-atlas/>

## Limited Access to Healthy Foods State & County Comparisons 2010 - 2015




Source: Map The Meal Gap

**County Health  
Rankings & Roadmaps**  
Building a Culture of Health, County by County  
A Robert Wood Johnson Foundation program

National Benchmark: 2.0%  
Mercer County 2016: 3.65%

- In 2015, Mercer County had a rate of 8.4 out of 10 on an index of factors that contribute to food access issues for low income and other census tracts having low rates of supermarket accessibility.
- This rate is lower than the statewide rate and lower or equal to the rate in Burlington and Middlesex Counties.
- The Mercer County rate increased from 2013 to 2015.

## Food Environment Index 2015



Source: USDA Food Environment Atlas, Map the Meal Gap from Feeding America, County Health Rankings



National Benchmark: 8.6  
Mercer County: 8.4


Indicator	Healthy People 2020 Target	County Health Rankings Benchmark	New Jersey
<b>Limited Access to Healthy Foods</b>			
<b>Food Environment Index</b> <i>Index of factors that contribute to a healthy food environment</i>	N.A.		
<b>Housing Built Before 1950 with Possible Lead-Based Paint Hazard</b>	N.A.	N.A.	
<b>Percent of Children With Elevated Blood Lead Levels</b> <i>Percent of Children</i>	N.A.	N.A.	
<b>Annual Number of Unhealthy Air Quality Days</b> <i>Due to Fine Particulate Matter</i>	N.A.		

### Injury and Crime Prevention

Injuries and violence are widespread. Most events resulting in injury, disability or death are predictable and preventable. Individual behaviors, physical environment, access to health services and the social environment affect the risk of unintentional injury and violence. Burglaries and motor vehicle crash deaths in Mercer County have seen steady decreases but are higher than rates statewide.


- In Mercer County, violent crime increased 14.3% from 2014 to 2016.
- The violent crime rate in Mercer County has historically been higher than the Statewide rate.

## Violent Crime State and County Comparisons, 2014-2016



Source: County Health Rankings - The Uniform Crime Reporting (UCR) Program

### Violent Crime Rate per 1,000




Source: County Health Rankings - The Uniform Crime Reporting (UCR) Program

**County Health Rankings & Roadmaps**  
Building a Culture of Health, County by County  
A Robert Wood Johnson Foundation program

*National Benchmark: 62 Rate / 100,000 Population*  
*Mercer County: 402 Rate / 100,000 Population*

- In 2016, the burglary rate in Mercer County (413.1/100,000) was greater than that of Burlington (285.2/100,000), Middlesex (207.3/100,000) counties and the statewide rate (277.5/100,000).
- Between 2014-2016, there was a 10% decrease in the rate of burglaries in Mercer County.




Source: [http://www.njsp.org/ucr/2015/pdf/2015a\\_sect\\_7.pdf](http://www.njsp.org/ucr/2015/pdf/2015a_sect_7.pdf)

### **Motor Vehicle Crash Deaths**

- In 2016, the rate of motor vehicle crash deaths was 7.35/100,000 in Mercer County, greater than the statewide rate.
- The rate per 100,000 decreased among Mercer County residents only slightly between 2009-2015 and 2010-2016.
- 2010-2016 Mercer County (7.35/1,000) car accident related deaths occurred 50% less often than the *Healthy People 2020* target (12.4/1,000).

## Motor Vehicle Crash Deaths State and County Comparison – 2009-2016



Source: County Health Rankings, CDC Wonder Mortality Data, 2010 – 2016



Baseline: 13.8  
Target: 12.4  
Mercer County 2016: 7.35



National Benchmark: 9  
Mercer County 2016: 7.35

### **Domestic Violence Offenses**


Domestic violence can negatively impact a victim’s health beyond the domestic violence incident. Victims of domestic violence exhibit physical and emotional problems including, but not limited to, chronic pain, depression, anxiety, eating disorders, and post-traumatic stress disorder.<sup>28</sup>

- Statewide domestic violence rates have remained fairly consistent.
- In 2016, the Mercer County rate of domestic violence arrests was lower than the statewide and the rates in all the comparative counties.

<sup>28</sup> [http://www.stopvaw.org/health\\_effects\\_of\\_domestic\\_violence](http://www.stopvaw.org/health_effects_of_domestic_violence)



### Domestic Violence Arrests State & County Comparisons 2014 – 2016




Source: County Health Rankings - The Uniform Crime Reporting (UCR) Program

### Accidental Poisoning and Exposure to Noxious Substances

- Between 2015-2016, the rate of deaths due to poisoning and exposure to noxious substances grew slightly.
- In 2016, the rate of deaths due to accidental poisoning and exposure to noxious substances was 17.0/100,000 in Mercer County which is less than its comparative counties and the rate statewide.

### Deaths Due to Accidental Poisoning and Exposure to Noxious Substances State and County Comparisons – 2015-2016



Source: NJ SHAD



Baseline: 13.2  
Target: 13.2  
Mercer County 2016: 17.0

Indicator	Healthy People 2020 Target	County Health Rankings Benchmark	New Jersey
<b>Violent Crime</b> <i>Rate/ 100000 Population</i>	N.A.		
<b>Burglary</b> <i>Rate/ 1000 Population</i>	N.A.	N.A.	
<b>Domestic Violence Arrests</b> <i>Rate/ 1000 Population</i>	N.A.	N.A.	
<b>Deaths Due to Motor Vehicle Crashes</b> <i>Rate/ 1000 Population</i>	N.A.		
<b>Deaths Due to Poisoning</b> <i>Rate/ 1000 Population</i>		N.A.	

### C. HEALTH FACTORS

Health factors represent the influences that impact one’s health. These include demographic, social, environmental, economic, and individual behaviors as well as clinical care and access to services. Social determinants were described in Section B above, and along with the following health factors have a significant impact on health.

#### 1. Demographics

##### Age

Health disparities exist in all age groups. The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention reports that, although life expectancy and overall health has improved for most Americans, older adults are not benefitting equally due to economic status, race and gender. The overall proportion of the older adult population in Mercer County is less than New Jersey. From 2018 to 2023, the population aged over 65 in Mercer County is projected to increase at a lower rate (2.2%) than the statewide increase (2.4%).


- Between 2018 and 2023, New Jersey is projected to have a 1.9% decline among (0-17) year old. The greatest decline will be in Robbinsville zip code 08691, with 4.6% decline.
- The overall projected population growth for Robbinsville (2.9%), between 2018 and 2023 is more than double that of the State (1.3%).
- The largest change is among those 65+. This cohort will increase across all geographic areas, the largest occurring in, Robbinsville zip code 08691 (24%), Hamilton zip code 08620 (14.3%) and Hamilton zip code 08620 (15.8%).
- Women of child-bearing age (15-44) comprise 20% of Mercer County’s 2018 population and are projected to decrease 1.8% by 2022. Hamilton zip code 08610 and Trenton zip code 08628 will decline between 4.3 and 4.9% respectively, far more than the county and statewide averages.

## Population Distribution and Projected Percent Change 2018-2023

AGE COHORT	GEOGRAPHIC AREA								
	New Jersey	Mercer County	RWJUH Hamilton	Hamilton (08610)	Mercerville (08619)	Hamilton (08620)	Trenton (08638)	Hamilton Square (08690)	Robbinsville (08691)
0-17	1,924,856	76,865	65,386	6,534	3,839	2,041	4,518	3,381	3,848
% of Total	21.8%	20.5%	21.2%	21.2%	18.2%	18.2%	16.9%	17.2%	22.2%
% Change '18-'23	-1.87%	-2.6%	-2.4%	-1.6%	-1.7%	-3.3%	3.4%	-4.9%	-4.6%
18-44	3,063,175	134,233	109,277	10,143	6,536	3,471	12,184	6,097	5,027
% of Total	33.7%	35.6%	35.4%	33.8%	30.9%	30.9%	45.5%	31.0%	29.1%
% Change '18-'23	-0.71%	-1.1%	-2.1%	-4.8%	-3.1%	1.1%	-0.6%	0.6%	1.9%
45-64	2,440,028	98,575	82,369	8,197	5,871	3,266	5,923	5,606	5,443
% of Total	26.8%	26.51%	26.7%	27.3%	27.8%	29.1%	22.1%	28.5%	31.5%
% Change '18-'23	-1.9%	-2.4%	-1.5%	-0.9%	-4.3%	-7.1%	-3.2%	-6.8%	0.04%
65+	1,656,782	64,565	52,007	5,324	4,873	2,447	4,158	4,610	2,979
% of Total	19.8%	17.4%	16.8%	17.7%	23.1%	21.8%	15.5%	23.4%	17.2%
% Change '18-'23	15.4%	15.9%	15.9%	13.5%	11.7%	15.8%	13.8%	14.3%	24.2%
All Ages	9,084,841	374,238	309,039	30,018	21,119	11,225	26,783	19,694	17,297
% of Total	100%	100.0%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
% Change '18-'23	1.3%	0.8%	0.6%	-0.2%	-0.2%	0.5%	0.3%	-0.2%	2.9%
<b>Women Age</b>									
15-44	1,677,712	74,592	59,540	5,514	3,576	1,896	1,588	3,361	2,951
% of Total	18.5%	19.9%	19.2%	18.4%	16.9%	16.9%	5.9%	18.3%	17.1%
% Change '18-'23	-1.20%	-1.8%	-2.6%	-4.3%	-4.1%	-0.6%	-4.9%	-2.5%	1.2%

Source: Claritas Population Estimates 2018, 2023

## RWJUH Hamilton Service Area Projected Percent of Population Change 2018-2023




Source: Claritas Population Estimates 2018, 2023

## Population Change 2010-2018

Population	2010	2018	% Change
New Jersey	8,791,894	8,968,348	2.01%
RWJUH Hamilton	304,579	307,091	0.92%
<b>Mercer County</b>	<b>366,513</b>	<b>371,183</b>	<b>1.27%</b>
Burlington County	448,734	448,729	-0.001%
Camden County	513,657	509,429	-0.82%
Middlesex County	809,858	841,338	3.89%
Trenton (08690)	19,974	19,740	-1.17%
Trenton (08648)	32,138	33,263	3.50%
Princeton (08540)	45,653	48,129	5.42%
Hopewell (08525)	4,875	4,766	-2.24%
Trenton (08638)	26,496	26,705	0.79%
Trenton (08611)	27,499	27,664	0.60%
Trenton (08608)	2,013	2,009	-0.20%

Source: Population -Claritas 2010 and 2018 Population Estimates

### Population by Age Cohort State and County Comparisons



Source: Claritas 2019 Population Estimate

## Race and Ethnicity

Despite notable progress in the overall health of the Nation, there remain morbidity and mortality disparities by race and ethnicity. The population cohorts which historically have experienced poorer health status are anticipated to grow; therefore, the future health of America can be influenced by improving the health of these select groups. In Mercer County, the percentage of Black, Asian and Hispanic populations increased while the percentage of White residents has declined, heightening the vital need for addressing disparities in health and care among more vulnerable minority groups.<sup>29</sup>

- RWJUH Hamilton service area has the highest percentage of African Americans (22.3%).
- Hamilton zip code 08610 has the higher Hispanic population when compared to State (28.8%) and other areas.

### SERVICE AREA DEMOGRAPHICS BY RACE AND ETHNICITY


RACE COHORT	New Jersey	Mercer County	RWJUH Hamilton	Hamilton (08610)	Mercerville (08619)	Hamilton (08620)	Trenton (08628)	Hamilton Square (08690)	Robbinsville (08691)
White	4877655 (54.4%)	182,241 (49.10%)	147,997 (48.19%)	15096 (50.18%)	15628 (73.89%)	9212 (82.44%)	5898 (63.26%)	16886 (85.54%)	12492 (74.34%)
Hispanic	1852191 (20.7%)	66582 (17.94%)	61,320 (19.97%)	8655 (28.77%)	2220 (10.50%)	737 (6.60%)	688 (7.38%)	1103 (5.59%)	845 (5.03%)
African American	1146578 (12.8%)	71421 (19.24%)	68,567 (22.34%)	4945 (16.44%)	1768 (8.36%)	640 (5.73%)	1805 (19.36%)	578 (2.93%)	486 (2.89%)
Asian	886373 (9.9%)	41989 (11.31%)	22,506 (7.33%)	748 (2.49%)	1156 (5.47%)	443 (3.96%)	721 (7.73%)	913 (4.63%)	2647 (15.75%)
Two or more	162679 (1.8%)	7554 (2.04%)	5,509 (1.79%)	494 (1.64%)	318 (1.50%)	126 (1.13%)	168 (1.80%)	235 (1.19%)	302 (1.80%)
Other	42881 (.5%)	616 (.17%)	482 (.16%)	144 (.48%)	61 (.29%)	16 (.14%)	44 (.47%)	25 (.13%)	32 (.19%)

\*Source: Claritas 2018 Population Estimates

\*\*Source: US Census Bureau, American Community Survey 2015 5-year estimates

<sup>29</sup> <http://www.cdc.gov/omhd/AMH/AMH.htm>.

## SERVICE AREA DEMOGRAPHICS BY RACE AND ETHNICITY




\*Source: Claritas 2018 Population Estimates

\*\*Source: US Census Bureau, American Community Survey 2015 5-year estimates

- From 2010 to 2018, in Mercer County, there was a 29% growth in the Asian population, a 25% growth among multiracial populations and 20% increase in Hispanics.
- Hopewell's percent of Whites is nearly 91%.
- Overall, Whites decline nearly 9% county-wide between 2010-2018.

**Population by Race and Ethnicity, 2018  
County and State Comparisons**



Mercer County			
RACE / ETHNICITY	2010	2018	% Change
White (alone)	199,909	182,241	-8.84%
Black / African American (alone)	71,378	71,421	0.06%
Asian (alone)	32,545	41,989	29.02%
Native American / Pacific Islander / Other Race (alone)	1,311	1,396	6.48%
Two or More Races (alone)	6,052	7,554	24.82%
Hispanic / Latino (of Any Race)	55,318	66,582	20.36%
<b>Total</b>	<b>366,513</b>	<b>371,183</b>	<b>1.27%</b>

Source: Claritas 2018 Population Estimate



## 2. Clinical Care Measures

### Inpatient and ED Utilization

Factors impacting hospital utilization may include policy change, advances in technology, practice patterns and demographics. Many federal and state health care payment reforms, including the Affordable Care Act (ACA), were designed to improve care transitions, coordination of care, enhance ambulatory care and improve access to primary care. The anticipatory results would include improved coordinated care and declines in inpatient and ED utilization.


#### Inpatient

- Zip codes 08618, 08609, and 08619 recorded the highest inpatient use rates in the county.
- The inpatient use rate in RWJUH Hamilton’s Service Area is higher than County and Statewide use rates.
- The Inpatient use rate in Ewing, Hamilton, Mercerville, and Trenton exceeded the rate for the Service Area.

**Inpatient Use Rates per 1,000 Population 2016**

GEOGRAPHIC AREA	RATE
New Jersey	160.22
RWJUH Hamilton	176.57
Mercer County	171.67
TOP 5 BY ZIP CODE	
08618 Trenton/Ewing	233.43
08609 Trenton	216.88
08619 Trenton (Mercerville)	193.88
08610 Trenton (Hamilton)	185.72
08611 Trenton	185.28

**RWJUH Hamilton Service Area**



Source: UB-04 2016 Discharges Includes Inpatient & Same Day Stay, Excludes Normal Newborn; Population – Claritas 2016 Estimate




## Cesarean Section


A Cesarean Section (C-section) is a major surgical procedure performed because of health problems in the mother, position of the baby, and/or distress in the infant.<sup>30</sup> The U.S. cesarean delivery rate reached a high of 32.9% of all births in 2009, rising 60% from 1996 (20.7%). Recently, the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists developed clinical guidelines for reducing the occurrence of non-medically indicated cesarean delivery and labor induction prior to 39 weeks. Efforts to reduce such births include initiatives to improve perinatal care quality, and changes in hospital policy to disallow elective delivery prior to 39 weeks and education of the public.<sup>31</sup>

- The percent of Mercer County women with a primary C-section trended downward from 2013–2015 and then increased to 23.3% in 2016.
- In 2016, the percent of Mercer County women with a primary C-section was lower than Statewide (25.2%).
- The 2016 percent of Mercer County women with a primary C-section is lower than the *Healthy People 2020* target of 23.9%.

**Primary C-Section Rates (2016)  
State and County Comparisons**



**Merger County**



Source: NJDOH Bureau of Vital Statistics and Registration NJ Birth Certificate Database <http://www4.state.nj.us/dhss-shad/query/result/birth/BirthBirthCnty/Count.html>



Baseline: 26.5%  
Target: 23.9%  
Merger County 2016: 23.3%


<sup>30</sup> <http://www.nlm.nih.gov/medlineplus/cesareansection.html>

<sup>31</sup> [http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/nvsr/nvsr63/nvsr63\\_01.pdf](http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/nvsr/nvsr63/nvsr63_01.pdf)


Vaginal Birth After C-Section (VBAC)

- Mercer County’s 2016 VBAC rate (14.4%) is higher than the State rate (11.9%) by 2.5 points.
- County-wide women with a VBAC trended upward from 2013 through 2016.

**Vaginal Birth After Cesarean Section (VBAC) Rates (2016)  
State and County Comparisons**



**Mercer County**



Source: NJDOH Bureau of Vital Statistics and Registration NJ Birth Certificate Database <http://www4.state.nj.us/dhss-shad/query/result/birth/BirthBirthCnty/Count.html>

Indicator	Healthy People 2020 Target	County Health Rankings Benchmark	New Jersey
<b>Primary C-Section Rate</b> <i>Single &gt;=37 Week Low Risk Births Delivered By C-Section/Single Live Births To Low Risk Females</i>		N.A.	
<b>VBAC Rate</b>	N.A.	N.A.	

### 3. Healthy Behaviors

#### **Maternal / Fetal Health**


##### Prenatal Care

The medical care a woman receives during pregnancy monitors her health and the developing fetus. Low-risk pregnancies should visit a prenatal provider every four or six weeks through 28 weeks, then every two or three weeks from weeks 28-36, and finally every week in the ninth month until delivery. A high-risk pregnancy requires additional visits.<sup>32</sup> Pregnant women who do not receive adequate prenatal care risk undetected complications and an increased possibility of adverse outcomes.

Early and regular prenatal care is a strategy to improve health outcomes for mothers and infants. Two significant benefits are improved birth weight and decreased preterm delivery. Infants born to mothers who receive no prenatal care have an infant mortality rate five times higher than mothers who receive appropriate prenatal care in the first trimester of pregnancy. Enrollment in care during the first trimester of pregnancy reflects timely initiation of prenatal care.<sup>33</sup>

- In 2016, only 67.8% of live births to Mercer County moms received first trimester care compared to a high of 86.1% in 2010. This percentage showed an unfavorable increase from 2015 to 2016.
- Mercer County had the lowest percentage of live births with first trimester prenatal care in all comparative counties.
- The percentage of Mercer County moms receiving first trimester care was lower than the *Healthy People 2020* target of 77.9%.


**Percentage of Live Births with First Trimester Prenatal Care  
State and County Comparisons 2014-2016**



Source: NJDOH Bureau of Vital Statistics and Registration NJ Birth Certificate Database

<sup>32</sup> <http://www.plannedparenthood.org/health-info/pregnancy/prenatal-care>

<sup>33</sup> <http://www.hrsa.gov/quality/toolbox/measures/prenatalfirsttrimester/index.html>




Source: NJDOH Bureau of Vital Statistics and Registration NJ Birth Certificate Database  
 Note: Percentages are based on Total Number of Live Births for County and State



Baseline: 70.8%  
 Target: 77.9%  
 Mercer County 2016: 67.8%


- The number live births with no prenatal care increased statewide and across all counties.
- In 2016, 2.0% of live births to Mercer County moms received no prenatal care compared to 1.3% in 2007.
- Mercer County had the highest percentage of live births with no prenatal care compared to statewide and in the four-county areas.

### Percentage of Live Births with No Prenatal Care State and County Comparisons 2014-2016



Source: NJDOH Bureau of Vital Statistics and Registration NJ Birth Certificate Database

### Mercer County



Source: NJDOH Bureau of Vital Statistics and Registration NJ Birth Certificate Database  
 Note: Percentages are based on Total Number of Live Births for County and State

Indicator	Healthy People 2020 Target	County Health Rankings Benchmark	New Jersey
<b>First Trimester Prenatal Care</b> <i>Percentage of Live Births</i>		N.A.	
<b>No Prenatal Care</b> <i>Percentage of Live Births</i>	N.A.	N.A.	

## High Risk Sexual Behaviors

### Teen Pregnancy


In 2016, there were 20.3 births/1,000 American adolescent females aged 15-19 years; approximately 209,809 babies were born to teens, with nearly eighty-nine percent of these births occurring outside of marriage. The national teen birth rate has trended downward over the past 20 years. In 1991, the U.S. teen birth rate was 61.8 births/1,000 adolescent females. However, the U.S. teen birth rate remains higher than that of many other developed countries, including Canada and the United Kingdom.<sup>34</sup> Pregnant teens are less likely than older women to receive recommended prenatal care and are more likely to have pre-term or low birth weight babies. Teen mothers are often at increased risk for STIs and repeat pregnancies, are less likely than their peers to complete high school and more likely to live below the poverty level and rely on public assistance. Risky sexual behaviors can have high economic costs for communities and individuals.<sup>35</sup>

<sup>34</sup> <http://www.hhs.gov/ash/oah/adolescent-health-topics/reproductive-health/teen-pregnancy/trends.html>

<sup>35</sup> <http://www.countyhealthrankings.org/our-approach/health-factors/sexual-activity>

- The percent of Mercer County births to teens aged 15-17 trended downward from 2007 through 2016.
- For both age cohorts, 15-17 and 15-19, the percent of Mercer County teen births was higher than Statewide.
- The percentages for Mercer County in the 15-17 age cohort is in the middle performing quartile when compared to all New Jersey counties.

### Teen Births Age 15-19, Rate 1,000 Female Population State and County Comparisons




Source: NJDOH Center for Health Statistics State Health Assessment Data



National Benchmark: 15.0  
Mercer County: 16.9



### Teen Births Age 15-17 1,000 Female Population State and County Comparisons




Source: NJDOH Center for Health Statistics State Health Assessment Data



Baseline: 40.2  
Target: 36.2  
Mercer County 2016: 8.5

- The rates of Mercer County births to teens aged 15-17 for Blacks and Hispanics exceeded the statewide rate for both groups by 5/100,000
- Rates for teen births among Whites were lower than those among Blacks and Hispanics.

## Teen Births by Mother's Race/Ethnicity State & County Comparisons Age 15-17, 2012 - 2016



Source: Age 15-19 - County Health Rankings National Center for Health Statistics; Age 15-17- NJDOH Center for Health Statistics State Health Assessment Data


In a 2016 CDC Teen Pregnancy Statistics data brief, *State Disparities in Teenage Birth Rates in the United States*, based upon 2014 data, New Jersey is one of 10 states with the lowest teen birth rates (<20/1,000) compared to National figures (41.5/1,000). However, the New Jersey rate shows tremendous variability when examined by town.

- Rates in Trenton zip codes 08608 and 08611 have the greatest impact on the county's average rate for teen births.
- Trenton zip code 08608 birth rate to teens aged 15-19 in 2016 (197.6/1,000) is fifteen times the Mercer County rate (13.1/1,000).
- RWJUH Hamilton's Service Area Teen Birth Rate exceeds County and State.

## Teen Birth Rates 2016 – Deliveries Among 15-19 Year Old

### RWJUH Hamilton Service Area

GEOGRAPHIC AREA	RATE
New Jersey	11.16
RWJUH Hamilton	15.79
Mercer County	13.08
TOP 5 BY ZIP CODE	
08608 Trenton	197.63
08611 Trenton	61.67
08609 Trenton	44.28
08629 Trenton/Hamilton	28.54
08610 Trenton (Hamilton)	26.72



Source: UB-04 2015 Discharges – All Deliveries to Mothers Age 15-19; Claritas Population Estimate

### Sexually Transmitted Infection


Sexually transmitted infections (STI) are caused by bacteria, parasites and viruses contracted through relations with an infected individual. There are more than 20 types of STIs, including Chlamydia, Gonorrhea, Genital herpes, HIV/AIDS, HPV, Syphilis and Trichomoniasis. Most STIs affect both men and women, but in many cases health problems may be more severe for women. If pregnant, a STI can cause serious health complications for the baby.<sup>36</sup>

- Chlamydia is the most prevalent STI. In 2016, Mercer County rate (480/100,000) was higher than the statewide rate and greater than that of Burlington and Middlesex counties.
- In 2016, the gonorrhea rate in Mercer County (118.3/100,000) was greater than the rate for New Jersey and for Burlington and Middlesex counties.<sup>37</sup>

<sup>36</sup> <http://www.nlm.nih.gov/medlineplus/sexuallytransmitteddiseases.html>

<sup>37</sup> New Jersey Department of Health, Cancer Priority Alignment Tool: Mercer County 2017, p. 14.

## Sexually Transmitted Diseases: Rate / 100,000 Population Chlamydia and Gonorrhea Rates State and County Comparisons 2016



Source: NJ SHAD




National Benchmark: 145.1  
Mercer County: 480

### HIV/AIDS

Human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) is spread mainly by having sex with someone infected with HIV or sharing needles with someone positive. Approximately 50,000 new HIV infections occur in the United States each year.

- County-wide HIV/AIDS prevalence rates declined between 2013 (524.7/100,000) and 2015 (446.4/100,000).
- In 2015, the HIV rate in Mercer County (446.4/100,000) was greater than its comparison counties but less than the Statewide rate.
- The HIV rate in Mercer County is greater than the County Health Rankings benchmark of 362/100,000.

## HIV Rates 2013-2015 State and County Comparisons



Source: National Center for HIV/AIDS, Viral Hepatitis, STD, and TB Prevention, County Health Rankings

Indicator	Healthy People 2020 Target	County Health Rankings Benchmark	New Jersey
<b>STDs: Chlamydia</b> <i>Rate per 100,000 Population</i>	N.A.		
<b>STDs: Gonorrhea</b> <i>Rate per 100,000 Population</i>	N.A.	N.A.	
<b>Teen Births Ages 15-19</b> <i>Rate per 100,000 Female Population</i>			
<b>Teen Births Ages 15-17</b> <i>Rate per 100,000 Female Population</i>		N.A.	
<b>HIV/AIDS: Prevalence</b> <i>Rate per 100,000 Population</i>	N.A.		

RED: Poorest Performing Quartile
Yellow: Middle Quartiles
Green: Best Performing Quartile

## ***Individual Behavior***

A CDC report indicates that people can live longer if they practice one or more healthy lifestyle behaviors including: eating a healthy diet, not smoking, regular exercise and limiting alcohol consumption. People who engage in all of these behaviors are 66 percent less likely to die early from cancer, 65 percent less likely to die early from cardiovascular disease and 57 percent less likely to die early from other causes compared to those who do not engage in any of these behaviors.<sup>38</sup>

### *Tobacco Use*

Tobacco use is the leading cause of preventable death in the United States. Smoking leads to disease and disability, and harms nearly every organ in the body, and causes cancer, heart disease, stroke, diabetes, and lung diseases such as emphysema, bronchitis, and chronic airway obstruction. Exposure to secondhand smoke can lead to lung cancer and heart disease. Each year, smoking kills approximately 480,000 Americans, including 41,000 from secondhand smoke. On average, smokers die 10 years earlier than nonsmokers.

About 15% of U.S. adults smoke. Each day, nearly 3,200 youth smoke their first cigarette, and 2,100 people transition from occasional to daily smokers. Smokeless tobacco also leads to various cancers, gum and teeth problems, and nicotine addiction. Almost 6% of young adults use smokeless tobacco and half of new users are younger than 18.<sup>39,40</sup>

- In 2016, Mercer County had the lowest percentage of adults in the four-county area who reported smoking (10.8%).
- Between 2014 and 2016, smoking rates declined from 18.7% to 10.8%.
- Mercer County's percentage of adults who are current smokers (10.8%) is lower than the *Healthy People 2020* target of 12.0% and the County Health Rankings benchmark of 14.0%.


---

<sup>38</sup> <http://www.cdc.gov/features/livelonger/>

<sup>39</sup> <http://www.countyhealthrankings.org/our-approach/health-factors/tobacco-use>


<sup>40</sup> [http://www.cdc.gov/tobacco/data\\_statistics/fact\\_sheets/index.htm](http://www.cdc.gov/tobacco/data_statistics/fact_sheets/index.htm)

## Adults who are Current Smokers State and County Comparisons 2014-2016



Source: CDC New Jersey Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (NJBRFS)

### Mercer County



Source: CDC New Jersey Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (NJBRFS)



Baseline: 20.6%  
Target: 12.0%  
Mercer County 2016: 10.8%



National Benchmark: 14.0%  
Mercer County 2016: 10.8%

### Alcohol Use

Although moderate alcohol use is associated with reduced risk of heart disease and diabetes, excessive consumption is the third leading cause of preventable death nationally. Excessive consumption considers both the amount and the frequency of drinking. Short-term, excessive drinking is linked to alcohol poisoning, intimate partner violence, risky sexual behaviors, failure to fulfill responsibilities and motor vehicle crashes. Over time, excessive alcohol consumption is a risk factor for hypertension, acute myocardial infarction, fetal alcohol syndrome, liver disease and certain cancers.<sup>41</sup>


- The binge drinking rate in Mercer County has decreased since 2012, from a rate of 15.6% to 12.1%.
- In 2016, 12.1% of adults in Mercer County reported binge drinking, lower than its comparison counties.
- Mercer County's percentage of reported binge drinking (12.1%) ranks in the top performing quartile among counties statewide.
- Mercer County's percentage of adults who reported is binge drinking lower (12.1%) than the County Health Rankings benchmark (13.0%)

---


<sup>41</sup> <http://www.countyhealthrankings.org/our-approach/health-factors/alcohol-drug-use>



## Adults Reporting Binge Drinking State and County Comparisons 2014-2016



Source: CDC New Jersey Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System<sup>42</sup>



Source: CDC New Jersey Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System<sup>43</sup>

**County Health Rankings & Roadmaps**  
 Building a Culture of Health, County by County  
 A Robert Wood Johnson Foundation program


*National Benchmark: 13.0%*  
*Mercer County 2016: 12.1%*

<sup>42</sup> Question: During the past 30 days how many days per week or per month did you have at least one drink of any alcoholic beverage? If response is not 0 then ask: Considering all types of alcoholic beverages how many times during the past 30 days did you have 5(for males)/4(for females) or more drinks on an occasion? "Binge Drinking" is defined when someone has at least 5(for males)/4(for females) or more drinks on an occasion a month.

<sup>43</sup> Question: During the past 30 days how many days per week or per month did you have at least one drink of any alcoholic beverage? If response is not 0 then ask: Considering all types of alcoholic beverages how many times during the past 30 days did you have 5(for males)/4(for females) or more drinks on an occasion? "Binge Drinking" is defined when someone has at least 5(for males)/4(for females) or more drinks on an occasion a month.


- County-wide adults who reported heavy drinking of alcohol increased from 3.7% in 2012 to 5.5% in 2016.
- In 2016, the percent of Mercer County residents reporting heavy drinking was higher than the state and Camden and Middlesex County.

### Adults Reporting Heavy Drinking State and County Comparisons 2014-2016



Source: CDC New Jersey Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System


### Mercer County




Source: CDC New Jersey Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System

- Since 2008, the rate of alcohol impaired driving deaths in Mercer County has decreased from 23.6% to 20%.
- Between 2012 and 2016, the Mercer County rate of alcohol impaired driving death was lower than the rate statewide and in Middlesex County.
- The rate for alcohol impaired driving deaths in Mercer County is greater than the County Health Rankings benchmark of 13%.

### Alcohol Impaired Driving Deaths State & County Comparisons 2010 – 2016



### Mercer County



A Robert Wood Johnson Foundation program

National Benchmark: 13.0%  
Mercer County: 20.0%

Source: NJDOH New Jersey Fatality Analysis Health Reporting System County Health Rankings

Indicator	Healthy People 2020 Target	County Health Rankings Benchmark	New Jersey
<b>Tobacco Use</b> <i>Adults Who Are Current Smokers</i>			
<b>Excessive Drinking</b> <i>Binge Drinkers</i>	N.A.		
<b>Excessive Drinking</b> <i>Heavy Drinkers</i>	N.A.	N.A.	
<b>Alcohol Impaired Driving Deaths</b>	N.A.		


### Diet

Healthy food is a key component to good health; insufficient nutrition hinders growth and development. As of 2016, 41 million Americans struggled with hunger in the U.S. A household that is food insecure has limited or uncertain access to enough food to support a healthy life. Obesity among food insecure people, as well as low income individuals, occurs in part because they are often subject to the same challenges as other Americans (more sedentary lifestyles, increased portion size) and because they face unique challenges in adopting and maintaining healthy behaviors, including limited resources and lack of access to affordable healthy food, cycles of food deprivation and overeating, high levels of stress and anxiety, fewer opportunities for physical activity, greater exposure to marketing of obesity promoting products, and limited access to health care.<sup>44</sup>

- Over 33% of Mercer County adults reported a BMI at or over 30 in 2016.
- From 2011 to 2014 the rate of adults with a BMI  $\geq$  30 fluctuated from a low of 23.1% in 2012 to a high of 33.7% in 2016.
- The 2016 percent of Mercer County residents with a BMI  $\geq$  30 (33.7%) is above the *Healthy People 2020* target of 30.5% and the County Health Rankings benchmark of 26%.


<sup>44</sup> <http://www.frac.org>

## Reported BMI ≥ 30 State and County Comparisons 2012-2016



Source: CDC Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System

### Mercer County



Source: CDC Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System




Baseline: 33.9%  
Target: 30.5%  
Mercer County 2016: 33.7%



National Benchmark: 26.0%  
Mercer County 2016: 33.7%

- In 2016 obesity incidence was highest among hospitalized residents of zip code 08638.

### Disease Incidence: Obesity, Rate per 1,000 Population



Source: NJ UB-04 Acute Care IP, Same Day Stay, ER Discharges (2013 – 2016), Population: 2010, 2016 Claritas/HCDA, 2011 Straight Line Value Based on 2000 and 2010 Census; Definition: Inpatient, Same Day Stay and ED Discharges – ICD-9 DX Codes 278.00 or 278.01 (Appearing Anywhere In First 13 DX Codes On Patient Record)


### Exercise

Inadequate physical activity contributes to increased risk of coronary heart disease, diabetes and some cancers. Nationally, half of adults and nearly three-quarters of high school students do not meet the CDC’s recommended physical activity levels.<sup>45</sup>

- County-wide residents reporting no leisure-time physical activity trended upward from 2014 through 2016, increasing almost 10 percentage points.
- From 2014 through 2016, the percent of Mercer County residents with no leisure time physical activity was lower than Statewide.
- The 2016, percentage of Mercer County residents reporting no leisure-time physical activity (29.1%) is lower than the *Healthy People 2020* target of 32.6% and greater than the County Health Rankings Benchmark of 23%.

<sup>45</sup> <http://www.countyhealthrankings.org/our-approach/health-factors/diet-and-exercise>

## Percent of Adults Age 20+ Reporting No Leisure-Time Physical Activity State and County Comparison 2014-2016



Source: County Health Rankings – National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion  
CDC Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System



*National Benchmark: 23%*  
*Mercer County: 29.10%*

Indicator	Healthy People 2020 Target	County Health Rankings Benchmark	New Jersey
<b>Obesity</b> <i>Percent With Reported BMI &gt;= 30</i>	Yellow	Red	Red
<b>Exercise: Adults</b> <i>Percent of Adults Age 20+ Reporting No Leisure-Time Physical Activity</i>	Green	Red	Yellow

### Health Screenings

Screening tests can detect disease and conditions in early stages, when they may be easier to treat.

### Cancer Screening


#### Breast Cancer (mammography)

According to the American Cancer Association, women ages 40 to 44 should have the choice to start annual breast cancer screening with mammograms (x-rays of the breast) if they wish to do so. Women age 45 to 54 should get mammograms every year. Women 55 and older should switch to mammograms every 2 years or can continue yearly screening. Screening should continue as long as a woman is in good health and is expected to live 10 more years or longer. Women should also know how their breasts

normally look and feel and report any breast changes to a health care provider right away. Some women – because of their family history, a genetic tendency, or certain other factors – should be screened with MRIs along with mammograms. The number of women who fall into this category is very small.

- The percentage of woman who had a mammogram in the last 2 years increased from 50.5% to 76.4% between 2012-2016.
- Mercer County is similar to the comparison counties and better than the County Health ranking benchmark of 71%.


### Women Age 50+ Who Had A Mammogram Within Past 2 Years State and County Comparisons 2012-2016



Source: CDC Behavioral Health Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS)



**Women Age 50+ Who Had A Mammogram Within Past 2 Years – Trend  
Mercer County**



Source: CDC Behavioral Health Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS)



Baseline: 69.8%  
Target: 81.1%  
Mercer County 2016: 76.4%




National Benchmark: 71.0%  
Mercer County 2016: 76.4%

Cervical Cancer (pap smear)

According to the American Cancer Association, cervical cancer testing should start at age 21. Women between the ages of 21 and 29 should have a Pap test done every 3 years. Women between the ages of 30 and 65 should have a Pap test plus an HPV test (called “co-testing”) done every 5 years. Women over age 65 who have regular cervical cancer testing in the past 10 years with normal results should not be tested for cervical cancer. Women with a history of a serious cervical pre-cancer should continue to be tested for at least 20 years after that diagnosis, even if testing goes past age 65. Some women – because of their health history (HIV infection, organ transplant, DES exposure, etc.) – may need a different screening schedule for cervical cancer.

- As of 2016, 64.4% of Mercer County women received pap tests, decreasing from 71.2% in 2014.
- Mercer County has the lowest percentage of women receiving pap tests in the comparative county area and it is below the state rate.
- The Mercer County percent of women undergoing cervical cancer screening is below the *Healthy People 2020* target.

## Women Who Had Received a Pap Test State and County Comparisons



Source: CDC Behavioral Health Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS)




Baseline: 60.2%  
Target: 66.2%  
Mercer County 2016: 64.4%

### Colo-rectal Cancer (sigmoidoscopy or colonoscopy)

According to the American Cancer Association, starting at age 50, both men and women should follow one of these testing plans: colonoscopy every 10 years, CT colonography (virtual colonoscopy) every 5 years, flexible sigmoidoscopy every 5 years, or double-contrast barium enema every 5 years.

- Between 2012-2016 the number of residents who report ever having a colonoscopy or sigmoidoscopy has increased 15.7 percentage points.
- The percentage of Mercer County residents reporting having these tests is higher than the *Healthy People 2020* target 70.5% and within the best performing quartile statewide.

**Adults Age 50+ Who Ever Had a Colonoscopy or Sigmoidoscopy  
State and County Comparisons, 2012-2016**




Source: CDC Behavioral Health Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS)



Baseline: 52.1%  
Target: 70.5%  
Mercer County 2016: 79.0%

**Colonoscopy or Sigmoidoscopy  
Adults Age 50+ Who Ever Had One: Trend  
Mercer County**




Source: CDC Behavioral Health Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS)

Diabetes

There are several ways to diagnose diabetes including A1C, Fasting Plasma Glucose (FPG), Oral Glucose Tolerance Test (OGTT) and Random (Casual) Plasma Glucose Test. Diabetes screenings are an effective means of diagnosing and managing illness.

- The percentage of Mercer County diabetic Medicare enrollees that received screening increased from 83.2% in 2009 to 84.3% in 2014.
- In 2014, Mercer County had fewer diabetic Medicare enrollees that received HBA1C screening than Burlington and Middlesex County.

**Diabetic Medicare Enrollees That Received Screening  
State and County Comparisons 2012-2014**




Source: County Health Rankings – Dartmouth Atlas of Health Care

**County Health Rankings & Roadmaps**  
 Building a Culture of Health, County by County  
 A Robert Wood Johnson Foundation program

National Benchmark: 91.0%  
 Mercer County 2016: 84.34%

### Diabetic Medicare Enrollees That Received Screening: Trend Mercer County



Source: County Health Rankings – Dartmouth Atlas of Health Care

Indicator	Healthy People 2020 Target	County Health Rankings Benchmark	New Jersey
<b>Mammograms</b> <i>Women Age 50+ Who Have NOT Had a Mammogram Within Past Two Years</i>			
<b>Pap Test</b> <i>Women Who Have Had a PAP Test Within Past Three Years</i>		N.A.	
<b>Sigmoidoscopy/ Colonoscopy</b> <i>Adults Age 50+ Who Have Ever Had a Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy</i>		N.A.	
<b>HbA1c Screening</b> <i>% Diabetic Medicare Enrollees Receiving Screening</i>	N.A.		

### Immunizations

It is better to prevent disease than to treat it after it occurs; vaccines prevent disease and save millions of lives. Vaccines introduce the antigens that cause diseases. Immunity, the body’s means to preventing disease, recognizes germs and produces antibodies to fight them. Even after many years, the immune system continues to produce antibodies to thwart disease from recurring. Through vaccination we can develop immunity without suffering from disease.<sup>46</sup> Evidenced-based; CDC guidelines, public health benefit – individual and herd.

<sup>46</sup> <http://www.cdc.gov/vaccines/vac-gen/howvpd.htm#why>

Childhood Immunizations: DPT, polio, MMR & Hib (aged 19-35 months)<sup>47</sup>

Young children are readily susceptible to disease and the consequences can be serious or life-threatening. Childhood immunizations minimize impact of vaccine preventable diseases. The incidence, prevalence, morbidity, and mortality of many communicable diseases have significantly declined in Western countries largely because of national immunization strategies aimed at infants and children. It has been estimated that for each U.S. birth cohort receiving recommended childhood immunizations, around 20 million illnesses and more than 40,000 deaths are prevented, resulting in \$70 billion in savings. Vaccinations are effective, primarily due to two factors. First, once a person is immunized against a specific pathogen, the rate of that disease, as well as its associated asymptomatic carrier state, is decreased.<sup>6</sup> Second, when a large population is immunized, unvaccinated individuals benefit from “herd immunity,” which is a reduced risk of exposure to pathogens.<sup>6</sup> Consequently, children’s health has improved, and the quality and length of their lives have increased.

Conflicting information in the news and on the internet about children's immunizations may cause vaccine hesitancy among select parents. In an effort to reduce childhood morbidity and mortality, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP) issues annual recommendations and guidelines for childhood and adolescent immunizations. However, some parents refuse or delay vaccinating their children or follow alternative immunization schedules because of medical, religious, philosophical, or socioeconomic reasons. Health care provider-based interventions have been suggested to overcome such vaccine noncompliance, including patient counseling; improving access to vaccinations; maximizing patient office visits; offering combination vaccines; and using electronic medical records (EMRs) and practice alerts. Community- and government-based interventions to improve parent and patient adherence include public education and reminder/recall strategies, financial incentives, and providing alternative venues for vaccination.<sup>48</sup>


- In 2016, 92.9% of first grade students in Mercer County had received all required immunizations compared to 92.7% statewide.
- 93.9% of all Mercer County students received all required immunizations --- .5 percentage point lower than statewide.

---

<sup>47</sup> Combined 4 vaccine series (4:3:1:3) refers to 4 or more doses of DTP/DT, 3 or more doses of poliovirus vaccine, 1 or more doses of MCV and 3 or more doses of Hib. <http://www.cdc.gov/vaccines/imz-managers/coverage/nis/child/tech-notes.html>

<sup>48</sup> <http://www.cdc.gov/vaccines/vac-gen/howvpd.htm#why>

## Childhood Immunization: Percent of Children Meeting All Immunization Requirements State and County Comparisons, 2016



Source: NJDOH Annual Immunization Status Report  
[http://www.nj.gov/health/cd/documents/status\\_report/2016/all\\_schools\\_vac.pdf](http://www.nj.gov/health/cd/documents/status_report/2016/all_schools_vac.pdf)  
 Data are the most current County-Level figures available.


### Adult Flu

Immunizations are not just for children. As we age, the immune system weakens putting us at higher risk for certain diseases. Greater than 60 percent of seasonal flu-related hospitalizations occur in people 65 and older. The single best way to protect against the flu is an annual vaccination.<sup>49</sup>


- Over the last six years the percentage of adults who had a flu shot decreased from 62.7% to 52.8%.
- In 2016, a lower percentage of Mercer County adults 65+ had a flu shot than residents statewide and in the comparative counties.
- In 2016, the percent of Mercer County adults that received the flu shot (52.8%) in the past year is lower than the *Healthy People 2020* target of 90.0%, and within the lowest performing quartile of counties statewide.

<sup>49</sup> <http://www.cdc.gov/vaccines/adults/rec-vac/index.html>

### Adults Age 65+ Who Had a Flu Shot in the Past Year State and County Comparisons, 2012-2016



Source: CDC Behavioral Health Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS)



Source: CDC Behavioral Health Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS)




Adult Pneumonia

The pneumococcal vaccine protects us against some of the 90 types of pneumococcal bacteria. Pneumococcal vaccine is recommended for all adults 65 years or older.<sup>50</sup>

- In 2016, nearly 70% of adults 65+ had received a pneumonia shot. This rate is higher than the rate statewide.
- Over the last six years the rate of adults 65+ who had a pneumonia shot ranged from a low of 58% in 2015 to a high of 70.6% in 2011.
- In 2016, the percent of Mercer County adults that had a pneumonia vaccine (69.3%) was higher than Statewide but lower than the *Healthy People 2020* target (90%).

**Adults Age 65+ Who Had a Pneumonia Vaccination  
State and County Comparisons 2012-2016**




Source: CDC Behavioral Health Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS)



Baseline: 60.0 %  
Target: 90.0%  
Mercer County 2016: 69.3%

<sup>50</sup> <http://www.cdc.gov/pneumococcal/about/prevention.html>


### Adults Age 65+ Who Had a Pneumonia Vaccination: Trend Mercer County



Source: CDC Behavioral Health Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS)

- Pneumonia incidence was highest among residents of Trenton zip code 08638 in 2016.

### Acute Care IP, Same Day and ED Discharges; Rate / 1,000 Population: Pneumonia



Source: NJ UB-04 Acute Care IP, Same Day Stay, ER Discharges (2013 – 2016), Population: 2010, 2016 Claritas/HCDA, 2011 Straight Line Value Based on 2000 and 2010 Census Definition: Inpatient, Same Day Stay and ED Discharges – For MS-DRGs 177, 178, 179, 193, 194, 195


Indicator	Healthy People 2020 Target	County Health Rankings Benchmark	New Jersey
<b>Flu Shot</b> <i>Adults Age 65+ Who Have NOT Had a Flu Shot in the Past Year</i> %No		N.A.	
<b>Pneumonia Vaccination</b> <i>Adults Age 65+ Who Had a Pneumonia Vaccination</i>		N.A.	
<b>Children Meeting All Immunization Requirements</b>	N.A.	N.A.	

#### 4. Behavioral Health Utilization

##### **Mental Health**


- Across all age cohorts county-wide there has been a decline in the rate of Mercer County mental health IP hospital admissions from 2012 through 2016.
- In 2016, across all age cohorts Mercer County had a lower rate of residents with a mental health IP hospitalization than Statewide.

**Hospital Inpatient Discharges for Mental/Behavioral Health Conditions  
By Age; Rate / 1,000 Population  
State and County Comparisons, 2016**



Source: NJDHSS 2010 - 2015 UB-04 Data MDC 19 – NJ Residents; Population: United States Census American Community Survey 5yr Estimate


**Hospital Inpatient Discharges for Mental/Behavioral Health Conditions  
By Age; Rate / 1,000 Population – Trend  
Mercer County**



Source: NJDHSS 2010 - 2015 UB-04 Data MDC 19 – NJ Residents; Population: United States Census American Community Survey 5yr Estimate


- Across all age cohorts county-wide there has been an increase in the rate of mental health ED visits from 2012 through 2016.
- In 2016, across all age cohorts Mercer County had a higher rate of residents with an ED visit than the state for all age cohorts except those 65+.

**ED Visits for Mental/Behavioral Health Conditions (2016): By Age; Rate / 1,000 Population  
State and County Comparisons 2016**



Source: NJDHSS 20101- 2015 UB-04 Data MDC 19 – NJ Residents; Population: United States Census American Community Survey 5yr Estimate

**ED Visits for Mental/Behavioral Health Conditions (2016): By Age; Rate / 1,000 Population – Trend  
Mercer County**



Source: NJDHSS 20101- 2015 UB-04 Data MDC 19 – NJ Residents; Population: United States Census American Community Survey 5yr Estimate

**Behavioral Health Use Rates**


Inpatient

- Inpatient Mental Health rates for the RWJUH Hamilton Service Area was lower than New Jersey but higher than most comparative areas except Trenton zip code 08638.
- The Robbinsville zip code 08691 rate was lower than the service area and all comparative areas.

Emergency Department

- ED Mental Health rates in the RWJUH Hamilton Service Area are higher than the statewide totals.
- ED Rates for both Trenton zip code 08638 and Hamilton zip code 08620 are noticeably higher than all other comparative zip codes.

### Mental Health Use Rate /1,000 Population: 2016 State and County Comparisons



\*Source: UB-04 2015 Discharges; Claritas Population Estimate

\*\* Mental Health Defined As MDC 19, Substance Abuse Defined As MDC 20

### Substance Abuse


Substance abuse has a major impact on individuals, families and communities. In 2005, an estimated 22 million Americans struggled with a drug or alcohol problem. Almost 95 percent of people with substance use problems are considered unaware of their problem. These estimates highlight the importance of increasing prevention efforts and improving access to treatment for substance abuse and co-occurring disorders.<sup>51</sup>

### Inpatient

- Across all age cohorts, except those 0-17, county-wide there has been an increase in the rate of IP admissions for substance abuse from 2012 through 2016.
- In 2016, Mercer County had a higher rate of Inpatient substance abuse admissions for those 65+ than the state and all comparative counties.


<sup>51</sup> <http://www.healthypeople.gov/2020/topics-objectives/topic/substance-abuse>

### Inpatient Substance Abuse Treatment Discharges: Rate / 1,000 Population State and County Comparisons 2016



Source: NJDHSS 2010 - 2015 UB-04 Data MDC 20 – NJ Residents; Population: United States Census American Community Survey 5yr Estimate



#### Mercer County



Source: NJDHSS 2010 - 2015 UB-04 Data MDC 20 – NJ Residents; Population: United States Census American Community Survey 5yr Estimate

ED Visit

- County-wide there has been an increase in the rate of ED visits for substance abuse from 2012 through 2016 for all age cohorts except for the 0-17 age cohort.
- In 2016 Mercer County had a higher rate of residents with an ED visits for substance abuse among all cohorts except for those 0-17 as compared to the state.




Source: NJDHSS 2010 - 2015 UB-04 Data MDC 20 – NJ Residents; Population: United States Census American Community Survey 5yr Estimate



- In 2016, Mercer County’s leading cause for admission into a drug treatment center was Heroin, making up 37.3% of the county’s total treatments, which is lower than the State level and the comparative counties.
- Mercer County’s second leading cause for admission into a drug treatment center was Alcohol, making up 27% of its total treatments.


### Primary Drug Treatment Admissions State and County Comparisons 2016



Source: <http://www.nj.gov/humanservices/dmhas/publications/statistical/Substance%20Abuse%20Overview/2016/statewide.pdf>

- In 2016, the number of opioid dispensations reached about 57% of Mercer County and New Jersey’s population.
- Although this is a relatively high number of opioid dispensations, Mercer County is lower than Burlington County at 72% and Camden County at 82% .

### Opioid Dispensations State and County Comparisons 2016




Source: <http://www.nj.gov/humanservices/dmhas/publications/statistical/Substance%20Abuse%20Overview/2016/statewide.pdf>


Naloxone is a FDA approved medication to prevent overdose deaths by opioids such as heroin, morphine and oxycodone. It blocks opioid receptor sites reversing the toxic effects of overdose.

- Since 2015, naloxone administrations increased by .03% for New Jersey and .02% for Mercer County
- In 2016, Mercer County experienced 388 naloxone administrations up from 333 in 2015.

### Naloxone Administrations State and County Comparisons 2016



Source: <http://www.nj.gov/humanservices/dmhas/publications/statistical/Substance%20Abuse%20Overview/2016/statewide.pdf>



Source: <http://www.nj.gov/humanservices/dmhas/publications/statistical/Substance%20Abuse%20Overview/2016/statewide.pdf>


## Substance Abuse Use Rate

### Inpatient

- The Inpatient Substance Abuse use rate in the RWJUH Hamilton service area was nearly the same as the statewide rate.
- The inpatient use rate in Trenton zip code 08638 was higher than the other zip code areas.

### Emergency Department

- The RWJUH Hamilton Service Area rate was higher than the county and the state.
- The ED substance visit rate was highest in Trenton zip code 08638.



Source: UB-04 2015 Discharges; Claritas Population Estimate

Indicator	Healthy People 2020 Target	County Health Rankings Benchmark	New Jersey
Treatment Admissions for Alcohol <i>Percentage of Total Treatment Admissions</i>	N.A	N.A.	Yellow
Treatment Admissions for Cocaine/Crack <i>Percentage of Total Treatment Admissions</i>	N.A	N.A	Red
Treatment Admissions for Heroin <i>Percentage of Total Treatment Admissions</i>	N.A.	N.A	Green
Treatment Admissions for Other Opiates <i>Percentage of Total Treatment Admissions</i>	N.A	N.A.	Yellow
Treatment Admissions for Marijuana <i>Percentage of Total Treatment Admissions</i>	N.A	N.A	Red
Treatment Admissions for Other Drugs <i>Percentage of Total Treatment Admissions</i>	N.A	N.A	Yellow
Total Substance Abuse Treatment Admissions <i>Rate/100000 Population</i>	N.A	N.A	Yellow
Opioid Dispensations	N.A	N.A	Yellow
Naloxone Administrations	N.A	N.A	Yellow

**D. HEALTH OUTCOMES**

Disease-specific mortality, health status and morbidity are among the outcomes presented. Indicators of general health and mental health measures are also discussed in this section.

**1. Mortality - Leading Cause of Death**


According to the CDC, mortality statistics are one of few data sets comparable for small geographic areas, available for long time periods and appropriate as a primary source for public health planning.

- Between 2013 and 2016, 5 of the top 10 age-adjusted mortality rates declined, with greatest decreases in Stroke (-14.8%), Diabetes mellitus (-3.7%) and Alzheimer’s disease (-3.2%).
- Since 2008, the top COD (Heart Disease), experienced a decrease of -2.3%.
- Nephritis, nephrotic syndrome, and nephrosis increased 39.3% from 2013, the largest percent increase, Chronic liver disease and cirrhosis increased 30.1% between 2013-2016.

**Top 10 Causes of Death in Mercer County  
Age-Adjusted Rate/100,000 Population 2008-2016**

CAUSE	2008	2013	2016	% Change '13-'16
Diseases of heart	206	197.6	193	-2.3%
Cancer (malignant neoplasms)	173.6	166.1	184.9	11.3%
Stroke (cerebrovascular diseases)	37.8	42	35.8	-14.8%
Unintentional injuries	23.9	35.3	35	-0.8%
Chronic lower respiratory diseases (CLRD)	37.3	32.3	34.5	6.8%
Alzheimer's disease	25	24.8	24	-3.2%
Septicemia	19.2	17	22.1	30.0%
Diabetes mellitus	24.7	21.8	21	-3.7%
Nephritis, nephrotic syndrome and nephrosis (kidney disease)	14	8.9	12.4	39.3%
Chronic liver disease and cirrhosis	9.3	8.3	10.8	30.1%

**2013 & 2016**




Source: NJDOH Center for Health Statistics NJ State Health Assessment Data – 2015 is most recent year available.

### Heart Disease (1)

Heart disease includes several conditions, most commonly, coronary artery disease, angina, heart failure and arrhythmias. Nationally, statewide and in Mercer County, heart disease remains the leading cause of death. Responsible for 1 in every 4 deaths, approximately 610,000 people die of heart disease in the United States each year.


- In 2016, the age-adjusted mortality rate for heart disease was lower than the statewide rate, and the rate for Burlington and Camden counties.
- Despite outperforming the State, the mortality rate of 193.0/100,000 remains far higher than the *Healthy People 2020* target of 103.4/100,000.

**Deaths Due to Diseases of the Heart: Age-Adjusted Rate/100,000 Population  
State and County Comparisons 2014-2016**



Source: NJDOH Center for Health Statistics NJ State Health Assessment Data – 2016 is most recent year available.

## Deaths Due to Diseases of the Heart: Age-Adjusted Rate/100,000 Population – Trend



Source: NJDOH Center for Health Statistics NJ State Health Assessment Data – 2016 is most recent year available.




Baseline: 129.2  
Target: 103.4  
Mercer County 2016: 193.0

### Diseases of the Heart by Race/Ethnicity


- In 2016, the mortality rate for heart disease deaths among Whites was more than 6 times the rate of Hispanics.
- The mortality rate for Whites has historically been higher than for Blacks.
- The mortality rate for heart disease deaths among White Mercer County residents is among the lowest of the comparative counties.

### Deaths Due to Diseases of the Heart by Race/Ethnicity Mercer County Age-Adjusted Rate/100,000 Population



Source: NJDOH Center for Health Statistics NJ State Health Assessment Data – 2016 is most recent year available.

### Deaths Due to Diseases of the Heart by Race/Ethnicity – Trend Mercer County



Source: NJDOH Center for Health Statistics NJ State Health Assessment Data – 2016 is most recent year available.

#### Cancer (2)

Although there are many types of cancer, all originate from abnormal cells with untreated disease.<sup>52</sup> Approximately half of American men and one-third of women will develop some form of cancer throughout their lifetimes. Cancer risk may be reduced by basic lifestyle modifications including limiting or avoiding tobacco, sun protection, being physically active and eating healthy foods. Early detection greatly improves positive outcomes. Cancer is the second leading cause of death in the United States, New Jersey and Mercer County.<sup>53</sup>


- Mortality rates for cancer in Mercer County have been increasing since 2007.
- In 2016, the mortality rate for cancer (184.9) was slightly higher than the statewide rate (183.1), and lower than the rate for Burlington (216.8) and Camden (195.6) counties.
- The mortality rate of 184.9/100,000 is higher than the *Healthy People 2020* target of 161.4/100,000 and places the county in the middle performing quartile statewide.

<sup>52</sup> <http://www.cancer.org/cancer/cancerbasics/what-is-cancer>

<sup>53</sup> <http://www.cancer.org/cancer/cancerbasics/questions-people-ask-about-cancer>




## Deaths Due to Malignant Neoplasms (Cancer): Age-Adjusted Rate/100,000 Population State and County Comparisons 2014-2016



Source: NJDOH Center for Health Statistics NJ State Health Assessment Data – 2016 is most recent year available.

### Mercer County




Source: NJDOH Center for Health Statistics NJ State Health Assessment Data – 2016 is most recent year available.




Baseline: 179.3  
Target: 161.4  
Mercer County 2016: 184.9

- In 2016, the mortality rate for malignant neoplasm deaths among Whites was more than the rate of Blacks.
- The mortality rate for Whites has historically been higher than for Blacks.
- The mortality rate for malignant neoplasm deaths among Black Mercer County residents is higher than the rate statewide among black residents.



Source: NJDOH Center for Health Statistics NJ State Health Assessment Data – 2016 is most recent year available




Source: NJDOH Center for Health Statistics NJ State Health Assessment Data – 2016 is most recent year available

### Stroke (Cerebrovascular Diseases) (3)

A stroke occurs when a clot blocks blood supply to the brain or if a blood vessel within the brain bursts.


- In 2016, Mercer County's stroke mortality rate 35.8/100,000 was lower than the comparison counties of Burlington and Camden.
- Over the last 10 years the stroke mortality rate per 100,000 ranged from a low of 31.3/100,000 in 2012 to a high of 43.5/100,000 in 2007.
- The stroke mortality rate of 35.8/100,000 is higher than the *Healthy People 2020* target of 34.8/100,000 but is in the best performing quartile in the State.

**Deaths Due to Stroke: Age-Adjusted Rate/100,000 Population  
State and County Comparisons 2014-2016**



Source: NJDOH Center for Health Statistics NJ State Health Assessment Data – 2016 is most recent year available.

### Deaths Due to Stroke: Age-Adjusted Rate/100,000 Population – Trend



Source: NJDOH Center for Health Statistics NJ State Health Assessment Data – 2016 is most recent year available.




Baseline: 43.5  
Target: 34.8  
Mercer County 2016: 35.8

### Deaths Due to Stroke by Race/Ethnicity


- From 2014-2016, the mortality rate for stroke deaths among Whites was higher than the rate among Blacks.
- The mortality rate for stroke deaths among Black Mercer County residents is slightly higher than the statewide rate for Black residents.

### Deaths Due to Stroke by Race/Ethnicity Age-Adjusted Rate/100,000 Population State and County Comparisons 2014-2016



Source: NJDOH Center for Health Statistics NJ State Health Assessment Data – 2016 is most recent year available.

### Mercer County




Source: NJDOH Center for Health Statistics NJ State Health Assessment Data – 2016 is most recent year available.

### Unintentional Injuries (4)

The majority of unintentional injuries are preventable and predictable. Deaths due to unintentional injury often occur as a result of motor vehicle accidents, falls, firearms, drownings, suffocations, bites, stings, sports/recreational activities, natural disasters, fires, burns and poisonings. Public Health prevention strategies including minimum age drinking requirements, seatbelt and helmet laws, smoke alarms, exercise programs and other safety awareness campaigns reduce unintentional injury and death.<sup>54</sup>

- In 2016, the rate for unintentional injuries 35/1,000 was lower than the statewide rate and the rate for Burlington, Camden, and Middlesex counties.
- Rate for unintentional injuries in Mercer County decreased from 2007-2012 and since 2013 has increased to 35/100,000
- The mortality rate of 35/100,000 is slightly lower than the *Healthy People 2020* target of 36.4/100,000.


#### Unintentional Injuries State and County Comparisons, 2014-2015



Source: NJDOH Center for Health Statistics NJ State Health Assessment Data – 2016 is most recent year available.

<sup>54</sup> <http://www.cdph.ca.gov/programs/ohir/Pages/UnInjury2010Background.aspx>

### Unintentional Injuries – Trend Mercer County




Source: NJDOH Center for Health Statistics NJ State Health Assessment Data – 2016 is most recent year available.



*Baseline: 40.4*  
*Target: 36.4*  
*Mercer County 2016: 35.0*


- The mortality rate for unintentional injuries among Whites has historically been higher than for Blacks.
- The mortality rate for unintentional injury deaths among White Mercer County residents is lower than the rate of White residents statewide and for Whites in all comparative counties.

## Unintentional Injuries by Race/Ethnicity State & County Comparisons 2007-2016



Source: NJDOH Center for Health Statistics NJ State Health Assessment Data – 2016 is most recent year available.

### Mercer County




Source: NJDOH Center for Health Statistics NJ State Health Assessment Data – 2016 is most recent year available.



### Alzheimer's Disease (5)

Alzheimer's disease is a progressive illness that begins with mild memory loss leading to loss of ability to carry a conversation or respond to the environment. The disease involves parts of the brain that control thought, memory and language. In 2013, an estimated 5 million people living in the U.S. were living with Alzheimer's disease. By 2050, this number is projected to increase to 14 million people.<sup>55</sup>


- Between 2008 and 2016, age adjusted mortality rates for Alzheimer's disease increased from 19.4/100,000 to 25 to 24/100,000.



Source: NJSHAD web site (<https://nj.gov/health/shad>)

<sup>55</sup> <https://www.cdc.gov/aging/agencyinfo/alzheimers.htm>

**Deaths Due to Alzheimer’s Disease, 2014-2016 – Trend  
Rate per 100,000 Population  
Mercer County**



Source: NJSHAD web site (<https://nj.gov/health/shad>)

Indicator	Healthy People 2020 Target	County Health Rankings Benchmark	New Jersey
<b>Deaths Due to Diseases of The Heart</b> <i>Age-Adjusted Rate/100000 Population</i>		N.A.	
<b>Deaths Due to Diseases of The Heart (Black, Non-Hispanic)</b> <i>Age-Adjusted Rate/100000 Population</i>	N.A.	N.A.	
<b>Deaths Due to Malignant Neoplasms (Cancer)</b> <i>Age-Adjusted Rate/100000 Population</i>		N.A.	
<b>Deaths Due to Malignant Neoplasms (Cancer) (Black, Non-Hispanic)</b> <i>Age-Adjusted Rate/100000 Population</i>	N.A.	N.A.	
<b>Deaths Due to Unintentional Injuries</b> <i>Age-Adjusted Rate/100000 Population</i>		N.A.	
<b>Alzheimer’s Disease</b> <i>Age-Adjusted Rate/100000 Population</i>	N.A.	N.A.	

**RED: Poorest Performing Quartile**

**Yellow: Middle Quartiles**


**Green: Best Performing Quartile**

**2. Premature Deaths**


An alternate method to reviewing crude or age-adjusted death rates as a measure of premature mortality is assessing Years of Potential Life Lost (YPLL). YPLL calculate the number of years of potential life lost for each death occurring before a predetermined end point, in this case, age 75 per 100,000 population. Premature deaths are reviewed to highlight potentially preventable adverse outcomes.

- The number of years of potential life lost 6,064.41 is higher in Mercer County than statewide and the surrounding counties of Burlington and Middlesex.
- The 2014-2016 premature death rate of 6,064.41 is higher than the County Health Ranking target of 5,300.

## Premature Death: Years of Potential Life Lost Before Age 75: Age-Adjusted Rate/100,000 Population State and County Comparisons 2010-2016



### Mercer County



Source: County Health Rankings; National Vital Statistics System

Note: Every death occurring before the age of 75 contributes to the total number of years of potential life lost



National Benchmark: 5,300

Mercer County: 6,064

Indicator	Healthy People 2020 Target	County Health Rankings Benchmark	New Jersey
<b>Premature Death: Years of Potential Life Lost Before Age 75</b> <i>Age-Adjusted Rate/100000 Population</i>	N.A.		


- RED: Poorest Performing Quartile
- Yellow: Middle Quartiles
- Green: Best Performing Quartile

### 3. Behavioral Health-Related Deaths


Mental health is a state of well-being in which an individual realizes his or her own abilities, copes with normal life stresses, works productively, and is able to contribute to his or her community. Mental illness includes diagnosable mental disorders or health conditions characterized by alterations in thinking, mood, or behavior (or some combination thereof) associated with distress and/or impaired functioning. Depression, the most common type of mental illness, is associated with higher rates of chronic disease, increased health care utilization, and impaired functioning. However, rates of mental illness treatment remain low, and often the treatment received is inadequate.

- The Mercer County suicide rate has ranged from a low of 5.7/100,000 in 2016 to a high of 10.6/100,000 in 2010.
- The current rate 5.7/100,000 is lower than the statewide rate of 7.7/100,000.
- The suicide rate of 5.7/100,000 is lower than the *Healthy People 2020* target of 10.2/100,000.

## Deaths Due to Suicide: Age-Adjusted Rate/100,000 Population State and County Comparisons 2014-2016



### Mercer County



Source: NJDOH Center for Health Statistics; NJ State Health Assessment Data



Baseline: 11.3  
Target: 10.2  
Mercer County 2016: 5.7

Indicator	Healthy People 2020 Target	County Health Rankings Benchmark	New Jersey
<b>Deaths Due to Suicide</b> <i>Age-Adjusted Rate/100,000 Population</i>		N.A	
<b>RED: Poorest Performing Quartile</b>			
<b>Yellow: Middle Quartiles</b>			
<b>Green: Best Performing Quartile</b>			


#### 4. Infant Mortality

Infant mortality, the death of a baby prior to his or her first birthday, is *traditionally* used as an indicator of the health and well-being of a nation. Infant mortality is calculated as the number of infant deaths under age 1 per 1,000 live births. Great disparities exist in infant mortality by age, race, and ethnicity. Most frequent causes are serious birth defect, preterm birth/low birth weight, Sudden Infant Death Syndrome (SIDS), maternal complications of pregnancy, and injury.<sup>56</sup>


- Infant mortality has been decreasing in Mercer County from 8.5/100,000 in 2004-2006 to 7.0/100,000 in 2013-2015.
- The infant mortality rate for 2013-2015 was higher than the Statewide; and the Burlington and Middlesex County rates.
- The 2013-2015 infant mortality rate of 7.0/100,000 is higher than the *Healthy People 2020* target of 6.0/1,000 and the County Health rankings benchmark of 5/1,000.

<sup>56</sup> <http://www.cdc.gov/reproductivehealth/maternalinfanthealth/infantmortality.htm>

### Infant Mortality Rate: Rate of Infant (Under 1 Year) Deaths/1,000 Live Births State and County Comparisons 2007-2015



#### Mercer County



Source: NJDOH Center for Health Statistics NJ State Health Assessment Data – 2015 is most recent year available.



Baseline: 6.7  
Target: 6.0  
Mercer County 2013-2015: 7.0




National Benchmark: 4.0  
Mercer County: 7.0

A Robert Wood Johnson Foundation program


- The Black infant mortality rate decreased between 2007-2009 from 17.0/100,000 to 15.5/100,000 in 2013-2015.
- Despite the decrease the Black Infant mortality rate in Mercer County is higher than the State and Middlesex County.

**Infant Mortality Rate: Rate of Infant (Under 1 Year) By Race/Ethnicity  
Deaths/1,000 Live Births  
State and County Comparisons 2007-2015**



Source: NJDOH Center for Health Statistics NJ State Health Assessment Data – 2015 is most recent year available.

**Mercer County**



Source: NJDOH Center for Health Statistics NJ State Health Assessment Data – 2015 is most recent year available.



## 5. Low and Very Low Birth Weight Infants

Birth weight is the most important factor affecting neonatal mortality and a significant determinant of post neonatal mortality. Low birth weight infants (less than 2,500 grams) are at an increased risk for health problems ranging from neurodevelopmental disabilities to respiratory disorders.<sup>57</sup> Racial disparities in low birth weight babies persist; nationally, non-Hispanic Black infants continue to die at nearly twice the rate of non-Hispanic Whites.

### Low Birth Weight

- Mercer County had the second highest percentage of low birth weight infants among the comparative counties.
- The 2016, low birth weight rate of 8.10% is higher than the *Healthy People 2020* target of 7.8%.

### Very Low Birth Weight


Very low birth weight infants (less than 1,500 grams) are at greater risk of adverse outcomes than low birth weight babies.

- Mercer County had the second highest percent of very low birth weight babies among the comparative counties.
- The 2016, very low birth weight rate of 1.7% is higher than the *Healthy People 2020* target of 1.4%.


---

<sup>57</sup> [http://www.cdc.gov/PEDNSS/how\\_to/interpret\\_data/case\\_studies/low\\_birthweight/what.htm](http://www.cdc.gov/PEDNSS/how_to/interpret_data/case_studies/low_birthweight/what.htm)

## Birth Weight: Percent of Live Births with Low and Very Low Birth Weight State and County Comparisons 2016



### Mercer County




Source: NJDOH Bureau of Vital Statistics and Registration NJ Birth Certificate Database  
 Note: Percentages are based on the total number of live births for the County and State



**<1500/<2500**  
 Baseline: 1.5% / 8.2%  
 Target: 1.4% / 7.8%  
 Mercer County 2016: 1.70% / 8.10%

- The percent of low birth weight infants decreased for Blacks between 2011-2016.
- The low birth rate among Blacks was nearly double the rate among Whites.
- The low birth rate among Hispanics decreased 1.3% between 2011-2016.
- Among very low birth rate infants the rate among Hispanics decreased by 0.3 points, the rate among Blacks remained stable at 3.8%, and Whites increased 0.3%.


**Low Birth Weight: By Mother's Race/Ethnicity; Percent of Live Births with Low Birth Weight  
Mercer County 2011-2016**



Source: NJDOH Bureau of Vital Statistics and Registration NJ Birth Certificate Database

Note: \*Percentages are based on the total number of Low or Very Low Birth Weight Births / Live births for the County and State

### Very Low Birth Weight: By Mother's Race/Ethnicity: Percent of Live Births with Very Low Birth Weight Mercer County 2011-2016



Source: NJDOH Bureau of Vital Statistics and Registration NJ Birth Certificate Database  
 Note: \*Percentages are based on the total number of Low or Very Low Birth Weight Births / Live births for the County and State

Indicator	Healthy People 2020 Target	County Health Rankings Benchmark	New Jersey
<b>Infant Mortality Rate</b> <i>Rate of Infant (Under 1 Year) Deaths/1000 Live Births</i>	Yellow	Red	Red
<b>Infant Mortality Rate (Black Non-Hispanic)</b> <i>Rate of Infant (Under 1 Year) Deaths/1000 Live Births</i>	White	White	Yellow
<b>Low Birthweight (&lt;2500 Grams)</b> <i>Percentage of Live Births</i>	Yellow	N.A.	Yellow
<b>Low Birthweight (&lt;2500 Grams) (Black Non-Hispanic)</b> <i>Percentage of Live Births</i>	N.A.	N.A.	Yellow
<b>Very Low Birthweight (&lt;1500 Grams)</b> <i>Percentage of Live Births</i>	Yellow	N.A.	Red
<b>Very Low Birthweight (&lt;1500 Grams) (Black Non-Hispanic)</b> <i>Percentage of Live Births</i>	N.A.	N.A.	Red

**RED: Poorest Performing Quartile**  
**Yellow: Middle Quartiles**  
**Green: Best Performing Quartile**

## 6. Health Status and Behavioral Health Status


Health status and behavioral health status are broad multidimensional concepts including self-report measures of physical and mental health.

Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS), the nation's premier system of health-related telephone surveys, collects data about U.S. residents regarding health-related risk behaviors, chronic health conditions and use of preventive services. In 1984, the survey began collecting data in 15 states and is currently conducted in all states including Washington D.C. and three United States territories. The most recent data available are for the year 2016.

### General Health Status


- Only 14.8% of Mercer County residents report their health as being fair or poor compared to 17.5% of New Jerseyans.
- Burlington County had the lowest percent of residents report their health to be fair or poor at 12.10%.
- The 14.8% of Mercer County individuals reporting “fair or poor” health in 2016 is higher than the County Health Ranking target of 12.0%.

**Percent of Respondents Reporting Their Health as “Fair or Poor”  
State and County Comparisons 2012-2016**



Source: CDC Behavioral Health Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS)

### Percent of Respondents Reporting Their Health as “Fair or Poor” – Trend Mercer County




Source: CDC Behavioral Health Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS)

**County Health Rankings & Roadmaps**  
Building a Culture of Health, County by County  
A Robert Wood Johnson Foundation program

*National Benchmark: 12%*  
*Mercer County 2016: 14.8%*

- The percent of Mercer County residents reporting 14 or more days a month when their physical health was not good was 16.3% compared to 12% of residents statewide.
- The 2016, the percent of Mercer County residents reporting 14 or more days as not good was in the lowest performing quartile in the State.


## Percent Reporting 14 or More of the Past 30 Days Physical Health Not Good: Age-Adjusted State and County Comparisons 2014-2016



Source: New Jersey Behavioral Risk Factor Survey

Note: The physical health measure is based on response to the question: "Now thinking about your physical health which includes physical illness and injury for how many days during the past 30 days was your physical health not good?"

### Mercer County



Source: New Jersey Behavioral Risk Factor Survey

**County Health Rankings & Roadmaps**

Building a Culture of Health, County by County

A Robert Wood Johnson Foundation program

National Benchmark: 3.0%

Mercer County 2016: 16.3%


Indicator	Healthy People 2020 Target	County Health Rankings Benchmark	New Jersey
<b>Reported "Fair" or "Poor" Health</b> <i>Percentage of Respondents</i>	N.A.		
<b>Physically Unhealthy Days Reported in the Past 30 Days</b> <i>Average Age-Adjusted Number</i>	N.A.		

**RED:** Poorest Performing Quartile  
**Yellow:** Middle Quartiles  
**Green:** Best Performing Quartile

### Behavioral Health Status

- The percent of Mercer County residents reporting 14 or more days a month when their mental health was not good was 16.9% compared to 10.7% of residents statewide.
- The percentage of Mercer County residents reporting 14 or more days when their mental health was not good is higher than the New Jersey and County Health ranking target.


**Frequent Mental Distress**  
**Percent Reporting 14 or More of the Past 30 Days Mental Health Not Good**  
**State and County Comparisons 2014-2016**



Source: New Jersey Behavioral Risk Factor Survey



### Percent Reporting 14 or More of the Past 30 Days Mental Health Not Good – Trend Mercer County



Source: New Jersey Behavioral Risk Factor Survey



Note: The frequent mental distress health measure is based on response to the question: “Now thinking about your mental health which includes stress depression and problems with emotions for how many days during the past 30 days was your mental health not good?”



National Benchmark: 3.0%  
Mercer County 2016: 16.9%

- In 2016, 14.9% of Mercer County residents reported a history of depression down from 16.5% in 2012.
- The Mercer County rate for history of depression was higher than the statewide percentage 12.1%.

## History of Diagnosed Depression State & County Comparisons 2014-2016




Source: New Jersey Behavioral Risk Factor Survey


Note: The frequent mental distress health measure is based on response to the question: "Now thinking about your mental health which includes stress depression and problems with emotions for how many days during the past 30 days was your mental health not good?"

- Since 2014, drug overdose rates increased across New Jersey, Mercer County, and neighboring counties.
- In 2016, there were 164 drug overdose deaths in Mercer County, up from 126 in 2014.

### Drug Overdose Deaths State and County Comparisons 2016



### Mercer County



Source: <http://www.nj.gov/humanservices/dmhas/publications/statistical/Substance%20Abuse%20Overview/2016/statewide.pdf>



A Robert Wood Johnson Foundation program

National Benchmark:10  
Mercer County:15

<i>Indicator</i>	<i>Healthy People 2020 Target</i>	<i>County Health Rankings Benchmark</i>	<i>New Jersey</i>
<b>Frequent Mental Distress</b> <i>Average Age-Adjusted Number</i>	N.A.		
<b>History of Diagnosed Depression</b>	N.A.	N.A.	
<b>Drug Overdose Deaths</b> <i>Age-Adjusted Rate/ 100,000 Population</i>	N.A.		


**7. Morbidity**

Morbidity, the rate of disease incidence, is a measure of quality of life and how healthy a population is in terms of being disease free.


***Heart Disease***

- In 2016, 2.5% of Mercer County residents reported being told they had angina or coronary heart disease.
- Over the last 6 years the percentage of people reporting coronary artery disease or angina ranged from 2.5% in 2016 to a high of 4.4% in 2011.
- The 2016 rate of 2.5% for residents reporting angina or coronary heart disease is in the top performing quartile in the State.

## Cardiovascular Disease (Percent "Yes") Were You Ever Told You Have Angina or Coronary Heart Disease? State and County Comparisons 2014-2016





### Mercer County



Source: CDC Behavioral Health Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS)

- Over the last 6 years, the percentage of people that report being told they had a heart attack ranged from 3.0% in 2012 to 6.0% in 2011.
- In 2016, 4.2% of Mercer county residents reported being told they had a heart attack, lower than the statewide rate and the rate in Burlington, Camden, and Middlesex counties.
- The 2016 rate for Mercer residents being told they had a heart attack is in the middle quartile of all counties in New Jersey.

**Cardiovascular Disease (Percent “Yes”)  
Were You Ever Told You Had a Heart Attack? (Myocardial Infarction)**




Source: CDC Behavioral Health Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS)

### Heart Disease Hospital Incidence Use Rates for County and Selected Towns

- The rate of Mercer County residents hospitalized with a diagnosis of heart attack (2013-2016) was lower than the statewide average.
- In 2016, RWJUH Hamilton service area residents exhibited the highest use rate of heart attack rate (1.53%).


#### Heart Attack: Acute Care IP, Same Day and ED Discharges; Rate / 1,000 Population, 2013-2016



Source: NJ UB-04 Acute Care IP, Same Day Stay, ER Discharges (2013 – 2016), Population: 2010, 2016 Claritas/HCDA, 2011 Straight Line Value Based on 2000 and 2010 Census; Definition: Inpatient, Same Day Stay and ED Discharges for MS-DRGs 280-285

- Between 2013 and 2016, the rate of patients hospitalized with a diagnosis of heart failure in Mercer County was lower than the statewide average.
- In 2016, Trenton zip code 08638 residents who used a hospital service had the highest hospitalization rate for Heart Failure.

#### Heart Failure/CHF: Acute Care IP; Same Day and ED Discharges; Rate / 1,000 Population, 2013-2016




Source: NJ UB-04 Acute Care IP, Same Day Stay, ER Discharges (2013 – 2016), Population: 2010, 2016 Claritas/HCDA, 2011 Straight Line Value Based on 2000 and 2010 Census; Definition: Inpatient, Same Day Stay and ED Discharges for MS-DRGs 291-293


### Stroke

- In 2016, 4.1% of Mercer County residents report being told they had a stroke compared to 2.8% statewide.
- Between 2011 and 2016, the percent of people reporting being told they had a stroke more than doubled.
- Mercer County’s rate of residents reporting a stroke is in the worst performing quartile of New Jersey counties.

## Cardiovascular Disease (Percent “Yes”): Have You Ever Been Told You Had a Stroke? State and County Comparisons 2014-2016



### Mercer County




Source: CDC Behavioral Health Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS)



### Stroke Hospital Use Rates for County and Selected Towns

- In 2016, Mercer County residents had a higher hospitalization rate for stroke than residents statewide.
- In 2016, Mercerville and Trenton zip code 08638 residents who used a hospital service had the highest hospitalization rate for stroke.

#### Stroke/T IA: Acute Care IP; Same Day and ED Discharges; Rate / 1,000 Population, 2013-2016



Source: NJ UB-04 Acute Care IP, Same Day Stay, ER Discharges (2013 – 2016), Population: 2010, 2016 Claritas/HCDA, 2011 Straight Line Value Based on 2000 and 2010 Census; Definition: Inpatient, Same Day Stay and ED Discharges for MS-DRGs 061-069


### Hypertension and High Cholesterol

#### Hypertension

According to the American Heart Association, risk factors associated with developing cardiovascular disease include: high blood pressure, high cholesterol, cigarette smoking, physical inactivity, poor diet, overweight and obesity, and diabetes.


- In 2015, 29.7% of Mercer County residents report being told they had high blood pressure.
- The percent of 2015 Mercer County individuals reporting high blood pressure in 2015 (29.7%) is higher than the *Healthy People 2020* target of 26.9%.

## Adults Who Have Been Told They Have Hypertension State and County Comparisons 2011-2015



Source: CDC Behavioral Health Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS)

### Mercer County



Source: CDC Behavioral Health Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS)




Baseline: 29.9%  
Target: 26.9%  
Mercer County 2016: 29.7%

### Hypertension Hospital Use Rates for County and Selected Towns

- Trenton zip code 08638 residents had the highest incidence of hospitalization for hypertension among comparative areas

#### Hypertension: Acute Care IP, Same Day and ED Discharges; Rate / 1,000 Population, 2013-2016




Source: NJ UB-04 Acute Care IP, Same Day Stay, ER Discharges (2013 – 2016), Population: 2010, 2016 Claritas/HCDA, 2011 Straight Line Value Based on 2000 and 2010 Census; Definition: Inpatient, Same Day Stay and ED Discharges – ICD-9 DX Codes in Range 401-405.99 (Appearing Anywhere in First 13 DX Codes on Patient Record)

### Cholesterol

- Since 2011, the percent of Mercer County residents who had their cholesterol checked and told it was high increased from 39.7% to 43.1%.
- In 2015, the percentage of residents with high cholesterol was higher than the rate statewide and every comparison county.
- The percent of 2015 Mercer County individuals reporting high cholesterol (43.1%) is more than triple the *Healthy People 2020* target of 13.5%.

## Adults Who Have Had Their Cholesterol Checked and Told It Was High State and County Comparisons, 2011-2015




Source: CDC Behavioral Health Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS)



Baseline: 15.0 %  
Target: 13.5%  
Mercer County 2016: 43.10%

### Mercer County




Source: CDC Behavioral Health Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS)

### High Cholesterol Hospital Use Rates for County and Selected Towns

- High Cholesterol had the highest incidence rate in Robbinsville zip code 08691.

### High Cholesterol: Acute Care IP, Same Day and ED Discharges; Rate / 1,000 Population, 2013-2016




Source: NJ UB-04 Acute Care IP, Same Day Stay, ER Discharges (2013 – 2016), Population: 2010, 2016 Claritas/HCDA, 2011 Straight Line Value Based on 2000 and 2010 Census; Definition: Inpatient, Same Day Stay and ED Discharges – ICD-9 DX Codes 272.0 or 272.2 (Appearing Anywhere In First 13 DX Codes On Patient Record)


### Cancer

- Between 2013 and 2015, cancer incidence rates/100,000 declined from 503.2 to 499.3/100,000.
- In 2015, Mercer County residents had a lower invasive cancer incidence rate than residents of Burlington or Camden counties.

## Overall Invasive Cancer Incidence: Age-Adjusted Rate / 100,000 Population State and County Comparisons 2013-2015



### Mercer County




Source: NJDOH New Jersey Cancer Registry

Note: The Rate / 100,000 for Prostate Cancer is based on Males and the Rate / 100,000 for Breast Cancer is based on Females

### Incidence by Site

- Mercer County’s incidence rate per 100,000 was higher than the State for all 5 top cancer sites.
- Rates for Digestive System Cancer declined between 2008 and 2015 as did Male Genital System and Prostate.
- Rates for Breast Cancer and Respiratory System increased.
- Breast cancer incidence rates were higher than the State but lower than Burlington and Camden counties.


**Invasive Cancer Incidence by Site: Age-Adjusted Rate / 100,000 Population  
State and County Comparisons 2015**



Source: NJDOH New Jersey Cancer Registry

Note: The Rate / 100,000 for Prostate Cancer is based on Males and the Rate / 100,000 for Breast Cancer is based on Females

### Invasive Cancer Incidence by Site: Age-Adjusted Rate / 100,000 Population – Trend Mercer County



Source: NJDOH New Jersey Cancer Registry

Note: The Rate / 100,000 for Prostate Cancer is based on Males and the Rate / 100,000 for Breast Cancer is based on Females

Indicator	Healthy People 2020 Target	County Health Rankings Benchmark	New Jersey
<b>Overall Cancer Incidence</b> <i>Age-Adjusted Rate per 100,000 Population</i>	N.A.	N.A.	Yellow
<b>Prostate Cancer Incidence</b> <i>Age-Adjusted Rate per 100,000 Population</i>	N.A.	N.A.	Red
<b>Breast Cancer Incidence</b> <i>Age-Adjusted Rate per 100,000 Population</i>	N.A.	N.A.	Yellow
<b>Respiratory System Cancer Incidence</b> <i>Age-Adjusted Rate per 100,000 Population</i>	N.A.	N.A.	Yellow
<b>Digestive System Cancer Incidence</b> <i>Age-Adjusted Rate per 100,000 Population</i>	N.A.	N.A.	Yellow
<b>Male Genital System Cancer Incidence</b> <i>Age-Adjusted Rate per 100,000 Population</i>	N.A.	N.A.	Red

**RED: Poorest Performing Quartile**

**Yellow: Middle Quartiles**


**Green: Best Performing Quartile**



### Cancer Hospital Use Rates for County, RWJUH Hamilton Service Area, and Selected Towns

- The 2016 cancer incidence rate was highest among Mercerville zip code 08619 residents who used a hospital.


#### Cancer: Acute Care IP, Same Day and ED Discharges; Rate / 1,000 Population, 2013-2016



Source: NJ UB-04 Acute Care IP, Same Day Stay, ER Discharges (2012 – 2015), Population: 2010, 2016 Claritas/HCDA, 2011 Straight Line Value Based on 2000 and 2010 Census Definition: Inpatient, Same Day Stay and ED Discharges – New Solution’s Inc. Oncology Product Line (includes History of Cancer)

- History of Cancer was highest among residents of Hamilton Square zip code 08690 who used a hospital.

#### History of Cancer: Acute Care Inpatient, Same Day and ED Discharges; Rate / 1,000 Population



Source: NJ UB-04 Acute Care IP, Same Day Stay, ER Discharges (2012 – 2015), Population: 2010, 2016 Claritas/HCDA, 2011 Straight Line Value Based on 2000 and 2010 Census Definition: Inpatient, Same Day Stay and ED Discharges – New Solution’s Inc. Oncology Product Line (History of Cancer Only)

### Asthma


Asthma, a chronic lung disease often with childhood onset, inflames and narrows airways and causes recurring periods of wheezing, chest tightness, shortness of breath and coughing.<sup>58</sup> The exact cause of asthma is unknown; however, researchers believe genetic and environmental factors are involved. Factors may include: atopy, parents with asthma, certain respiratory infections during childhood and contact with some airborne allergens or exposure to some viral infections in infancy or in early childhood when the immune system is developing.<sup>59</sup>

- 15.6% of Mercer County residents report being told they have asthma compared to 12% Statewide.
- The rate of asthma among Mercer County residents is higher than the rates in Burlington and Middlesex County.


<sup>58</sup> <http://www.nhlbi.nih.gov/health/health-topics/topics/asthma>

<sup>59</sup> *Ibid.*

### Asthma (Percent “Yes”): Adults Who Have Ever Been Told They Have Asthma State and County Comparisons 2014-2016




#### Mercer County



Source: CDC Behavioral Health Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS)

### Asthma Hospital Use Rates for County and Selected Towns

- Asthma incidence was highest among residents of Hamilton zip code 08610.




Source: NJ UB-04 Acute Care IP, Same Day Stay, ER Discharges (2013 – 2016), Population: 2010, 2016 Claritas/HCDA, 2011 Straight Line Value Based on 2000 and 2010 Census; Definition: Inpatient, Same Day Stay and ED Discharges – ICD-9 DX Codes In the Range 493-493.9 (Appearing Anywhere In First 13 DX Codes On Patient Record)

### COPD (excluding Asthma)

Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) is a group of diseases that cause airflow blockage and breathing-related problems including emphysema, chronic bronchitis. In the United States, tobacco smoke is a key factor in the development and progression of COPD, although exposure to air pollutants in the home and workplace, genetic factors, and respiratory infections also play roles.

- COPD incidence was the highest among hospitalized residents from Mercerville zip code 08619.



Source: NJ UB-04 Acute Care IP, Same Day Stay, ER Discharges (2013 – 2016), Population: 2010, 2016 Claritas/HCDA, 2011 Straight Line Value Based on 2000 and 2010 Census; Definition: Inpatient, Same Day Stay and ED Discharges – ICD-9 DX Codes In the Ranges 490-492 & 494-496 (Appearing Anywhere In First 13 DX Codes On Patient Record)

## ***Diabetes***

Diabetes is indicated by high levels of blood glucose as a result of problems in insulin production, effectiveness, or a combination of both. The three most common types of diabetes are Type 1, Type 2 and Gestational. Individuals with diabetes may develop serious health complications including heart disease, stroke, kidney failure, blindness, amputation and premature death.


Type 1 develops when insulin producing cells located in the pancreas are destroyed. There is no known way to prevent Type 1 diabetes. In order to survive, Type 1 diabetics must have insulin delivered by injection or pump. Type 2 primarily onsets with insulin resistance disorder in which cells within the muscles, liver, and fat tissue are unable to properly use insulin. Higher risk for developing Type 2 diabetes is associated with older age, obesity, family history of diabetes, history of gestational diabetes, impaired glucose metabolism, physical inactivity, and race/ethnicity. African Americans, Hispanics/Latinos, American Indians, some Asians, and Native Hawaiians or other Pacific Islanders are at particularly high risk for Type 2. Gestational diabetes is a form of glucose intolerance diagnosed during the second or third trimester of pregnancy. The risk factors for gestational Diabetes are similar to those for type 2 diabetes.<sup>60</sup>

- 12.2% of Mercer County residents report being told they had diabetes in 2016, greater than the Statewide rate.
- The percent of Mercer County residents reporting diabetes increased from 8.3% in 2011 to 12.2% in 2016.
- In 2016, Mercer County had the second highest percentage of patients reporting diabetes among comparison counties. Mercer County is in the middle performing quartile for diabetes as compared to all 21 counties statewide.


---

<sup>60</sup> <http://www.cdc.gov/diabetes/pdfs/data/2014-report-generalinformation.pdf>

## Diabetes (Percent “Yes”): Have You Ever Been Told by A Doctor That You Have Diabetes? 2014-2016



### Mercer County



Source: CDC Behavioral Health Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS)


**County Health Rankings & Roadmaps**  
Building a Culture of Health, County by County

A Robert Wood Johnson Foundation program

National Benchmark: 9.1%  
Mercer County: 12.2%

- Diabetes incidence was highest among hospitalized residents of Trenton zip code 08638.

**Diabetes: Acute Care IP, Same Day and ED Discharges; Rate / 1,000 Population 2013-2016**




Source: NJ UB-04 Acute Care IP, Same Day Stay, ER Discharges (2013 – 2016), Population: 2010, 2016 Claritas/HCDA, 2011 Straight Line Value Based on 2000 and 2010 Census; Definition: Inpatient, Same Day Stay and ED Discharges – ICD-9 DX Codes In The Range 249.00-250.03 (Appearing Anywhere In First 13 DX Codes On Patient Record)

Diabetes is a contributing factor to renal failure. More than 35% of U.S. adults with diabetes have chronic kidney disease. High blood sugar and high blood pressure increase the risk that chronic kidney disease will eventually lead to kidney failure.<sup>61</sup>

- Renal Failure incidence was highest among hospitalized residents of Trenton zip code 08638.

**Renal Failure: Acute Care IP, Same Day and ED Discharges; Rate / 1,000 Population, 2013-2016**



Source: NJ UB-04 Acute Care IP, Same Day Stay, ER Discharges (2013 – 2016), Population: 2010, 2016 Claritas/HCDA, 2011 Straight Line Value Based on 2000 and 2010 Census; Definition: Inpatient, Same Day Stay and ED Discharges For MS-DRGs In the Range 682-685

**Arthritis**


Arthritis affects more than 1 in 5 adults and is the nation’s most common cause of disability. Arthritis describes more than 100 rheumatic diseases and conditions that affect joints, the tissues which surround the joint and other connective tissue. The pattern, severity and location of symptoms vary depending on the specific form of the disease. Typically, rheumatic conditions are characterized by pain and stiffness in and around one or more joints. The symptoms can develop gradually or suddenly.<sup>62</sup>

- In 2016, 22.9% of adults in Mercer County reported being told that they have arthritis, lower than the rates in Burlington and Camden counties and higher than the rate in Middlesex County.
- Since 2013, the rate for adults with arthritis increased from 22.1% to 22.9% and has remained slightly lower than the rate statewide.

<sup>61</sup> <http://www.cdc.gov/Features/WorldKidneyDay>

<sup>62</sup> <http://www.cdc.gov/arthritis/basics.htm>

### Arthritis (Percent “Yes”): Adults Who Have Ever Been Told They Have Arthritis State and County Comparison 2013-2016



Source: CDC Behavioral Health Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS)

Indicator	Healthy People 2020 Target	County Health Rankings Benchmark	New Jersey
<b>CARDIOVASCULAR DISEASE</b> <i>Were You Ever Told You Had Angina or Coronary Heart Disease?</i> % Yes	N.A.	N.A.	Green
<b>CARDIOVASCULAR DISEASE</b> <i>Were You Ever Told You Had a Heart Attack?</i> % Yes	N.A.	N.A.	Yellow
<b>STROKE</b> <i>Were You Ever Told You Had a Stroke?</i> % Yes	N.A.	N.A.	Red
<b>ASTHMA</b> <i>Adults Who Have Ever Been Told They Have Asthma</i> % Yes	N.A.	N.A.	Yellow
<b>DIABETES</b> <i>Have You Ever Been Told by a Doctor That You Have Diabetes</i> % Yes	N.A.	Red	Yellow
<b>ARTHRITIS</b> <i>Adults Who Have Ever Been Told They Have Arthritis</i> % Yes	N.A.	N.A.	Yellow


<b>Hypertension Awareness</b> <i>Adults Who Have Been Told They Have High Blood Pressure</i>	Yellow	N.A.	Red
<b>Cholesterol Awareness</b> <i>Adults Who Have Had Their Cholesterol Checked and Told it Was High</i>	Red	N.A.	Red
<b>Overall Cancer Incidence</b> <i>Age-Adjusted Rate per 100,000 Population</i>	N.A.	N.A.	Yellow
<b>Prostate Cancer Incidence</b> <i>Age-Adjusted Rate per 100,000 Population</i>	N.A.	N.A.	Red
<b>Breast Cancer Incidence</b> <i>Age-Adjusted Rate per 100,000 Population</i>	N.A.	N.A.	Yellow
<b>Respiratory System Cancer Incidence</b> <i>Age-Adjusted Rate per 100,000 Population</i>	N.A.	N.A.	Yellow
<b>Digestive System Cancer Incidence</b> <i>Age-Adjusted Rate per 100,000 Population</i>	N.A.	N.A.	Yellow
<b>Male Genital System Cancer Incidence</b> <i>Age-Adjusted Rate per 100,000 Population</i>	N.A.	N.A.	Red

**RED: Poorest Performing Quartile**  
**Yellow: Middle Quartiles**  
**Green: Best Performing Quartile**

**Sickle Cell**

- In 2016, RWJUH Hamilton service area had the highest hospital incidence rate for Sickle Cell, followed by Mercer County.

**Acute Care IP, Same Day and ED Discharges**




Source: NJ UB-04 Acute Care IP, Same Day Stay, ER Discharges (2013 – 2016), Population: 2010, 2016 Claritas/HCDA, 2011 & 2016 Straight Line Value Based on 2010 and 2017, Definition: Inpatient, Same Day Stay and ED Discharges - ICD-9 DX Range 282.6-282.69 (Appearing In First 13 DX on Patient Record)



**Cellulitis**

- In 2016, Trenton zip code 08638 had the highest hospital incidence rate for Cellulitis, followed by RWJUH Hamilton Service Area.

**Acute Care IP, Same Day and ED Discharges**



Source: NJ UB-04 Acute Care IP, Same Day Stay, ER Discharges (2013 – 2016), Population: 2010, 2016 Nielsen-Claritas/HCDA, 2011 & 2016 Straight Line Value Based on 2010 and 2017., Definition: Inpatient, Same Day Stay and ED Discharges - MS-DRGS 602, 603

## **6. ASSETS AND GAPS ANALYSIS**

The Assets and Gaps Analysis summarizes and highlights each component of the CHNA. Assets highlight Mercer County and RWJUH Hamilton service area information indicating improvement over time in comparison to other counties and the State or in comparison to other races and genders. Gaps focus on disparities in the RWJUH Hamilton service area or in Mercer County that have a negative trend, in comparison to other counties and the State or in comparison to other races or genders.

### **A. HEALTH DISPARITIES**

#### **Economic Status**

##### **ASSETS**

- The rate of unemployment in Mercer County declined between 2014 and 2016.
- The percent of Mercer County adults and children receiving SNAP benefits was lower than the rate statewide.
- The percent of Mercer County residents with a graduate or professional degree was higher than the statewide rate and higher than the rates in Burlington, Camden and Middlesex counties.

##### **GAPS**

- RWJUH Hamilton service area families, people, and seniors had a higher or equal percentage of an income below federal poverty level than the statewide rate. Children, however, had a lower percentage.
- Median household income in Mercer County decreased between 2014 and 2016.
- The percentage of people who speak English less than very well in Trenton zip code 08611 was nearly triple that of the state.
- Mercer County residents have a higher percentage of individuals who did not complete a high school education than the *Healthy People 2020* target of 2.1%.

#### **Social Context**

##### **ASSETS**

- The Mercer County rate of association per 10,000 population (11.3) is higher than the State and comparison counties.
- The percent of Mercer County residents with limited English proficiency is slightly lower than the rate statewide.

## **Health and Health Care**

### **ASSETS**

- Since 2013, the nonelderly population without health insurance in Mercer County has trended downward. From 2013 through 2015, Mercer County had consistently lower rates of nonelderly population without health insurance than statewide.
- The Mercer County ratio of population to physicians was better than the ratio statewide and better than the County Health Rankings benchmark.

### **GAPS**

- Mercer County had the sixth highest ACSC ED visit rate of the 21 counties in 2016.
- In 2016, RWJUH Hamilton service area's ACSC Adult ED visit rate was higher than the statewide rate and the county as a whole.
- RWJUH Hamilton service area children's ACSC ED visit rate was higher than the State. Several Trenton zip codes had ACSC rates two to three times greater than the county rate.

## **Neighborhood and Built Environment**

### **ASSETS**

- In 2016, the average daily density of fine particulate matter was 8.9 micrograms per cubic meter in Mercer County, lower than the statewide rate and all comparison counties.
- In 2016, 3.65% of Mercer County residents had food insecurity, fewer than all comparative counties.
- Between 2014-2016, there was a 10% decrease in the rate of burglaries in Mercer County.
- In 2016, the Mercer County rate of domestic violence arrests was below the statewide rate and the rates in all the comparative counties.
- In 2016, the rate of deaths due to accidental poisoning and exposure to noxious substances in Mercer County was less than its comparative counties and the rate statewide; however, the rate increased from 2015 to 2016.

### **GAPS**

- In 2016, 0.80% of Mercer County children had elevated blood levels. This rate has stayed consistent since 2013 and is higher than the rate statewide and for the comparison counties.
- Violent crime increased from 2014 to 2016 and has historically been higher than the statewide rate.
- In 2016, the rate of motor vehicle crash deaths was 7.35/100,000 in Mercer County, greater than the statewide rate.

## **B. HEALTH FACTORS**

### **Clinical Care Measures**

#### **ASSETS**

- The Mercer County 2016 primary C-section rate is lower than the State rate (25.2%).
- Countywide, women with a primary C-section trended downward from 2013 through 2015, the rate increased again in 2016 (23.3%).
- The Mercer County 2016 VBAC rate (14.4%) is higher than the State rate (11.9%) by 2.5 points.

#### **GAPS**

- The RWJUH Hamilton service area 2016 inpatient utilization rate was 11.5% higher than the State (160.22/1,000).
- ED use rates in RWJUH Hamilton's Service Area is higher than the State and the County. ED use rates in Trenton and Ewing exceed those of the state, county, and the Service Area.

### **Health Behaviors**

#### **ASSETS**

- The percent of Mercer County births to teens age 15-17 trended downward from 2007 through 2016.
- County-wide HIV/AIDS prevalence rates declined between 2013 and 2015, although higher than the County Health Rankings benchmark. However, rates exceed statewide averages.

#### **GAPS**

- In 2016, only 67.8% of live births to Mercer County moms received first trimester care compared to a high of 86.1% in 2010. Mercer County had the lowest percentage of live births with first trimester prenatal care in all comparative counties. The percentage of Mercer County moms receiving first trimester care was lower than the *Healthy People 2020* target of 77.9%.
- Mercer County had the highest percentage of live births with no prenatal care in the four-county area.
- In 2016, STI rates in Mercer County were higher than the statewide rates and greater than those of Burlington and Middlesex counties.

## **Individual Behaviors**

### **ASSETS**

- In 2016, Mercer County had the lowest percentage of adults in the county area who reported smoking. Between 2014 and 2016, smoking rates declined 9.9 percentage points.
- The binge drinking rate in Mercer County has declined since 2012, from 15.6% to 12.1%. In 2016, adults in Mercer County who reported binge drinking was lower than its comparison counties.
- Since 2008, the rate of alcohol impaired driving deaths in Mercer County has decreased from 22.9% to 20% and was below the statewide rate.

### **GAPS**

- Over 33% of Mercer County adults reported a BMI at or over 30 in 2016.
- Countywide residents reporting no leisure-time physical activity trended upward from 2014 through 2016, increasing almost 10 percentage points.

## **Health Screening**

### **ASSETS**

- The percentage of women who had a mammogram in the last 2 years increased from 50.5% to 76.4% between 2012-2016, and that percentage exceeded the County Health Rankings benchmark of 71%.
- Between 2012-2016, the number of residents who reported ever having a colonoscopy or sigmoidoscopy has increased 15.7 percentage points.
- From 2009 to 2014, the percentage of Mercer County diabetic Medicare enrollees that received screening increased.
- In 2016, nearly 70% of adults 65+ had received a pneumonia shot. This rate is higher than the statewide rate.
- The percent of Mercer County adults over 65 who had a pneumonia vaccine increased from 2012 to 2016.

### **GAPS**

- Mercer County has the lowest percentage of women receiving pap tests in the comparative county area and it is below the state rate.
- Over the last six years the percentage of adults who had a flu shot decreased and was lower than the *Healthy People 2020* target of 90%.
- Since 2011, the percent of Mercer County residents who had their cholesterol checked and was told it was high, increased. That percentage was higher than the rate statewide and every comparison county and more than double the *Healthy People 2020* target of 13.5%.

## **Mental Health and Substance Use Utilization**

### **ASSETS**

- Inpatient Mental Health rates for the RWJUH Hamilton Service Area are lower than New Jersey and the comparative counties.
- In 2016, the number of opioid dispensations reached about 57% of Mercer County and New Jersey's population. Although this is a relatively high number of opioid dispensations, Mercer County is lower than Burlington County and Camden County.
- In 2016, Mercer County's leading cause for admission into a drug treatment center was heroin, lower than the State level and the comparative counties.

### **GAPS**

- Across all age cohorts county-wide, there was an increase in inpatient admissions for substance abuse from 2012 through 2016.
- Countywide, there has been an increase in the rate of ED visits for substance abuse from 2012 through 2016 for all age cohorts except for the 0-17 age cohort.
- Since 2015, naloxone administrations increased by .03% for New Jersey and .02% for the county.

## **C. HEALTH OUTCOMES**

### **Mortality**

#### **ASSETS**

- Between 2013 and 2016, five of the top 10 age-adjusted mortality rates declined, with greatest decreases in stroke (-14.8%), diabetes mellitus (-3.7%) and Alzheimer's disease (-3.2%).
- In 2016, the mortality rate for heart disease was lower than the statewide rate, and the rates for Burlington and Camden counties. The mortality rate for heart disease deaths among White Mercer County residents is among the lowest in New Jersey.
- In 2016, the Mercer County stroke mortality rate (35.8/100,000) was lower than the statewide average and the comparison counties of Burlington and Camden.
- In 2016, the rate for unintentional injuries was lower than the statewide rate and the rate for Burlington, Camden, and Middlesex counties.
- Between 2014 and 2016, age adjusted mortality rates for Alzheimer's disease declined from 19.4/100,000 to 24/1,000.
- The Mercer County suicide rate declined from 2014 to 2016.

#### **GAPS**

- Deaths due to nephritis, nephrotic syndrome, and nephrosis increased.
- Despite outperforming the State, the heart disease mortality rate of 193.0/100,000 remains far higher than the *Healthy People 2020* target of 103.4/100,000. In 2016, the mortality rate for heart disease deaths among Whites was more than 6 times the rate of Hispanics.

- Mortality rates for cancer in Mercer County have been increasing since 2007. The mortality rate for malignant neoplasm deaths among Black Mercer County residents is higher than the rate statewide among Black residents.
- The mortality rate for stroke deaths among Black Mercer County residents is slightly higher than the statewide rate for Black residents.
- The number of years of potential life lost (6,064.41) is higher in Mercer County than statewide and the surrounding counties of Burlington and Middlesex.

### **Maternal and Child Health**

#### ASSETS

- The Black infant mortality rate declined between 2007-2009.

#### GAPS

- The infant mortality rate for 2013-2015 was higher than statewide, Burlington and Middlesex County rates and higher than the *Healthy People 2020* and County Health Rankings targets.
- Although the Black infant mortality rate decreased between 2007-2009, the mortality rate in Mercer County is higher than the State and all surrounding counties.
- Mercer County had the second highest percentage of low birth weight infants and the second highest percent of very low birth weight babies among the comparative counties. The percent of low and very low birth weight infants is higher among Blacks than for Whites or Hispanics.

### **Health and Behavioral Health Status**

#### ASSETS

- Only 14.8% of Mercer County residents report their health as being fair or poor compared to 17.5% of New Jerseyans. The percentage is lower than the County Health Rankings target.

#### GAPS

- In 2016, the percent of Mercer County residents reporting 14 or more days as “not good” was in the lowest performing quartile in the State.
- The percentage of Mercer County residents reporting 14 or more days when their mental health was not good is higher than the New Jersey and County Health ranking targets.
- The Mercer County rate for history of depression was higher than the statewide percentage.
- Since 2014, drug overdoses increased across New Jersey, Mercer County, and neighboring counties.

## Morbidity

### ASSETS

- The 2016 rate of 2.5% for residents reporting angina or coronary heart disease is in the mid-performing quartile in the State.
- In 2016, 4.2% of Mercer County residents reported being told they had a heart attack, lower than the statewide rate and the rate in Burlington, Camden, and Middlesex counties.
- Invasive cancer rates for Digestive System Cancer declined between 2008 and 2015 as did Male Genital System and Prostate.

### GAPS

- The rate of RWJUH Hamilton service area residents hospitalized with a diagnosis of heart attack (2013-2016) was higher than the statewide average.
- Between 2011 and 2016, the percent of people reporting being told they had a stroke more than doubled. The Mercer County rate of residents reporting a stroke is in the worst performing quartile of New Jersey counties.
- The percentage of Mercer County residents with high cholesterol was higher than the rate statewide and every comparison county.
- The percent of 2015 Mercer County individuals reporting high blood pressure (29.7%) is higher than the *Healthy People 2020* target.
- The Mercer County age adjusted incidence rates per 100,000 for all five top cancer sites were higher than the State.
- The rate of asthma among Mercer County residents is higher than the statewide rate and rates in Burlington and Middlesex County.
- In 2016, Mercer County had the second highest percentage of patients reporting diabetes among comparison counties. Mercer County is in the middle performing quartile for diabetes as compared to all 21 counties statewide.
- Since 2013, the rate for adults with arthritis increased from 22.1% to 22.9% and has remained slightly lower than the rate statewide.



## **APPENDICES**

# Community Health Needs Assessment



Robert Wood Johnson  
University Hospital  
Hamilton

RWJ Barnabas  
HEALTH

Let's be healthy together.



# Introduction



Every three years, Robert Wood Johnson University Hospital-Hamilton (“RWJ Hamilton”) conducts and adopts its Community Health Needs Assessment (“CHNA”) which consisted of a community needs survey of residents in our service area. The last assessment done in 2015 built off a previous assessment done in 2012 and aims to update data and delve deeper into areas previously identified as priorities. New and emerging trends were also identified. Data for the survey were analyzed via several methods. Social, economic, and health data were pulled from a multitude of data sources including a detailed review of secondary source data, surveys, meetings with local health officials, physicians, public health officers and community representatives. The Plan can be

accessed at <https://www.rwjbh.org/rwj-university-hospital-hamilton/about/community-health-needs-assessment/>.

RWJ Hamilton’s community health assessment recognized multiple of factors that have an impact on health and the dynamic relationship between real people and their lived environments. The social determinant of health framework addresses the distribution of wellness and illness among populations. Specifically, the assessment defines health in the broadest sense and recognizes that numerous factors and multiple levels - from lifestyle behaviors to clinical care to social and economic factors to the physical environment all have an impact on our community’s health.

Through the CHNA process, health need priorities were chosen based on the Medical Center’s capacity, resources, competencies, and the needs specific to the population it serves. The Implementation Plan addresses the manner in which RWJ Hamilton will focus on each priority need and the expected outcome for the evaluation of its efforts. The implementation plan which follows is based on the four selected highest priority areas\*:

- Mental Health & Substance Abuse
- Chronic Disease
- Healthy Eating & Active Living
- Transportation

RWJ Hamilton participates and works with local organizations and builds consensus on health issues including: discussing and prioritizing needs, coordinating services, providing education and specialty knowledge, and supporting local health promotions. RWJ Hamilton was a founding partner of the Greater Mercer Public Health Partnership (GMPHP), a collaboration of hospitals, health departments, Mercer County Human Services, and other not-for-profit organizations whose mission is to measurably improve the health of residents of the Greater Mercer County community. We all believe strongly in the importance of collective impact.

*\*The four focus areas do not represent the full extent of the Medical Center’s community benefit activities or its support of the community’s health needs. Other needs identified through the CHNA may be better addressed by other agencies/organizations or deferred to another timeframe.*

## **Goal #1: Improve access to quality mental health and substance abuse prevention, treatment and recovery services for all persons while reducing the associated stigma.**

### **Key CHNA Findings:**

- Compared to New Jersey overall, there was a higher percentage of adults who reported to have been diagnosed with depression. In Mercer County, the percentage of adults diagnosed with depression increased between 2011 and 2013.
- The rate of hospitalizations due to mental diseases and disorders was lower in 2014 than it was in 2012.
- Middle school students in New Jersey reported usages of various substances. Compared to the percentages of student reported substance use in 2011, the percentages of reported use of each substance type were now lower.
- The rates of hospitalizations due to alcohol/drug use or induced mental disorders and hospitalizations due to injuries, poison and toxic effect of drugs were higher in 2014.
- The highest percentages of treatment admissions by substance type were for alcohol and heroin abuse.

### **Strategy/Initiative 1.1**

**Increase awareness and utilization of existing mental health and substance base services among adolescents, young adults and seniors.**

**Provide presentations to the community on the prevention, treatment and recovery of mental health and substance abuse.**

#### **Indicator/Metric**

- Hold at least one Community Town Hall meeting and distribute Deterra Drug Activation System material to participants
- Hold at least 2 Law Enforcement Trainings with a minimum of 100 participants
- Conduct at least two educational events per year
- Provide free Naloxone kits during at least one of the events per year



#### Tracking/Outcome

2017 Results:

- Two Community Town Hall Meetings
- Two Law Enforcement Trainings with 200 participants
- 1,779 participants received education related to Mental Health subjects with topics including “Letting Go of Clutter”, Adult Caregiver Series, Grief and Loss, and Stress Management
- 204 community members participated in Substance Abuse programs including topics such as “Save a Life: Learn How to use Naloxone” and the “Opioid Overdose Recovery Program”



#### Strategy/Initiative 1.2

**Increase the number of evidence-based educational programs in Mercer County that address mental health disorders and substance abuse among adolescents, young adults, and seniors. Distribute educational materials for seniors at screenings held at Senior Centers.**

#### Indicator/Metric

- Expand outreach educational programs in faith based facilities



#### Tracking/Outcome

2017 Results:

- Held Knock Out Opioid Abuse Day on October 6
- Conducted six Naloxone trainings
- Medication Assisted Treatment Initiative held with 50 participants
- Provided materials to at least three Senior Centers each year during screenings
- Provided Smoking Cessation programs at a Trenton shelter, Mercer County Administration Building to the public, local hospital added Tobacco Counseling services for all patients admitted that had a positive response to screening tool
- Town Hall Meeting scheduled for September 2018 in East Windsor



### **Strategy/Initiative 1.3**

**Provide educational materials and/or referrals for patients requiring Naloxone for reversal of overdose in the Emergency Department.**

#### **Indicator/Metric**

- Set goal of refer all patients who have received Narcan in the field (by EMS or police) and in the ED to be offered Recovery support when they arrive to ED

#### **Tracking/Outcome**

- 43 overdoses since January 1, 2018 and all patients offered educational material as well as referral to Recovery Advocates/Specialist
- All RWJBH hospitals will start a Clinical Opiate Withdrawal Scale starting Summer, 2018

## **Goal #2: Improve the health and well-being of the community by advocating for sustainable healthy lifestyle choices**

#### **Key CHNA Findings:**

- In 2013, one in four adults in Mercer County were considered obese.
- In 2013, a slightly higher percentage of adults in Mercer County reported having coronary health disease compared to New Jersey overall. As seen across the state, cancer is one of the leading causes of death.

### **Strategy/Initiative 2.1**

**Establish partnerships with key community groups especially those with resources/focus on healthy eating and active living, area businesses, faith-based organizations, childcare centers and assisted living centers and other agencies in an effort to work more collaboratively to implement healthy eating and active living community events.**

**Provide educational programming to enhance family knowledge of childhood obesity causes, prevention, and interventions.**

#### **Indicator/Metric**

- Work with three to five preschools in the City of Trenton to address childhood obesity, since indicators that children ages two to five are at an increased risk for obesity and its related ill effects
- Conduct Workplace Wellness Summit
- Participate in Heart Health Education in cooperation with the American Heart Association's (AHA) Check, Change, Control Distribution to Mercer County Public Health Departments





- Partner with West Windsor Township and Princeton Public Health Departments to expand blood pressure screenings to hard-to-reach populations in local libraries and soup kitchens
- Plan food pantry resources for healthier donations from AHA with Spanish translation by the Family Resource Network
- Through our partnership with GMPHP we are developing procedures to track progress and develop outcome measures
- Hold 20 educational events/per year to engage and screen community for high risk behaviors
- Increase participation in Healthy Eating/Living programs by at least 10% each year

#### **Tracking/Outcome**

##### 2017 Results:

- Redefined the Shapedown Childhood Obesity Program to meet system criteria for nutrition counseling and renamed KidsFit
- Held Workplace Wellness Summit for 65 attendees from 48 organizations
- Partnered with health departments to expand outreach
- Worked with NJCEED to organize a mammogram screening clinic in Princeton Hispanic community (28 people screened) and in Robbinsville (16 people screened)
- Conducted over 850 blood pressure screenings in the West Windsor Township as well as locations such as the Children's Home Society and at the Lawrence Plaza
- KidsFit (aka ShapeDown) and other child obesity /healthy eating programs were provided to over 2,638 children from pre-k to 17 years old and their parents; a 43% increase from 2015 to 2017
- The "Kids in the Kitchen" program provided additional education to 615 three to eight-year-old children and their parents
- Over 75 nutrition programs were offered at the Lakeview Childcare centers since 2015
- Incorporating Kids in the Kitchen, an educational program for 2.5 - 5 year olds in a homeless shelter in Ewing, N.J.

### **Strategy/Initiative 2.2**

**Utilize an interfaith focus group to identify healthy eating and living concerns and barriers.**

#### **Indicator/Metric**

- Conduct at least one focus group with area interfaith leaders to identify barriers

#### **Tracking/Outcome**

- Focus group conducted with area interfaith leaders. Concerns related to access and resources were common themes. Information provided to the GMPHP which enhanced their webpage to provide resources
- Transportation options were developed to improve access to care through a Grant awarded to GMPHP with over 500 rides provided

### **Strategy/Initiative 2.3**

**Provide Wellness at Work screenings and programs for RWJ Hamilton and community employers.**

#### **Indicator/Metric**

- Conduct at least four Wellness at work programs annually

#### **Tracking/Outcome**

- 85 screenings and educational offerings were provided at RWJ Hamilton connecting with 760 employees on issues of chronic disease and stress management
- Health Fairs conducted at five different corporate and municipal locations to raise awareness of cardiovascular disease

## **Goal #3: Prevent and reduce chronic disease incidence and morbidity (e.g. cancer, diabetes, heart disease, asthma)**

#### **Key CHNA Findings:**

- In 2012, leading causes of mortality in Mercer County were heart disease and cancer.

### **Strategy/Initiative 3.1**

**By 2017, increase the number of venues that provide access to information about the continuum of chronic disease services especially in areas of greatest disparity. Increase number of people screened from underserved populations in identified zip codes.**

**Indicator/Metric**

- Venues providing access to information about chronic disease
- Individuals screened for chronic disease

**Tracking/Outcome**

2015 Baseline: 41 venues; 393 individuals screened  
2016 Results: 48 venues; 615 individuals screened  
2017 Results: 159 venues; 1,853 individuals screened

**Strategy/Initiative 3.2**

**Research or use existing evidence-based program that local healthcare professionals and agencies use to offer programs for specific underserved target populations/audiences.**

**Indicator/Metric**

- Create at least one Logic Model to address one high priority chronic disease

**Tracking/Outcome**

- Logic Models were created in collaboration with the GMPHP for each of the four priority areas including a Chronic Disease committee to address the action item identified in the model
- Chronic Disease Committee has obtained tracking data for county evaluation of disease prevalence

**Strategy/Initiative 3.3**

**Identify and engage partner organizations that provide community screening and preventative services.**

**Indicator/Metric**

- Offer educational material to all patients identified through discharge planner on chronic disease management and courses offered at RWJH
- Enhance relationships with local high school for educational opportunities by expanding Mini Med School and introducing students to healthy lifestyle tactics
- Expand cancer self-management programs with Rutgers Cooperative Extension in Mercer County, Hunterdon/Mercer Chronic Disease Coalition, local Area YMCA, Robert Wood Johnson at Hamilton

**Tracking/Outcome**

2018 will be baseline year





**Strategy/Initiative 3.4**

**By 2018, increase by 5% the number of chronic disease patients educated on and adherent to their medical plans.**

**Indicator/Metric**

- Conduct at least 50 screening programs/year and provide counseling with a pharmacist

**Tracking/Outcome**

Cancer Screenings conducted provided the following contact moments from 2015 to 2017

**2015 Baseline:**

- 25 colorectal screenings / 132 participants
- 4 prostate screenings / 46 participants
- 2 skin cancer screenings / 17 participants

**2016 Results:**

- 17 colorectal screenings / 102 participants
- 3 prostate screenings / 32 participants
- 5 skin cancer screenings / 51 participants
- 2 oral cancer screenings / 17 participants

**2017 Results:**

- 15 colorectal screenings / 38 participants
- 4 prostate screenings / 62 participants
- 6 skin cancer screenings / 59 participants
- 2 oral cancer screenings / 4 participants



**Goal #4: Increase the overall health and well-being of Mercer County residents by enhancing safe, affordable, accessible options for people to move easily and freely within and between**

**communities in Mercer County.**

**Key CHNA Findings:**

- When commuting to work, the majority of residents within Mercer County drive alone.
- For the majority of communities within Mercer County a low percentage of residents use public transportation to commute to work.

### **Strategy/Initiative 4.1**

**Partner with GMPHP to survey community leaders regarding transportation availability.**

#### **Indicator/Metric**

- Survey created, disseminated, results reviewed with Community Advisory Board members, action plan developed for areas of opportunity

#### **Tracking/Outcome**

Survey indicated transportation needed to local healthcare facilities

### **Strategy/Initiative 4.2**

**Conduct a “Train the Trainer” program for Case Managers, social workers, and transition counselors on transportation options available in Mercer County.**

#### **Indicator/Metric**

- Hold at least three sessions with 75 participants

#### **Tracking/Outcome**

RWJ Hamilton, GMPH, Capital Health, St. Francis Medical Center and St. Lawrence Rehab all participated in the Train the Trainer program for transportation options in Mercer County

### **Strategy/Initiative 4.3**

**Identify gaps in services in Mercer County.**

#### **Indicator/Metric**

- Review, update and disseminate the mobility guide through our website, local libraries and outreach efforts

#### **Tracking/Outcome**

- Received grant funding from the RWJH Foundation to offer transportation services to healthcare facilities in Mercer County for those residents 65 and over and/or visually impaired
- Mobility Guides were provided during the CAB meetings, during educational programs, and at libraries in Mercer County

#### **Strategy/Initiative 4.4**

**Work with GMPH to improve website so all community resources are listed.**

##### **Indicator/Metric**

- Increase website hits by 10%

##### **Tracking/Outcome**

2017 Baseline: 2,100 website hits

#### **Strategy/Initiative 4.5**

**Participation in Complete Streets Initiative to drive home need for all forms of transportation and appropriate planning to accommodate all.**

##### **Indicator/Metric**

- Conduct at least two Complete Streets Education Programs in Mercer County
- Provide support for walking paths in Mercer County

##### **Tracking/Outcome**

- Complete Streets education programs were offered in several locations in Mercer County to demonstrate the safety issues related to walking and bicycling. Actions needed to improve access were presented as well as strategies to stay safe while ambulating in Mercer County
- Options for Walking Paths were discussed during Complete Streets training

#### **Strategy/Initiative 4.6**

**Partner with faith-based organizations for transportation assistance in areas with gaps.**

##### **Indicator/Metric**

- Seeking grant funding for this expanded outreach.

##### **Tracking/Outcome**

- Grant given to GMPH, in partnership with the Greater Mercer TMA, resulted in 500 rides thus far to community members age 65 and over or vision impaired
- Wrote "Vision Zero" grant to reduce the number of fatalities from motor vehicle accidents in Mercer County, one of the highest death rates in the state
- Only County in the state to have all municipalities address complete streets
- Outreach program to seniors and people with disabilities in six municipalities with the help of our coalition partners
- Updated and distributed the "Mercer County Mobility Guide" located on the GMPH website and available to all



**Robert Wood Johnson  
University Hospital  
Hamilton**

**RWJBarnabas**  
HEALTH  
Let's be healthy together.

ONE HAMILTON HEALTH PLACE | HAMILTON, NEW JERSEY 08690 | [rwjbh.org/hamilton](http://rwjbh.org/hamilton)

6587-07/18ham



## APPENDIX B: SECONDARY DATA SOURCES

Source	
Advocates for Children of New Jersey	<a href="http://acnj.org">http://acnj.org</a>
Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality	<a href="http://www.ahrq.gov">http://www.ahrq.gov</a>
Alcohol Retail Density and Demographic Predictors of Health Disparities: A Geographic Analysis	<a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/</a>
American Cancer Society Guidelines for Early Detection of Cancer	<a href="http://www.cancer.org">http://www.cancer.org</a>
American Nutrition Association	<a href="http://americannutritionassociation.org">http://americannutritionassociation.org</a>
Annals of Family Medicine, Inc.	<a href="http://www.annfammed.org">http://www.annfammed.org</a>
Asthma and Allergy Foundation of America	<a href="http://www.aafa.org">www.aafa.org</a>
BRFSS and Youth BRFSS	<a href="http://www.cdc.gov">www.cdc.gov</a>
Bruno and Ridgway Community Health Assessment Study	
Bureau of Labor Statistics	<a href="http://data.bls.gov">http://data.bls.gov</a>
CDC	<a href="http://www.cdc.gov">http://www.cdc.gov</a>
CDC Community Health Indicators Service	<a href="http://wwwn.cdc.gov/CommunityHealth">http://wwwn.cdc.gov/CommunityHealth</a>
CDC Division of Nutrition, Physical Activity, and Obesity	<a href="http://www.cdc.gov/obesity/data/adult.html">http://www.cdc.gov/obesity/data/adult.html</a>
CDC National Center for Environmental Health	<a href="http://www.cdc.gov/nceh">http://www.cdc.gov/nceh</a>
CDC National Center for Health Statistics	<a href="http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/fastats/">http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/fastats/</a>
CDC National Center for HIV/AIDS, Viral Hepatitis, STD, and TB Prevention	<a href="https://www.cdc.gov/std">https://www.cdc.gov/std</a>
CDC NCIRD	<a href="http://www.cdc.gov/vaccines">http://www.cdc.gov/vaccines</a>
CDC Preventing Chronic Disease	<a href="http://www.cdc.gov/pcd">http://www.cdc.gov/pcd</a>
CDC WONDER	<a href="http://wonder.cdc.gov">http://wonder.cdc.gov</a>
Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS)	<a href="https://www.cms.gov">https://www.cms.gov</a>
Child Trends	<a href="http://www.childtrends.org">http://www.childtrends.org</a>
Commission to Build a Healthier America, Robert Wood Johnson Foundation	<a href="http://www.commissiononhealth.org">http://www.commissiononhealth.org</a>
Community Need Index, 2017	<a href="http://cni.chw-interactive.org">http://cni.chw-interactive.org</a>
County Health Rankings	<a href="http://www.countyhealthrankings.org">http://www.countyhealthrankings.org</a>
Department of Numbers	<a href="http://www.deptofnumbers.com">http://www.deptofnumbers.com</a>
Do Something	<a href="https://www.dosomething.org">https://www.dosomething.org</a>
Enroll America	<a href="https://www.enrollamerica.org">https://www.enrollamerica.org</a>
FRAC	<a href="http://www.frac.org">http://www.frac.org</a>
Free Clinic Directory	<a href="http://freeclinicdirectory.org">http://freeclinicdirectory.org</a>
Gallup	<a href="http://www.gallup.com">http://www.gallup.com</a>
Health Care Decision Analyst	New Solutions, Inc.
Healthgrades	<a href="https://www.healthgrades.com">https://www.healthgrades.com</a>
Health Grove	<a href="http://www.healthgrove.com">http://www.healthgrove.com</a>
Health & Human Services	<a href="http://www.hhs.gov">http://www.hhs.gov</a>
Health Indicators Warehouse (BRFSS)	<a href="http://www.healthindicators.gov">www.healthindicators.gov</a>
Health Resources and Services Administration Data Warehouse	<a href="https://datawarehouse.hrsa.gov">https://datawarehouse.hrsa.gov</a>
Healthy People 2020	<a href="https://www.healthypeople.gov">https://www.healthypeople.gov</a>
Home Facts	<a href="http://www.homefacts.com">http://www.homefacts.com</a>
HRSA	<a href="http://www.hrsa.gov">http://www.hrsa.gov</a>
Institute of Medicine	<a href="http://www.nap.edu">http://www.nap.edu</a>
Kaiser Family Foundation	<a href="http://kff.org">http://kff.org</a>
Kaiser Health News	<a href="http://khn.org">http://khn.org</a>
Kids Count	<a href="http://www.datacenter.kidscount.org">http://www.datacenter.kidscount.org</a>
March of Dimes	<a href="http://www.marchofdimes.org">http://www.marchofdimes.org</a>

Source	
Mercer County	<a href="http://www.mercercounty.org/explore">http://www.mercercounty.org/explore</a>
NJ Department Human Services, Division of Addiction Services, New Jersey Drug and Alcohol Abuse Treatment	<a href="http://www.state.nj.us/humanservices/dmhas/home/">http://www.state.nj.us/humanservices/dmhas/home/</a>
NJ Department of Health and Senior Services, Center for Health	<a href="http://www.nj.gov/health/chs/">http://www.nj.gov/health/chs/</a>
National Association for Convenience and Fuel Retailing	<a href="http://www.nacsonline.com">http://www.nacsonline.com</a>
National Center for Biotechnology Information	<a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov</a>
National Center for Health Statistics CDC	<a href="http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data">http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data</a>
National Center for HIV/AIDS, Viral Hepatitis, STD, and TB Prevention; Division of HIV/AIDS Prevention	<a href="http://www.cdc.gov/hiv">http://www.cdc.gov/hiv</a>
National Highway Traffic Safety Administration	<a href="http://www-nrd.nhtsa.dot.gov">http://www-nrd.nhtsa.dot.gov</a>
National Institute for Mental Illness	<a href="http://www.nami.org">http://www.nami.org</a>
National Institute of Diabetes, Digestive & Kidney Diseases	<a href="http://www.niddk.nih.gov">http://www.niddk.nih.gov</a>
National Institutes of Health Medline Plus Health Screening	<a href="https://www.nlm.nih.gov/medlineplus">https://www.nlm.nih.gov/medlineplus</a>
National Poverty Center University of Michigan	<a href="http://www.npc.umich.edu">http://www.npc.umich.edu</a>
Neighborhood Scout	<a href="http://www.neighborhoodscout.com/nj/crime/">http://www.neighborhoodscout.com/nj/crime/</a>
New Jersey Council of Teaching Hospitals	<a href="http://njcth.org">http://njcth.org</a>
New Jersey Death Certificate Database, Office of Vital Statistics and Registry	<a href="http://www.nj.gov/health/vital/">http://www.nj.gov/health/vital/</a>
New Jersey State Health Assessment Data Complete Indicator Profile of Risk Factor for Childhood Lead Exposure: Pre-1950 Housing	<a href="https://www26.state.nj.us/doh-shad">https://www26.state.nj.us/doh-shad</a>
Claritas 2019 population estimates	
NJ Department of Education	<a href="http://www.state.nj.us/education">http://www.state.nj.us/education</a>
NJ DOH Family Health	<a href="http://www.nj.gov/health/fhs">http://www.nj.gov/health/fhs</a>
NJ DOH, Division of Communicable Disease Services	<a href="http://www.nj.gov/health/cd/">http://www.nj.gov/health/cd/</a>
NJ DOH, New Jersey Cancer Registry	<a href="http://www.cancer-rates.info/nj/">http://www.cancer-rates.info/nj/</a>
NJ DOH, Office of Cancer Control and Prevention	Cancer Priority Alignment Tool: Mercer County 2017
NJ DOH Division of HIV, STD, and TB Services	<a href="http://www.nj.gov/health/hivstdtb/">http://www.nj.gov/health/hivstdtb/</a>
NJ Department of Labor and Workforce Development	<a href="http://lwd.dol.state.nj.us/labor">http://lwd.dol.state.nj.us/labor</a>
NJ Department of Law and Public Safety, Uniform Crime Reporting Unit, US Census Bureau, American Community Survey	<a href="http://www.njsp.org/ucr/crime-reports.shtml">http://www.njsp.org/ucr/crime-reports.shtml</a>
NJ Government	<a href="http://www.nj.gov/agriculture/divisions/fn/childadult/school_lunch.html">http://www.nj.gov/agriculture/divisions/fn/childadult/school_lunch.html</a>
NJ State Police Uniform Crime Reporting Unit	<a href="http://www.njcedv.org">http://www.njcedv.org</a>
NJ Substance Abuse Monitoring System	<a href="https://njsams.rutgers.edu/njsams">https://njsams.rutgers.edu/njsams</a>
NJ.Com	<a href="http://www.nj.com">http://www.nj.com</a>
NJ State Health Assessment Data (SHAD)	<a href="https://www26.state.nj.us/doh-shad/home/Welcome.html">https://www26.state.nj.us/doh-shad/home/Welcome.html</a>
Planned Parenthood	<a href="http://www.plannedparenthood.org">http://www.plannedparenthood.org</a>
Pro Publica	<a href="https://propublica.org">https://propublica.org</a>
Report on the National Survey of Lead-Based Paint in Housing	<a href="https://www.epa.gov">https://www.epa.gov</a>
Rutgers Center for Health Policy	<a href="http://www.cshp.rutgers.edu">http://www.cshp.rutgers.edu</a>
SNAP Program	<a href="http://www.fns.usda.gov/snap/supplemental-nutrition-assistance-program-snap">www.fns.usda.gov/snap/supplemental-nutrition-assistance-program-snap</a>
Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration	<a href="http://www.samhsa.gov">http://www.samhsa.gov</a>
Stop Domestic Violence	<a href="http://www.stopvaw.org/health_effects_of_domestic_violence">http://www.stopvaw.org/health_effects_of_domestic_violence</a>
TANF Program	<a href="http://www.tanfprogram.com/newjersey-tanf-eligibility">http://www.tanfprogram.com/newjersey-tanf-eligibility</a>

**Source**

The Annie E. Casey Foundation Kids Count Data Center Children Receiving TANF (Welfare)	<a href="http://www.datacenter.kidscount.org">http://www.datacenter.kidscount.org</a>
Truven Health Analytics, 2017	
United States Department of Agriculture Economic Research Service	<a href="http://www.ers.usda.gov">http://www.ers.usda.gov</a>
United States Department of Health and Human Services	<a href="http://www.hhs.gov/healthcare">http://www.hhs.gov/healthcare</a>
United States Department of Health and Human Services, Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality Understanding Quality Measurement 2016	<a href="http://www.ahrq.gov">http://www.ahrq.gov</a>
United Way	<a href="http://www.unitedwaynj.org/ourwork/alicenj.php">http://www.unitedwaynj.org/ourwork/alicenj.php</a>
University of Nevada	<a href="https://www.unce.unr.edu">https://www.unce.unr.edu</a>
US Department of Education	<a href="http://www.ed.gov">http://www.ed.gov</a>
US Department of Health and Human Services, Maternal and Child Health Bureau	<a href="http://mchb.hrsa.gov">http://mchb.hrsa.gov</a>
US DHHS Administration for Children and Families	<a href="http://www.acf.hhs.gov">http://www.acf.hhs.gov</a>
Washington Post	<a href="https://www.washingtonpost.com">https://www.washingtonpost.com</a>
World Health Organization	<a href="http://www.who.int">http://www.who.int</a>

**APPENDIX C: CANCER INCIDENCE AND MORTALITY RATE REPORT BY CANCER SITE:  
MERCER COUNTY 2010-2017**

**APPENDIX C1: CANCER INCIDENCE RATE REPORT: CANCER PATIENT ORIGIN  
MERCER COUNTY 2017**

Over eighty-five percent of RWJ Hamilton’s cancer inpatients, and over 80% of the hospital’s cancer outpatients originated from the hospital’s Primary Service Area. In total, 81.3% of inpatients and 79.5% of outpatients served in the hospital’s cancer programs resided in Mercer County. Hamilton (08690) and Trenton (08610) represent the largest segment of RWJ Hamilton’s inpatient cancer patients. Trenton (08610) and Hamilton (08619) represent the largest segments of RWJ Hamilton’s outpatient cancer patients. The health factors and outcomes explored in this CHNA bear relevance to the oncology services and its review of specific cancer needs for the community.

CANCER PATIENT ORIGIN	2017 RWJ SOM IP PATIENTS	%	2017 RWJ SOM OP PATIENTS	%
Mercer County	774	81.3%	2,021	79.5%
Primary Service Area	810	85.1%	2,041	80.3%
Secondary Service Area	80	8.4%	349	13.7%
Out of Service Area (NJ)	51	5.4%	137	5.4%
Out of State	11	1.2%	16	0.6%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>952</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>2,543</b>	<b>100.0%</b>
Hamilton (08690)	152	16.0%		
Trenton (08610)	139	14.6%	418	16.4%
Hamilton (08619)			369	14.5%

*Source: Decision Support; IP volume includes cases with ICD10 principal or secondary codes C00 thru D49.9 (Neoplasms); OP volume includes cases with ICD10 principal or secondary codes Z51.0 or Z51.11 (Chemo and Radiation Therapy).*

APPENDIX C2: CANCER INCIDENCE RATE REPORT: MERCER COUNTY 2010-2014

INCIDENCE RATE REPORT FOR MERCER COUNTY 2010-2014				
Cancer Site	Age-Adjusted Incidence Rate - cases per 100,000	Average Annual Count	Recent Trend	Recent 5-Year Trend in Incidence Rates
All Cancer Sites	495.9	2018	falling	-0.4
Bladder	22.7	92	stable	-9.9
Brain & ONS	7	26	stable	-0.5
Breast	137.3	298	stable	-0.4
Cervix	5.4	11	falling	-3.2
Colon & Rectum	41.4	170	falling	-5.7
Esophagus	4.8	20	stable	-1.4
Kidney & Renal Pelvis	16.5	69	rising	2.3
Leukemia	14.9	61	stable	0.4
Liver & Bile Duct	8	34	rising	4.1
Lung & Bronchus	57.5	233	falling	-1.1
Melanoma of the Skin	23.6	95	stable	0.7
Non-Hodgkin Lymphoma	22.8	90	stable	0.6
Oral Cavity & Pharynx	9.3	39	falling	-1.5
Ovary	14.6	33	stable	-0.5
Pancreas	13.9	31	rising	2.8
Prostate	146.3	278	falling	-14.4
Stomach	9.3	16	falling	-3.4
Thyroid	11.9	22	rising	6.8
Uterus (Corpus & Uterus, NOS)	33.6	76	rising	0.6

The Data Source for C, and the following tables C3, C4, C5 and C6 is:  
 Source: <https://statecancerprofiles.cancer.gov>

**APPENDIX C3: CANCER INCIDENCE DETAILED RATE REPORT: MERCER COUNTY 2010-2014  
SELECT CANCER SITES: RISING INCIDENCE RATE**

		Kidney & Renal Pelvis	Liver & Bile Duct	Pancreas	Thyroid	Uterus (Corpus & Uterus, NOS)
INCIDENCE RATE REPORT FOR MERCER COUNTY 2010-2014 All Races (includes Hispanic), All Ages	Age-Adjusted Incidence Rate - cases per 100,000	16.5	8	13.9	11.9	33.6
	Average Annual Count	69	34	31	22	76
	Recent Trend	rising	rising	rising	rising	rising
	Recent 5-Year Trend in Incidence Rates	2.3	4.1	2.8	6.8	0.6
White Non-Hispanic, All Ages	Age-Adjusted Incidence Rate - cases per 100,000	16.3	6.5	15	27.5	37.1
	Average Annual Count	45	19	43	63	56
	Recent Trend	rising	rising	rising	rising	stable
	Recent 5-Year Trend in Incidence Rates	2	3.5	2.1	8.6	0.6
Black (includes Hispanic), All Ages	Age-Adjusted Incidence Rate - cases per 100,000	20.7	12.8	22.8	13.6	29.9
	Average Annual Count	16	10	16	10	13
	Recent Trend	rising	rising	rising	rising	rising
	Recent 5-Year Trend in Incidence Rates	3.3	7.3	3.4	4.2	3.2
Asian or Pacific Islander (includes Hispanic), All Ages	Age-Adjusted Incidence Rate - cases per 100,000	*	*	*	12.5	*
	Average Annual Count	3 or fewer	3 or fewer	3 or fewer	6	3 or fewer
	Recent Trend	*	*	*	*	*
	Recent 5-Year Trend in Incidence Rates	*	*	*	*	*
Hispanic (any race), All Ages	Age-Adjusted Incidence Rate - cases per 100,000	14.1	15.4	14.7	20.5	30.1
	Average Annual Count	5	4	3	10	5
	Recent Trend	*	*	*	*	*
	Recent 5-Year Trend in Incidence Rates	*	*	*	*	*
MALES	Age-Adjusted Incidence Rate - cases per 100,000	23.9	11.9	19.4	11.9	n/a
	Average Annual Count	45	23	34	22	n/a
	Recent Trend	rising	rising	rising	rising	n/a
	Recent 5-Year Trend in Incidence Rates	2.4	3.8	2.1	6.8	n/a
FEMALES	Age-Adjusted Incidence Rate - cases per 100,000	10.7	4.8	13.9	35.1	33.6
	Average Annual Count	24	11	31	71	76
	Recent Trend	rising	rising	rising	rising	rising
	Recent 5-Year Trend in Incidence Rates	1.8	4.3	2.8	7.8	0.6

*\* Data has been suppressed to ensure confidentiality and stability of rate estimates. Counts are suppressed if fewer than 16 records were reported in a specific area-sex-race category. If an average count of 3 is shown, the total number of cases for the time period is 16 or more which exceeds suppression threshold (but is rounded to 3).*

**APPENDIX C4: CANCER MORTALITY RATE REPORT: MERCER COUNTY 2010-2014**

MORTALITY RATE REPORT FOR MERCER COUNTY 2010-2014					
Cancer Site	Met Healthy People Objective	Age-Adjusted Death Rate - per 100,000	Average Annual Count	Recent Trend	Recent 5-Year Trend in Death Rates
All Cancer Sites	Yes	159.5	654	falling	-1.8
Bladder	***	5	21	stable	-0.2
Brain & ONS	***	3.8	15	stable	-0.5
Breast	No	21.4	51	falling	-2.6
Cervix	No	2.4	5	stable	-1.5
Colon & Rectum	No	14.9	62	falling	-3.2
Esophagus	***	4	16	falling	-2
Kidney & Renal Pelvis	***	2.8	12	stable	-1.4
Leukemia	***	5.6	23	falling	-1.4
Liver & Bile Duct	***	5.9	24	rising	2
Lung & Bronchus	Yes	37.8	154	falling	-1.9
Melanoma of the Skin	Yes	2.2	9	falling	-2
Non-Hodgkin Lymphoma	***	5.9	23	stable	3.8
Oral Cavity & Pharynx	Yes	1.9	8	falling	-3.1
Ovary	***	8	18	stable	-1.3
Pancreas	***	9.9	23	rising	1.3
Prostate	No	22	34	falling	-4
Stomach	***	2.9	5	falling	-5.7
Thyroid	*	*	3 or fewer	*	*
Uterus (Corpus & Uterus, NOS)	***	5.7	13	stable	1

\*\*\* No Healthy People 2020 Objective for this cancer.

\* Data has been suppressed to ensure confidentiality and stability of rate estimates. Counts are suppressed if fewer than 16 records were reported in a specific area-sex-race category. If an average count of 3 is shown, the total number of cases for the time period is 16 or more which exceeds suppression threshold (but is rounded to 3).

**APPENDIX C5: CANCER MORTALITY DETAILED RATE REPORT FOR RISING RATES: MERCER COUNTY 2010-2014**

		Liver & Bile Duct	Pancreas
MORTALITY RATE REPORT FOR MERCER COUNTY 2010-2014 All Races (includes Hispanic), All Ages	Met Healthy People Objective	***	***
	Age-Adjusted Death Rate - per 100,000	5.9	9.9
	Average Annual Count	24	23
	Recent Trend	rising	rising
	Recent 5-Year Trend in Death Rates	2	1.3
White Non-Hispanic, All Ages	Met Healthy People Objective	***	***
	Age-Adjusted Death Rate - per 100,000	4.9	12.5
	Average Annual Count	14	37
	Recent Trend	stable	rising
	Recent 5-Year Trend in Death Rates	1	1.7
Black (includes Hispanic), All Ages	Met Healthy People Objective	***	***
	Age-Adjusted Death Rate - per 100,000	9.7	16.9
	Average Annual Count	7	12
	Recent Trend	*	stable
	Recent 5-Year Trend in Death Rates	*	2
Asian or Pacific Islander (includes Hispanic), All Ages	Met Healthy People Objective	***	***
	Age-Adjusted Death Rate - per 100,000	*	*
	Average Annual Count	3 or fewer	3 or fewer
	Recent Trend	*	*
	Recent 5-Year Trend in Death Rates	*	*
Hispanic (any race), All Ages	Met Healthy People Objective	***	***
	Age-Adjusted Death Rate - per 100,000	*	*
	Average Annual Count	3 or fewer	3 or fewer
	Recent Trend	*	*
	Recent 5-Year Trend in Death Rates	*	*
MALES	Met Healthy People Objective	***	***
	Age-Adjusted Death Rate - per 100,000	8.2	16.7
	Average Annual Count	16	29
	Recent Trend	rising	rising
	Recent 5-Year Trend in Death Rates	2	1.9
FEMALES	Met Healthy People Objective	***	***
	Age-Adjusted Death Rate - per 100,000	4	9.9
	Average Annual Count	9	23
	Recent Trend	stable	rising
	Recent 5-Year Trend in Death Rates	1.2	1.3



**APPENDIX C6: CANCER INCIDENCE RATE REPORT: ALL COUNTIES 2010-2014**

INCIDENCE RATE REPORT: ALL COUNTIES 2010-2014				
County	Age-Adjusted Incidence Rate – cases per 100,000	Average Annual Count	Recent Trend	Recent 5-Year Trend In Incidence Rates
<b>ALL SITES: All Races (includes Hispanic), Both Sexes, All Ages</b>				
US (SEER+NPCR)	443.6	1,556,536	falling	-1.6
New Jersey	478.4	48,693	falling	-0.9
Atlantic County	497.4	1,642	falling	-0.5
Bergen County	459.2	5,211	falling	-1.2
Burlington County	523.3	2,811	stable	0
Camden County	513	2,938	falling	-2.3
Cape May County	552.4	850	stable	-0.1
Cumberland County	509	865	stable	0.1
Essex County	450.8	3,656	falling	-1.5
Gloucester County	533.1	1,725	stable	-0.3
Hudson County	389.8	2,379	falling	-1.7
Hunterdon County	473.3	732	stable	-0.3
Mercer County	495.9	2,018	falling	-0.4
Middlesex County	458.5	4,068	falling	-1
Monmouth County	514.7	3,917	falling	-1.8
Morris County	471.9	2,803	falling	-2.1
Ocean County	515.7	4,333	falling	-0.7
Passaic County	444.8	2,362	falling	-1.1
Salem County	526.6	434	stable	0
Somerset County	461.3	1,720	falling	-1.6
Sussex County	489.8	851	falling	-1
Union County	458.2	2,696	falling	-1.2
Warren County	500.5	659	falling	-0.5
<b>Bladder: All Races (includes Hispanic), Both Sexes, All Ages</b>				
US (SEER+NPCR)	20.5	71,484	falling	-1.3
New Jersey	23.5	2,396	falling	-2
Cape May County	34.8	56	rising	1.4
Salem County	32.1	27	stable	0.6
Gloucester County	29.3	92	rising	0.8
Atlantic County	29.1	96	stable	0.3
Warren County	27.8	36	stable	-0.7
Hunterdon County	27.8	42	rising	1.3
Cumberland County	27.2	45	rising	1.3
Burlington County	26.8	145	stable	0

INCIDENCE RATE REPORT: ALL COUNTIES 2010-2014				
County	Age-Adjusted Incidence Rate - cases per 100,000	Average Annual Count	Recent Trend	Recent 5-Year Trend In Incidence Rates
Sussex County	25.8	43	stable	-0.5
Ocean County	25	234	falling	-3.4
Morris County	24.7	148	stable	-0.2
Monmouth County	24.5	187	stable	-0.3
Camden County	23.4	132	stable	-0.2
Bergen County	23.2	271	falling	-0.8
Mercer County	22.7	92	stable	-9.9
Middlesex County	22.2	194	falling	-3.3
Somerset County	21.2	78	stable	-11.6
Passaic County	21.1	110	stable	-0.5
Union County	20	118	falling	-4.7
Essex County	19.4	152	stable	-0.4
Hudson County	17.1	97	falling	-1.7
<b>Brain &amp; ONS: All Races (includes Hispanic), Both Sexes, All Ages</b>				
US (SEER+NPCR)	6.5	21,969	falling	-0.9
New Jersey	7	674	falling	-0.3
Atlantic County	7.8	24	stable	0.6
Bergen County	7.6	78	stable	-0.4
Burlington County	8	39	stable	0.6
Camden County	7.5	40	stable	0.2
Cape May County	8.2	11	stable	0
Cumberland County	6.9	11	stable	-0.9
Essex County	5	41	falling	-1.4
Gloucester County	7	22	stable	-0.6
Hudson County	5.8	38	falling	-1.1
Hunterdon County	7.4	10	stable	-1
Mercer County	7	26	stable	-0.5
Middlesex County	6.5	55	falling	-0.9
Monmouth County	7.5	53	stable	0.6
Morris County	8.1	44	stable	0.1
Ocean County	8.2	57	stable	0.6
Passaic County	7	37	falling	-0.9
Salem County	6.7	5	*	*
Somerset County	6.1	22	stable	-0.5
Sussex County	8.2	12	stable	-0.3
Union County	6.2	36	falling	-1.1
Warren County	9.7	12	stable	1.3
<b>Breast: All Races (includes Hispanic), Both</b>				

7

INCIDENCE RATE REPORT: ALL COUNTIES 2010-2014				
County	Age-Adjusted Incidence Rate - cases per 100,000	Average Annual Count	Recent Trend	Recent 5-Year Trend In Incidence Rates
<b>Sexes, All Ages</b>				
US (SEER+NPCR)	123.5	228,664	stable	0.5
New Jersey	132	7,215	stable	0.3
Atlantic County	132.7	233	stable	-0.1
Bergen County	134.7	811	falling	-0.6
Burlington County	140.1	403	stable	-0.1
Camden County	143.8	447	rising	0.8
Cape May County	125.7	98	falling	-0.7
Cumberland County	111.1	98	falling	-0.9
Essex County	126.8	575	rising	3.6
Gloucester County	137.4	244	stable	-0.2
Hudson County	104.2	352	falling	-0.6
Hunterdon County	152.5	129	stable	-0.1
Mercer County	137.3	298	stable	-0.4
Middlesex County	129.4	618	falling	-0.5
Monmouth County	141.6	582	stable	-0.1
Morris County	143	456	falling	-0.4
Ocean County	128.4	553	falling	-0.6
Passaic County	119.2	347	falling	-0.5
Salem County	121.4	52	stable	-0.7
Somerset County	136.4	276	stable	0.3
Sussex County	129.7	121	stable	-0.3
Union County	132.6	428	falling	-0.4
Warren County	129.7	92	stable	-0.2
<b>Cervix: All Races (Includes Hispanic), Both Sexes, All Ages</b>				
US (SEER+NPCR)	7.5	12,408	stable	-0.8
New Jersey	7.6	380	falling	-2.7
Atlantic County	10.7	16	falling	-3.7
Bergen County	6.9	36	falling	-2.1
Burlington County	6.9	17	stable	-0.8
Camden County	8.4	24	falling	-2.2
Cape May County	7.1	4	stable	-1.2
Cumberland County	11.5	9	falling	-3.8
Essex County	9.3	41	falling	-3.7
Gloucester County	6.9	11	falling	-2.8
Hudson County	9.5	32	falling	-3.1
Hunterdon County	4.7	4	falling	-2.6
Mercer County	5.4	11	falling	-3.2

INCIDENCE RATE REPORT: ALL COUNTIES 2010-2014				
County	Age-Adjusted Incidence Rate - cases per 100,000	Average Annual Count	Recent Trend	Recent 5-Year Trend In Incidence Rates
Middlesex County	6.3	28	falling	-2.3
Monmouth County	6.4	25	falling	-2.9
Morris County	6	17	falling	-2.3
Ocean County	9	28	falling	-2
Passaic County	8.3	22	falling	-2.3
Salem County	10.8	4	*	*
Somerset County	7.2	13	stable	-1.8
Sussex County	4.9	5	falling	-16.2
Union County	9	27	falling	-1.8
Warren County	8.4	5	falling	-3.6
<b>Colon &amp; Rectum: All Races (includes Hispanic), Both Sexes, All Ages</b>				
US (SEER+NPCR)	39.8	139,083	falling	-2.1
New Jersey	42.3	4,335	falling	-1.9
Atlantic County	42	140	falling	-2.7
Bergen County	37.9	437	falling	-3.9
Burlington County	47.7	257	falling	-2.1
Camden County	45.9	263	falling	-3.1
Cape May County	45.9	72	falling	-2.9
Cumberland County	50.7	85	falling	-1.4
Essex County	42.6	344	stable	0.5
Gloucester County	46.1	149	falling	-3.1
Hudson County	42.8	257	falling	-2.5
Hunterdon County	40.9	63	falling	-2.9
Mercer County	41.4	170	falling	-5.7
Middlesex County	41.8	370	falling	-2.5
Monmouth County	42.1	324	falling	-3.7
Morris County	37.5	226	falling	-3
Ocean County	46	407	falling	-3.1
Passaic County	41	217	falling	-3.7
Salem County	44.9	38	falling	-2.2
Somerset County	37	139	falling	-2.3
Sussex County	43.7	73	falling	-2.8
Union County	41.7	244	falling	-2.4
Warren County	43.3	58	falling	-3.1
<b>Esophagus: All Races (includes Hispanic), Both Sexes, All Ages</b>				
US (SEER+NPCR)	4.6	16,469	falling	-0.9
New Jersey	4.5	470	falling	-0.9

INCIDENCE RATE REPORT: ALL COUNTIES 2010-2014				
County	Age-Adjusted Incidence Rate - cases per 100,000	Average Annual Count	Recent Trend	Recent 5-Year Trend In Incidence Rates
Atlantic County	5.1	17	falling	-2.2
Bergen County	3.5	41	stable	-1
Burlington County	5.8	32	stable	0.3
Camden County	5.6	33	stable	-0.7
Cape May County	5.6	8	stable	-1
Cumberland County	5.6	10	stable	0.8
Essex County	4.2	34	falling	-3.1
Gloucester County	5.9	20	stable	0.9
Hudson County	3.3	20	falling	-2.8
Hunterdon County	4.4	7	stable	-0.3
Mercer County	4.8	20	stable	-1.4
Middlesex County	4.1	36	falling	-1.2
Monmouth County	5.1	39	stable	-0.1
Morris County	4.3	26	stable	0.1
Ocean County	5.4	48	stable	-4.7
Passaic County	4.5	24	falling	-1.5
Salem County	4.8	4	stable	-1.8
Somerset County	3	12	falling	-1.7
Sussex County	5.6	10	stable	0.6
Union County	3.5	20	falling	-1.6
Warren County	5.6	8	stable	1.6
<b>Kidney &amp; Renal Pelvis: All Races (Includes Hispanic), Both Sexes, All Ages</b>				
US (SEER+NPCR)	16.1	56,558	rising	0.5
New Jersey	15.5	1,588	stable	-0.3
Atlantic County	17	57	rising	1.5
Bergen County	15.6	178	rising	1.1
Burlington County	19.5	104	rising	2.6
Camden County	18.2	103	rising	1.8
Cape May County	18.2	29	rising	2.1
Cumberland County	22.5	38	rising	4.4
Essex County	12.9	106	rising	0.8
Gloucester County	18.6	61	rising	2.2
Hudson County	12.1	76	stable	0.7
Hunterdon County	12.8	21	stable	1.6
Mercer County	16.5	69	rising	2.3
Middlesex County	14.3	128	rising	0.9
Monmouth County	16	123	rising	1.3
Morris County	12.6	76	stable	0.7

10

INCIDENCE RATE REPORT: ALL COUNTIES 2010-2014				
County	Age-Adjusted Incidence Rate - cases per 100,000	Average Annual Count	Recent Trend	Recent 5-Year Trend In Incidence Rates
Ocean County	17.9	146	rising	1.8
Passaic County	15.1	80	rising	1.6
Salem County	17.9	14	stable	1.1
Somerset County	13	50	rising	1.7
Sussex County	14.9	27	stable	0.2
Union County	14.2	84	rising	0.9
Warren County	15.5	20	stable	0.7
<b>Leukemia: All Races (includes Hispanic), Both Sexes, All Ages</b>				
US (SEER+NPCR)	13.6	46,254	falling	-1.3
New Jersey	15.1	1,491	rising	0.5
Atlantic County	14	44	stable	0.4
Bergen County	16.6	184	rising	0.7
Burlington County	15.8	81	rising	1.3
Camden County	15.1	84	rising	0.9
Cape May County	16.7	24	stable	1.3
Cumberland County	14.9	25	rising	2.2
Essex County	12.7	99	stable	-0.4
Gloucester County	17.8	55	rising	1.8
Hudson County	11.9	71	falling	-0.7
Hunterdon County	13	19	stable	-0.7
Mercer County	14.9	61	stable	0.4
Middlesex County	15.5	135	rising	0.7
Monmouth County	15.3	112	rising	0.9
Morris County	16.2	93	stable	0.5
Ocean County	15.3	126	stable	0.3
Passaic County	14.8	76	stable	-0.1
Salem County	14.9	11	stable	1
Somerset County	14.9	53	stable	0.5
Sussex County	15.3	25	stable	1.1
Union County	15.6	89	rising	1.1
Warren County	15.4	20	stable	14.5
<b>Liver &amp; Bile Duct: All Races (includes Hispanic), Both Sexes, All Ages</b>				
US (SEER+NPCR)	7.8	28,830	rising	2.4
New Jersey	7.3	777	rising	2.7
Atlantic County	8.1	29	rising	3.2
Bergen County	6.8	80	rising	1.7
Burlington County	7.2	41	rising	3.4

INCIDENCE RATE REPORT: ALL COUNTIES 2010-2014				
County	Age-Adjusted Incidence Rate - cases per 100,000	Average Annual Count	Recent Trend	Recent 5-Year Trend In Incidence Rates
Camden County	8.8	52	rising	3.7
Cape May County	7.5	12	rising	5.8
Cumberland County	10.4	19	rising	6.8
Essex County	7.8	67	rising	2
Gloucester County	8	27	rising	4.1
Hudson County	7	44	rising	1.8
Hunterdon County	5.4	9	*	*
Mercer County	8	34	rising	4.1
Middlesex County	7.4	67	rising	3.1
Monmouth County	7	56	rising	2.1
Morris County	5.7	35	rising	1.5
Ocean County	8	70	rising	4.5
Passaic County	7.8	43	rising	2.9
Salem County	10.6	9	rising	4.9
Somerset County	6	24	rising	2.9
Sussex County	7.1	12	rising	1.9
Union County	6.3	39	rising	2.7
Warren County	6.5	9	stable	0.8
<b>Lung &amp; Bronchus: All Races (includes Hispanic), Both Sexes, All Ages</b>				
US (SEER+NPCR)	61.2	215,604	falling	-2.2
New Jersey	57.8	5,880	falling	-2.3
Atlantic County	67.8	227	falling	-0.7
Bergen County	49.7	573	falling	-1.3
Burlington County	63.2	339	falling	-1
Camden County	70.6	405	falling	-0.7
Cape May County	80.9	133	stable	-0.2
Cumberland County	73.2	124	stable	-0.5
Essex County	50.2	397	falling	-1.9
Gloucester County	78.4	250	stable	-0.4
Hudson County	47.5	275	falling	-2
Hunterdon County	51.8	79	falling	-1.6
Mercer County	57.5	233	falling	-1.1
Middlesex County	52.3	457	falling	-1.5
Monmouth County	62.3	473	falling	-2.8
Morris County	48	283	falling	-3.3
Ocean County	71.4	653	falling	-2.2
Passaic County	51.6	270	falling	-1.1
Salem County	74	63	falling	-0.9

INCIDENCE RATE REPORT: ALL COUNTIES 2010-2014				
County	Age-Adjusted Incidence Rate - cases per 100,000	Average Annual Count	Recent Trend	Recent 5-Year Trend In Incidence Rates
Somerset County	46.9	170	falling	-1.2
Sussex County	63.8	110	falling	-1.1
Union County	48.4	278	falling	-1.5
Warren County	64.9	86	falling	-0.9
<b>Melanoma of the Skin: All Races (includes Hispanic), Both Sexes, All Ages</b>				
US (SEER+NPCR)	20.7	71,035	rising	1.8
New Jersey	21.9	2,205	stable	0.1
Atlantic County	25.9	83	stable	-1.4
Bergen County	17.4	195	falling	-2.8
Burlington County	27	144	stable	1.3
Camden County	19.8	114	stable	-1.5
Cape May County	43.7	65	rising	3.9
Cumberland County	17.1	29	rising	2.2
Essex County	12.7	102	stable	-0.3
Gloucester County	25.9	82	stable	-0.5
Hudson County	7.6	48	stable	5.1
Hunterdon County	36	54	rising	5
Mercer County	23.6	95	stable	0.7
Middlesex County	17.9	158	rising	1.9
Monmouth County	33.3	246	rising	2.4
Morris County	26.2	154	stable	-0.4
Ocean County	33	266	rising	3.7
Passaic County	13.4	70	rising	1.8
Salem County	34.2	26	rising	5.3
Somerset County	24.7	92	stable	-0.5
Sussex County	28.8	50	rising	2.7
Union County	16.5	96	rising	1.3
Warren County	27.1	35	rising	1.7
<b>Non-Hodgkin Lymphoma: All Races (includes Hispanic), Both Sexes, All Ages</b>				
US (SEER+NPCR)	19	65,554	falling	-1.2
New Jersey	21.3	2,130	falling	-0.3
Atlantic County	21	67	stable	-0.3
Bergen County	22.1	249	stable	-0.3
Burlington County	21	111	stable	0.5
Camden County	19.9	113	stable	0.3
Cape May County	20.6	32	stable	-0.1
Cumberland County	19.6	33	stable	0.3



INCIDENCE RATE REPORT: ALL COUNTIES 2010-2014				
County	Age-Adjusted Incidence Rate - cases per 100,000	Average Annual Count	Recent Trend	Recent 5-Year Trend In Incidence Rates
Essex County	19.6	157	stable	0
Gloucester County	21.5	68	stable	0.7
Hudson County	17.6	108	stable	-0.5
Hunterdon County	23	34	stable	0.5
Mercer County	22.8	90	stable	0.6
Middlesex County	21.6	189	stable	0.5
Monmouth County	23	173	falling	-0.8
Morris County	22.6	131	stable	-0.6
Ocean County	21.2	181	stable	-0.3
Passaic County	19.2	99	stable	0.4
Salem County	20.7	17	stable	0.5
Somerset County	21.7	81	rising	1
Sussex County	21.8	36	stable	0.4
Union County	22.1	130	stable	-0.5
Warren County	22.9	29	stable	0.8
<b>Oral Cavity &amp; Pharynx: All Races (includes Hispanic), Both Sexes, All Ages</b>				
US (SEER+NPCR)	11.5	41,223	stable	0.6
New Jersey	10.4	1,083	stable	0.5
Atlantic County	13.9	48	stable	9.1
Bergen County	9.4	108	stable	0.1
Burlington County	11.4	62	stable	0.2
Camden County	11.7	68	stable	0.4
Cape May County	11.6	18	stable	-0.1
Cumberland County	12.9	22	stable	0.3
Essex County	8.5	71	falling	-2.4
Gloucester County	10.9	38	stable	1
Hudson County	7.7	49	falling	-2.4
Hunterdon County	8.1	15	stable	0
Mercer County	9.3	39	falling	-1.5
Middlesex County	10.7	96	stable	0.2
Monmouth County	11.3	90	stable	0.1
Morris County	10.4	64	stable	0.2
Ocean County	11.9	98	stable	0.2
Passaic County	9.4	51	falling	-1.3
Salem County	14.7	12	stable	1.6
Somerset County	10	40	rising	1
Sussex County	14.1	25	stable	0.9
Union County	9.4	57	stable	-0.5

INCIDENCE RATE REPORT: ALL COUNTIES 2010-2014				
County	Age-Adjusted Incidence Rate - cases per 100,000	Average Annual Count	Recent Trend	Recent 5-Year Trend In Incidence Rates
Warren County	9.7	13	stable	0
<b>Ovary: All Races (includes Hispanic), Both Sexes, All Ages</b>				
US (SEER+NPCR)	11.4	21,315	falling	-1.8
New Jersey	12.5	695	falling	-1.9
Atlantic County	11	20	stable	15.3
Bergen County	12	74	falling	-2.6
Burlington County	14.3	42	falling	-1.3
Camden County	12.7	40	falling	-1.7
Cape May County	15.3	12	stable	-0.5
Cumberland County	8.3	7	falling	-17.9
Essex County	11.6	52	falling	-2.4
Gloucester County	13.9	25	stable	-1
Hudson County	12	40	falling	-2.2
Hunterdon County	11.7	10	falling	-3.1
Mercer County	14.6	33	stable	-0.5
Middlesex County	12.6	61	falling	-1.9
Monmouth County	12.9	54	falling	-1.8
Morris County	12.8	41	falling	-1.8
Ocean County	12.5	54	falling	-1.9
Passaic County	12.1	35	falling	-2
Salem County	11.9	5	stable	-0.7
Somerset County	13	27	stable	-1.1
Sussex County	15.2	15	stable	-1
Union County	10.8	36	falling	-2.5
Warren County	14.9	11	stable	-1.1
<b>Pancreas: All Races (Includes Hispanic), Both Sexes, All Ages</b>				
US (SEER+NPCR)	11	21,593	stable	0.3
New Jersey	12.4	723	rising	0.4
Atlantic County	13.6	25	stable	-0.1
Bergen County	11.6	78	stable	-0.2
Burlington County	13.8	42	stable	0.6
Camden County	11.4	37	stable	0.2
Cape May County	13.9	12	stable	1.8
Cumberland County	12.5	12	stable	1
Essex County	13.8	63	stable	-0.2
Gloucester County	12.1	22	rising	2
Hudson County	12	41	stable	11.2

INCIDENCE RATE REPORT: ALL COUNTIES 2010-2014				
County	Age-Adjusted Incidence Rate - cases per 100,000	Average Annual Count	Recent Trend	Recent 5-Year Trend In Incidence Rates
Hunterdon County	12.2	10	stable	0.6
Mercer County	13.9	31	rising	2.8
Middlesex County	12.2	60	stable	0.2
Monmouth County	12.1	53	stable	0.3
Morris County	11.8	40	rising	1.8
Ocean County	13.5	71	rising	1.5
Passaic County	10.8	34	stable	-0.4
Salem County	10.8	5	*	*
Somerset County	11.9	25	stable	0.7
Sussex County	10.7	10	stable	-1.3
Union County	11.4	39	stable	-0.2
Warren County	14.1	11	rising	2.4
<b>Prostate: All Races (includes Hispanic), Both Sexes, All Ages</b>				
US (SEER+NPCR)	114.8	194,936	falling	-8.9
New Jersey	139.4	6,643	falling	-9.4
Atlantic County	125.3	202	falling	-2.9
Bergen County	134.5	718	falling	-4
Burlington County	150.4	389	falling	-8.6
Camden County	146	387	falling	-10.4
Cape May County	164.4	125	falling	-1.5
Cumberland County	135.4	108	falling	-1.1
Essex County	166.6	595	falling	-5.3
Gloucester County	143.6	221	falling	-7.9
Hudson County	112.1	290	falling	-5.2
Hunterdon County	105.7	83	falling	-2.1
Mercer County	146.3	278	falling	-14.4
Middlesex County	131.4	543	falling	-3.5
Monmouth County	151.4	553	falling	-1.7
Morris County	141.3	403	stable	-12.8
Ocean County	131.4	519	falling	-2.7
Passaic County	137.8	334	falling	-6
Salem County	148.7	59	stable	-0.8
Somerset County	134.8	237	falling	-1.6
Sussex County	125.3	115	falling	-9.1
Union County	145.3	389	falling	-6.5
Warren County	135.4	89	stable	-1.2
<b>Stomach: All Races (includes Hispanic), Both Sexes, All Ages</b>				

INCIDENCE RATE REPORT: ALL COUNTIES 2010-2014				
County	Age-Adjusted Incidence Rate - cases per 100,000	Average Annual Count	Recent Trend	Recent 5-Year Trend In Incidence Rates
US (SEER+NPCR)	9.2	14,385	falling	-1.3
New Jersey	11	485	falling	-2
Atlantic County	11.5	18	stable	-1.3
Bergen County	12.5	63	falling	-1.4
Burlington County	8.4	21	falling	-3.1
Camden County	11.4	28	stable	-0.7
Cape May County	11.5	8	stable	0.2
Cumberland County	11	8	falling	-3.3
Essex County	12.2	41	falling	-2.4
Gloucester County	9.7	13	falling	-2
Hudson County	12.3	32	falling	-1.4
Hunterdon County	7.8	6	falling	-4.2
Mercer County	9.3	16	falling	-3.4
Middlesex County	10.8	41	falling	-2.1
Monmouth County	8.8	30	falling	-2.3
Morris County	10.5	28	falling	-1.1
Ocean County	10.3	40	falling	-1.9
Passaic County	13.3	30	falling	-1.8
Salem County	12.3	4	stable	-1.5
Somerset County	9.7	16	falling	-1.8
Sussex County	10.2	7	falling	-3.1
Union County	11.7	28	falling	-2.1
Warren County	11.1	6	stable	-1.8
<b>Thyroid: All Races (includes Hispanic), Both Sexes, All Ages</b>				
US (SEER+NPCR)	7.2	11,504	rising	2
New Jersey	10	461	stable	1.6
Atlantic County	8.4	12	*	*
Bergen County	10.9	54	rising	5.4
Burlington County	11.1	27	rising	7.4
Camden County	11	29	rising	5.8
Cape May County	5.3	4	*	*
Cumberland County	11.5	9	*	*
Essex County	6.8	26	rising	5.6
Gloucester County	14.2	22	*	*
Hudson County	6.3	20	rising	4.8
Hunterdon County	8.7	6	*	*
Mercer County	11.9	22	rising	6.8

INCIDENCE RATE REPORT: ALL COUNTIES 2010-2014				
County	Age-Adjusted Incidence Rate - cases per 100,000	Average Annual Count	Recent Trend	Recent 5-Year Trend In Incidence Rates
Middlesex County	8.6	36	rising	4.7
Monmouth County	13.3	45	rising	7.2
Morris County	10.7	29	rising	6.2
Ocean County	12.2	37	rising	8
Passaic County	8.4	21	rising	5.9
Salem County	*	3 or fewer	*	*
Somerset County	12.7	23	rising	6.9
Sussex County	6.8	6	*	*
Union County	9.6	27	rising	7.3
Warren County	7.3	4	*	*
<b>Uterus (Corpus &amp; Uterus, NOS): All Races (includes Hispanic), Both Sexes, All Ages</b>				
US (SEER+NPCR)	25.9	49,886	rising	1
New Jersey	31.1	1,775	rising	0.7
Atlantic County	31.6	58	stable	0.6
Bergen County	28.8	183	stable	0.3
Burlington County	32.1	96	rising	1.1
Camden County	33.8	109	stable	-2.3
Cape May County	29.9	26	stable	0.9
Cumberland County	36.4	34	stable	1.1
Essex County	29.4	137	rising	1.1
Gloucester County	32	59	rising	1.2
Hudson County	23.8	82	stable	-0.1
Hunterdon County	31	28	stable	-0.5
Mercer County	33.6	76	rising	0.6
Middlesex County	32.3	160	rising	0.9
Monmouth County	32.1	137	rising	1.1
Morris County	31.2	103	stable	0.4
Ocean County	32.6	145	stable	0.4
Passaic County	29.1	87	stable	0.5
Salem County	34.7	16	stable	1.4
Somerset County	32.2	68	stable	0.7
Sussex County	35	35	stable	-0.3
Union County	33.3	109	stable	0.6
Warren County	34.8	25	stable	-0.6

**APPENDIX C7: RWJ HAMILTON - TUMOR REGISTRY SUMMARY**

In 2016, RWJ Hamilton's tumor registry data showed that 9.6% and 23.0% of overall oncology cases were diagnosed at the more advanced Stage 3 and Stage 4 of the disease, respectively. The following primary sites had more than 25% of cases diagnosed at Stage 4: Digestive System (28.6%), Respiratory System (56.3%), Skin excluding Basal and Squamous (70.0%), Endocrine System (50.0%), and Mesothelioma (50.0%).

Compared to 2015, there was a decrease of 33 cases registered (-4.6%) in 2016. The three biggest decreases in overall cases occurred in the following sites: Respiratory System (-15, -12.4%), Breast (-10, -8.1%) and Brain and Other Nervous System (-9, -60.0%, respectively). Staging percentages are calculated on analytic cases only.

	Cases (both analytic and non-analytic)		2015			2016			2015 - 2016			
	2015	2016	% Stage III	% Stage IV	Total % Stage III & IV	% Stage III	% Stage IV	Total % Stage III & IV	Change in Case Volume	Change in % points for Stage III	Change in % points for Stage IV	Change in % points for Stage III & IV
<b>Primary Site</b>												
ORAL CAVITY & PHARYNX	10	10	12.5%	62.5%	75.0%	25.0%	0.0%	25.0%	0	12.5	(62.5)	(50.0)
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM	132	136	14.3%	26.9%	41.2%	14.3%	28.6%	42.9%	4	0.0	1.7	1.7
<i>Select Digestive System:</i>												
Esophagus			0.0%	42.9%	42.9%	28.6%	28.6%	57.1%	1	28.6	(14.3)	14.3
Stomach	11	12	10.0%	20.0%	30.0%	0.0%	45.5%	45.5%	1	(10.0)	25.5	15.5
Colon Excluding Rectum	38	45	20.0%	31.4%	51.4%	20.5%	28.2%	48.7%	7	0.5	(3.2)	(2.7)
Rectum & Rectosigmoid	32	25	20.7%	10.3%	31.0%	16.7%	29.2%	45.8%	(7)	(4.0)	18.8	14.8
Anus, Anal Canal & Anorectum			20.0%	0.0%	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	(1)	(20.0)	0.0	(20.0)
Liver & Intrahepatic Bile Duct			12.5%	50.0%	62.5%	22.2%	22.2%	44.4%	3	9.7	(27.8)	(18.1)
Pancreas	17	19	0.0%	53.3%	53.3%	6.7%	40.0%	46.7%	2	6.7	(13.3)	(6.7)
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM	121	106	19.0%	55.2%	74.3%	10.3%	56.3%	66.7%	(15)	(8.7)	1.7	(7.6)
<i>Select Respiratory System:</i>												
Lung & Bronchus	115	99	17.8%	57.4%	75.2%	11.0%	57.3%	68.3%	(16)	(6.8)	(0.1)	(7.0)
BONES & JOINTS			0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0	0.0	0.0
SOFT TISSUE			0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	(3)	0.0	0.0	0.0
SKIN EXCLUDING BASAL & SQUAMOUS	14	19	16.7%	0.0%	16.7%	0.0%	70.0%	70.0%	5	(16.7)	70.0	53.3
<i>Select Skin System:</i>												
Melanoma -- Skin	11	17	25.0%	0.0%	25.0%	0.0%	77.8%	77.8%	6	(25.0)	77.8	52.8
BASAL & SQUAMOUS SKIN			0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0	0.0	0.0
BREAST	124	114	10.6%	11.5%	22.1%	4.5%	8.0%	12.5%	(10)	(6.0)	(3.8)	(9.6)
FEMALE GENITAL SYSTEM	47	41	20.7%	27.6%	48.3%	20.0%	17.1%	37.1%	(6)	(0.7)	(10.4)	(11.1)
<i>Select Female Genital System:</i>												
Cervix Uteri			0.0%	100.0%	100.0%	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%	(2)	50.0	(50.0)	0.0
Corpus & Uterus, NOS	26	28	27.8%	16.7%	44.4%	17.4%	0.0%	17.4%	2	(10.4)	(16.7)	(27.1)
Ovary			16.7%	33.3%	50.0%	25.0%	50.0%	75.0%	0	8.3	16.7	25.0

	Cases (both analytic and non-analytic)		2015			2016			2015 - 2016			
	2015	2016	% Stage III	% Stage IV	Total % Stage III & IV	% Stage III	% Stage IV	Total % Stage III & IV	Change in Case Volume	Change in % points for Stage III	Change in % points for Stage IV	Change in % points for Stage III & IV
<b>MALE GENITAL SYSTEM</b>	68	63	7.3%	9.8%	17.1%	2.6%	5.1%	7.7%	(5)	(4.8)	(4.6)	(9.4)
<i>Select Male Genital System:</i>												
Prostate	66	58	7.5%	10.0%	17.5%	2.9%	5.7%	8.6%	(8)	(4.6)	(4.3)	(8.9)
<b>URINARY SYSTEM</b>	76	87	13.6%	7.6%	21.2%	7.6%	13.9%	21.5%	11	(6.0)	6.3	0.3
<i>Select Urinary System:</i>												
Urinary Bladder	53	54	8.7%	6.5%	15.2%	4.0%	6.0%	10.0%	1	(4.7)	(0.5)	(5.2)
Kidney & Renal Pelvis	20	30	29.4%	11.8%	41.2%	15.4%	26.9%	42.3%	10	(14.0)	15.2	1.1
<b>EYE &amp; ORBIT</b>			0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>BRAIN &amp; OTHER NERVOUS SYSTEM</b>			0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	(9)	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>ENDOCRINE SYSTEM</b>			15.4%	0.0%	15.4%	0.0%	50.0%	50.0%	(6)	(15.4)	30.0	34.6
<b>LYMPHOMA</b>	26	24	26.7%	20.0%	46.7%	43.8%	25.0%	68.8%	(2)	17.1	5.0	22.1
Hodgkin Lymphoma			100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	33.3%	33.3%	66.7%	(1)	(66.7)	33.3	(33.3)
Non-Hodgkin Lymphoma	22	21	15.4%	23.1%	38.5%	46.2%	23.1%	69.2%	(1)	30.8	0.0	30.8
<b>MYELOMA</b>			0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	7	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>LEUKEMIA</b>	22	27	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>MESOTHELIOMA</b>			0.0%	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%	50.0%	50.0%	1	0.0	(50.0)	(50.0)
<b>KAPOSI SARCOMA</b>			0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>MISCELLANEOUS</b>	31	21	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	(10)	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Total</b>	715	682	12.9%	22.3%	35.1%	9.6%	23.0%	32.7%	(33)	(3.2)	0.7	(2.5)

**APPENDIX D: RESOURCE INVENTORY**

Provider Type	Provider Name	Street Address	Town	ZIP Code	Phone
Addiction	Corner House	1 Monument Drive	Princeton	08540	609-924-8018
Addiction	High Focus Centers	15 Princess Road	Lawrenceville	08648	609-349-7626
Addiction	The Overdose Prevention Agency Corporation (TOPAC)	1540 Kuser Road, A-2	Hamilton	08619	609-581-0600
Addiction	Mercer Council on Alcoholism and Drug Addiction	1931 Brunswick Avenue	Lawrence Township	08648	609-396-5874
Addiction	Family Guidance Center	1931 Nottingham Way	Hamilton	08619	609-586-0668
Addiction	Catholic Charities	383 West State Street	Trenton	08618	609-394-5181
Addiction	Catholic Charities	39 North Clinton Avenue	Trenton	08609	609-394-9398
Addiction	UPI Trenton Treatment Center	56 Escher Street	Trenton	08609	609-392-2822
Addiction	NJ Addiction Services	640 South Broad Street	Trenton	08650	609-989-6826
Addiction	Rescue Mission	98 Carroll Street	Trenton	08609	609-695-1436
Addiction	CADC Classes/Rescue Mission	98 Carroll Street	Trenton	08609	732-367-0611
Addiction	Collegiate Recovery Community at The College of New Jersey	Forcina Hall, Room 308	Ewing	08628	609-771-2571
Addiction	NJ Connect for Recovery	HOTLINE			855-652-3737
Alcoholism	Mercer Council on Alcoholism and Drug Addiction	1931 Brunswick Avenue	Lawrence Township	08648	609-396-5874
Alzheimer's Disease	Interfaith Caregivers of Greater Mercer County	3635 Quakerbridge Road, Ste 16	Hamilton	08619	609-393-9922
Biking	Lawrence Hopewell Trail	197 Blackwell Rd.	Pennington	08534	609-587-1898
Biking	Rails to Trails Conservancy	VARIED LOCATIONS			866-202-9788
Biking	All Trails (NJ)	VARIED LOCATIONS			
Biking	Lawrence Trail Guide	VARIED LOCATIONS			
Biking	Mercer County Bike Paths & Multi-Use Paths	VARIED LOCATIONS			
Biking	NJ Hiking	VARIED LOCATIONS			
Biking	NJ Trails Association	VARIED LOCATIONS			
Bipolar	Depression and Bipolar Support Alliance	2100 E. State Street	Hamilton	08619	888-829-2483
Breast Cancer	Cancer Support Groups at Capital Health	1 Capital Way	Pennington	08534	1800-637-2374
Breast Cancer	Capital Health Center for Comprehensive Breast Care	1 Capital Way	Pennington	08534	1800-637-2374
Breast Cancer	NJ CEED	416 Bellevue Avenue, Ste 401	Trenton	08618	609-989-0236
Cancer	Cancer Support Groups at Capital Health	1 Capital Way	Pennington	08534	1800-637-2374
Cancer	Capital Health Center for Comprehensive Breast Care	1 Capital Way	Pennington	08534	1800-637-2374
Cancer	Hunterdon & Mercer County Regional Chronic Disease Coalition	2100 Wescott Drive	Flemington	08822	908-237-2328
Cancer	NJ CEED	416 Bellevue Avenue, Ste 401	Trenton	08618	609-989-0236
Cancer	Give Women a Lift	PO Box 6645	Lawrenceville	08648	1855-832-5832
Cancer	Teal Tea Foundation	PO Box 6645	Lawrenceville	08648	1855-832-5832
Cardiovascular	Women's Heart Healthy Program/Mercer Bucks Cardiology	1 Union Street	Robbinsville	08691	609-890-6677
Cardiovascular	Women's Heart Healthy Program/Mercer Bucks Cardiology	3140 Princeton Pike	Lawrenceville	08648	609-895-1919
Child Care	NJ Parent Link	50 E State Street	Trenton	08608	609-633-1363
Children	New Jersey Partnership for Healthy Kids	407 Greenwood Avenue	Trenton	08609	609-278-9622
Children	NJ Parent Link	50 E State Street	Trenton	08608	609-633-1363
Children	Mom's Quit Connection	HOTLINE			1888-545-5191
Chronic Disease	Hunterdon & Mercer County Regional Chronic Disease Coalition	2100 Wescott Drive	Flemington	08822	908-237-2328
College	Collegiate Recovery Community at The College of New Jersey	Forcina Hall, Room 308	Ewing	08628	609-771-2571
Colorectal Cancer	Cancer Support Groups at Capital Health	1 Capital Way	Pennington	08534	1800-637-2374
Colorectal Cancer	Hunterdon & Mercer County Regional	2100 Wescott Drive	Flemington	08822	908-237-2328



Provider Type	Provider Name	Street Address	Town	ZIP Code	Phone
	Chronic Disease Coalition				
Community Outreach/Education	Princeton Human Services	1 Monument Drive	Princeton	08542	609-688-2055
Community Outreach/Education	SAVE	1010 Route 601	Skillman	08558	609-309-5214
Community Outreach/Education	HomeFront	1180 Princeton Avenue	Lawrenceville	08648	609-989-9417
Community Outreach/Education	Arm in Arm	123 East Hanover Street	Trenton	08608	609-396-9355
Community Outreach/Education	NAMI Mercer (National Alliance on Mental Illness)	1235 Whitehorse-Mercerville Road	Hamilton	08619	609-799-8994
Community Outreach/Education	Mercer Street Friends	151 Mercer Street	Trenton	08611	609-396-1506
Community Outreach/Education	Womanspace, Inc.	1530 Brunswick Aveune	Lawrenceville	08648	609-394-9000
Community Outreach/Education	The Mercer County Surrogate's Office	175 South Broad Street	Trenton	08608	609-989-6331
Community Outreach/Education	Bully Busters	1931 Brunswick Avenue	Lawrence Township	08648	609-396-5874
Community Outreach/Education	Chess Champs	1931 Brunswick Avenue	Lawrence Township	08648	609-396-5874
Community Outreach/Education	Children in the Middle	1931 Brunswick Avenue	Lawrence Township	08648	609-396-5874
Community Outreach/Education	Fatal Vision Goggles	1931 Brunswick Avenue	Lawrence Township	08648	609-396-5874
Community Outreach/Education	Footprints for Life	1931 Brunswick Avenue	Lawrence Township	08648	609-396-5874
Community Outreach/Education	Keys to Innervisions	1931 Brunswick Avenue	Lawrence Township	08648	609-396-5874
Community Outreach/Education	Life Skills Training	1931 Brunswick Avenue	Lawrence Township	08648	609-396-5874
Community Outreach/Education	Olweus Bullying Prevention Program	1931 Brunswick Avenue	Lawrence Township	08648	609-396-5874
Community Outreach/Education	Parenting Wisely	1931 Brunswick Avenue	Lawrence Township	08648	609-396-5874
Community Outreach/Education	Prevention Coalition of Mercer County	1931 Brunswick Avenue	Lawrence Township	08648	609-396-5874
Community Outreach/Education	Protecting You Protecting Me	1931 Brunswick Avenue	Lawrence Township	08648	609-396-5874
Community Outreach/Education	Take Control of Your Health	1931 Brunswick Avenue	Lawrence Township	08648	609-396-5874
Community Outreach/Education	Trenton Municipal Alliance Committee	1931 Brunswick Avenue	Lawrence Township	08648	609-396-5874
Community Outreach/Education	We Check for 21	1931 Brunswick Avenue	Lawrenceville	08648	609-396-5874
Community Outreach/Education	Wellness Initiative for Senior Education	1931 Brunswick Avenue	Lawrence Township	08648	609-396-5874
Community Outreach/Education	Metro Employee Assistance Service	1931 Brunswick Avenue	Lawrence Township	08648	609-396-5877
Community Outreach/Education	Coping with Work and Family Stress	1931 Brunswick Avenue	Lawrence Township	08648	609-396-5877
Community Outreach/Education	The Adult Education Learning Center	222 North Hermitage Avenue	Trenton	08618	609-278-6904
Community Outreach/Education	Parents Who Host Lost the Most	2298 Route 33	Robbinsville	08691	609-259-3600
Community Outreach/Education	AIM-Asthma Improves with Management	321 North Warren Street	Trenton	08618	609-278-5900
Community Outreach/Education	Diversion Program	321 North Warren Street	Trenton	08618	609-278-5900
Community Outreach/Education	Senior Care Outreach and Education Program	321 North Warren Street	Trenton	08618	609-278-5900
Community Outreach/Education	One Simple Wish	354 South Broad Street	Trenton	08608	609-883-8484

Provider Type	Provider Name	Street Address	Town	ZIP Code	Phone
Community Outreach/Education	UIH Family Partners	4 N Broad Street	Trenton	08608	609-695-3663
Community Outreach/Education	YWCA Princeton "St Nicolas Project"	59 Paul Robenson Place	Princeton	08540	609-497-2100
Community Outreach/Education	Princeton Young Achievers	59 Paul Robenson Place	Princeton	08540	609-497-9622
Community Outreach/Education	St. Francis Medical Center	601 Hamilton Avenue	Trenton	08629	609-599-5000
Community Outreach/Education	Princeton Nursery School	78 Leigh Avenue	Princeton	08540	609-921-8606
Community Outreach/Education	Mercer County Improvement Authority	80 Hamilton Avenue, 2nd Floor	Trenton	08611	609-278-8086
Community Outreach/Education	Family and Community Health Sciences	930 Spruce Street	Trenton	08648	609-989-6831
Community Outreach/Education	Rescue Mission of Trenton	98 Carroll Street	Trenton	08609	609-695-1436
Community Outreach/Education	Senior Care Services of New Jersey	PO Box 1517	Princeton	08542	609-921-8888
Community Services	Thomas Edison State College	111 West State Street	Trenton	08608	609-777-5694
Community Services	Robbinsville Senior Center	1117 US Route 130	Robbinsville	08691	609-259-1567
Community Services	Hamilton Area YMCA	1315 Whitehorse-Mercerville Road	Hamilton	08610	609-581-9622
Community Services	Lawrence Township Health Department	2207 Lawrence Road	Lawrenceville	08648	609-844-7089
Community Services	Mercer County TRADE Transportation	300 Scotch Road	Trenton	08628	609-530-1971
Community Services	United Way of Greater Mercer County	3150 Brunswick Pike, STE 230	Lawrenceville	08648	609-896-1912
Community-Based Organizations - County Welfare Agency	Mercer County Board of Social Services	200 Wolverton Street	Trenton	08650	609-989-4320
Community-Based Organizations - Division on Women Services	Womanspace, Inc.	1530 Brunswick Aveune	Lawrenceville	08648	609-394-9000
Community-Based Organizations - Division on Women Services	NJ Coalition to End Domestic Violence	1670 Whitehorse-Hamilton Square Road	Trenton	08690	609-584-8107
Community-Based Organizations - Early Childhood Services	Child Care Connection	1001 Spruce Street	Trenton	08638	609-989-7770
Community-Based Organizations - Early Childhood Services	Mercer Street Friends	151 Mercer Street	Trenton	08611	609-396-1506
Community-Based Organizations - Early Childhood Services	Children's Futures	16 West Front Street, 2nd Floor, Ste 220	Trenton	08608	609-695-1977
Community-Based Organizations - Early Childhood Services	Children's Futures	16 West Front Street, 2nd Floor, Ste 220	Trenton	08608	609-695-1977
Community-Based Organizations - Early Childhood Services	Gateway Community Action Partnership	690 Whitehead Road	Lawrenceville	08648	609-393-0250
Community-Based Organizations - Family Support Services	Trenton North Ward Family Success Center	1554 Princeton Avenue	Trenton	08638	609-393-2980
Community-Based Organizations - Family Support Services	Children's Home Society	416 Bellevue Avenue, Ste 201	Trenton	08618	800-396-4518
Community-Based Organizations -	Trenton South Ward Family Success Center	635 South Clinton Avenue	Trenton	08611	609-695-6274

Provider Type	Provider Name	Street Address	Town	ZIP Code	Phone
Family Support Services					
Community-Based Organizations - School Linked Services	Trenton Central High School/Daylight Twilight Program	135 E. Hanover Street	Trenton	08625	609-656-4900
Community-Based Organizations - School Linked Services	NJ Child Assault Prevention Network/PEI Kids	231 Lawrence Road	Lawrenceville	08648	609-695-3739
Community-Based Organizations - School Linked Services	Johnson Park School	285 Rosendale Road	Princeton	08540	609-806-4240
Community-Based Organizations - School Linked Services	Littlebrook School	39 Magnolia Lane	Princeton	08540	609-806-4250
Community-Based Organizations - School Linked Services	Trenton Central High School	400 Chambers Street	Trenton	08609	609-656-4900
Community-Based Organizations - School Linked Services	Ewing High School	900 Parkway Avenue	Ewing	08618	609-538-9800
Depression	NAMI Mercer (National Alliance on Mental Illness)	1235 Whitehorse-Mercerville Road	Hamilton	08619	609-799-8994
Depression	Depression and Bipolar Support Alliance	2100 E. State Street	Hamilton	08619	888-829-2483
Disability	Free Air Conditions for Seniors and Adults with Disabilities	132 North Warren Street	Trenton	08608	609-394-8847
Drug Addiction	Corner House	1 Monument Drive	Princeton	08540	609-924-8018
Drug Addiction	High Focus Centers	15 Princess Road	Lawrenceville	08648	609-349-7626
Drug Addiction	The Overdose Porevention Agency Corporation (TOPAC)	1540 Kuser Road, A-2	Hamilton	08619	609-581-0600
Drug Addiction	Family Guidance Center	1931 Nottingham Way	Hamilton	08619	609-586-0668
Drug Addiction	Catholic Charities	39 North Clinton Avenue	Trenton	08609	609-394-9398
Drug Addiction	UPI Trenton Treatment Center	56 Escher Street	Trenton	08609	609-392-2822
Drug Addiction	NJ Addiction Services	640 South Broad Street	Trenton	08650	609-989-6826
Drug Addiction	Rescue Mission	98 Carroll Street	Trenton	08609	609-695-1436
Drug Addiction	CADC Classes/Rescue Mission	98 Carroll Street	Hamilton	08609	732-367-0611
Drug Addiction	NJ Connect for Recovery	HOTLINE			855-652-3737
Education	Thomas Edison State College	111 West State Street	Trenton	08608	609-777-5694
Emergency/Urgent Care	Capital Health Medical Center - Hopewell	1 Capital Way	Pennington	08534	1800-637-2374
Emergency/Urgent Care	InFocus Urgent Care	100 Campus Town Cir	Ewing	08638	609-799-7009
Emergency/Urgent Care	Hamilton Trenton Urgent Care Center	1254 S Broad St	Trenton	08610	609-218-5637
Emergency/Urgent Care	AFC Urgent Care	2222 Route 33	Hamilton	08690	609-890-4100
Emergency/Urgent Care	RWJ Primary Care Express	3100 Quakerbridge Rd	Hamilton Township	08619	609-245-7430
Emergency/Urgent Care	Immediate Care Medical Walk In of East Windsor	319 US 130 North	East Windsor	08520	609-426-4300
Emergency/Urgent Care	AfterOurs Urgent Care	3379 Quakerbridge Road	Hamilton Township	08619	609-249-9000
Emergency/Urgent Care	HealthCARE Express	4065 Quakerbridge Road	West Windsor	08550	609-297-0546
Emergency/Urgent Care	InFocus Urgent Care	64 Princeton-Hightstown Rd	West Windsor	08550	609-799-7009
Emergency/Urgent	Patient First	641 US Hwy Rte 130	Hamilton	08550	609-568-9383

Provider Type	Provider Name	Street Address	Town	ZIP Code	Phone
Care					
Emergency/Urgent Care	Princeton Primary and Urgent Care Center	707 Alexander Rd, Ste 201	Princeton	08540	609-919-0009
Emergency/Urgent Care	MedExpress	811 Rt 33	Hamilton	08619	609-587-8298
Exercise	Hamilton Area YMCA	1315 Whitehorse-Mercerville Road	Hamilton	08609	609-581-9622
Family	Hamilton Area YMCA	1315 Whitehorse-Mercerville Road	Hamilton	08619	609-581-9622
Family	Catholic Charities	383 West State Street	Trenton	08618	609-394-5181
Family	Rutgers Cooperative Extension of Mercer County	930 Spruce Street	Trenton	08648	609-989-6833
Family: Healthy Living	Interfaith Caregivers of Greater Mercer County	3635 Quakerbridge Road, Ste 16	Hamilton	08619	609-393-9922
Farmer's Market	Greenwood Avenue Farmers' Market	427 Greenwood Avenue	Trenton	08609	609-278-9677
Federally Qualified Health Centers (FQHC)	Henry J. Austin - Ewing Street	112 Ewing Street	Trenton	08609	609-278-5900
Federally Qualified Health Centers (FQHC)	Henry J. Austin - Chambers Street	317 Chambers Street	Trenton	08609	609-278-5900
Federally Qualified Health Centers (FQHC)	Henry J. Austin - Warren Street	321 North Warren Street	Trenton	08618	609-278-5900
Federally Qualified Health Centers (FQHC)	Henry J. Austin - Bellevue Ave.	433 Bellevue Avenue	Trenton	08618	609-278-5900
Fitness	Lawrence Hopewell Trail	197 Blackwell Rd.	Pennington	08534	609-587-1898
Fitness	Rails to Trails Conservancy	VARIED LOCATIONS			866-202-9788
Fitness	All Trails (NJ)	VARIED LOCATIONS			
Fitness	Lawrence Trail Guide	VARIED LOCATIONS			
Fitness	Mercer County Bike Paths & Multi-Use Paths	VARIED LOCATIONS			
Fitness	NJ Hiking	VARIED LOCATIONS			
Fitness	NJ Trails Association	VARIED LOCATIONS			
Food	Get Moving-Get Healthy New Jersey	930 Spruce Street	Trenton	08648	609-989-6833
Food	Rutgers Cooperative Extension of Mercer County	930 Spruce Street	Trenton	08648	609-989-6833
Health and Recovery	Mercer Council on Alcoholism and Drug Addiction	1931 Brunswick Avenue	Lawrence Township	08648	609-396-5874
Health and Recovery	Catholic Charities	383 West State Street	Trenton	08618	609-394-5181
Healthcare	Robert Wood Johnson University Hospital Hamilton	1 Hamilton Health Place	Hamilton	08690	609-586-7900
Healthcare	Hamilton Area YMCA	1315 Whitehorse-Mercerville Road	Hamilton	08610	609-581-9622
Healthcare	Hunterdon & Mercer County Regional Chronic Disease Coalition	2100 Wescott Drive	Flemington	08822	908-237-2328
Healthcare	Veterans Total Care Initiative	2280 Hamilton Ave	Hamilton	08619	866-838-7654
Healthy Eating	New Jersey Partnership for Healthy Kids	407 Greenwood Avenue	Trenton	08609	609-278-9622
Healthy Eating	Choose My Plate	930 Spruce Street	Trenton	08648	609-989-6831
Healthy Lifestyle	Hamilton Area YMCA	1315 Whitehorse-Mercerville Road	Hamilton	08619	609-581-9622
Healthy Lifestyle	Hamilton Area YMCA	1315 Whitehorse-Mercerville Road	Hamilton	08610	609-581-9622
Healthy Lifestyle	YMCA Healthy Living Programs	1315 Whitehorse-Mercerville Road	Hamilton	08619	609-581-9622
Healthy Lifestyle	ACT! (Actively Changing Together)	1315 Whitehorse-Mercerville Road	Hamilton	08619	609-581-9622
Healthy Lifestyle	Lawrence Hopewell Trail	197 Blackwell Rd.	Pennington	08534	609-587-1898
Healthy Lifestyle	Get Moving-Get Healthy New Jersey	930 Spruce Street	Trenton	08648	609-989-6833
Healthy Lifestyle	Rutgers Cooperative Extension of Mercer County	930 Spruce Street	Trenton	08648	609-989-6833

Provider Type	Provider Name	Street Address	Town	ZIP Code	Phone
Healthy Lifestyle	Healthy Living Princeton	PO Box 441	Princeton	08542	609-924-8021
Healthy Lifestyle	Rails to Trails Conservancy	VARIED LOCATIONS			866-202-9788
Healthy Lifestyle	All Trails (NJ)	VARIED LOCATIONS			
Healthy Lifestyle	Lawrence Trail Guide	VARIED LOCATIONS			
Healthy Lifestyle	Mercer County Bike Paths & Multi-Use Paths	VARIED LOCATIONS			
Healthy Lifestyle	NJ Hiking	VARIED LOCATIONS			
Healthy Lifestyle	NJ Trails Association	VARIED LOCATIONS			
Healthy Living	Women's Heart Healthy Program/Mercer Bucks Cardiology	1 Union Street	Robbinsville	08691	609-890-6677
Healthy Living	Hamilton Area YMCA	1315 Whitehorse-Mercerville Road	Hamilton	08619	609-581-9622
Healthy Living	YMCA Healthy Living Programs	1315 Whitehorse-Mercerville Road	Hamilton	08619	609-581-9622
Healthy Living	ACT! (Actively Changing Together)	1315 Whitehorse-Mercerville Road	Hamilton	08619	609-581-9622
Healthy Living	Lawrence Hopewell Trail	197 Blackwell Rd.	Pennington	08534	609-587-1898
Healthy Living	Women's Heart Healthy Program/Mercer Bucks Cardiology	3140 Princeton Pike	Lawrenceville	08648	609-895-1919
Healthy Living	United Way of Greater Mercer County	3150 Brunswick Pike, STE 230	Lawrenceville	08648	609-896-1912
Healthy Living	Lakeview Child Center	4 Princess Road, Bld 100	Lawrenceville	08648	609-896-4866
Healthy Living	New Jersey Partnership for Healthy Kids	407 Greenwood Avenue	Trenton	08609	609-278-9622
Healthy Living	Greenwood Avenue Farmers' Market	427 Greenwood Avenue	Trenton	08609	609-278-9677
Healthy Living	Get Moving-Get Healthy New Jersey	930 Spruce Street	Trenton	08648	609-989-6833
Healthy Living	Rutgers Cooperative Extension of Mercer County	930 Spruce Street	Trenton	08648	609-989-6833
Healthy Living	Rails to Trails Conservancy	VARIED LOCATIONS			866-202-9788
Healthy Living	All Trails (NJ)	VARIED LOCATIONS			
Healthy Living	Lawrence Trail Guide	VARIED LOCATIONS			
Healthy Living	Mercer County Bike Paths & Multi-Use Paths	VARIED LOCATIONS			
Healthy Living	NJ Hiking	VARIED LOCATIONS			
Healthy Living	NJ Trails Association	VARIED LOCATIONS			
Heart	Women's Heart Healthy Program/Mercer Bucks Cardiology	1 Union Street	Robbinsville	08691	609-890-6677
Heart	Women's Heart Healthy Program/Mercer Bucks Cardiology	3140 Princeton Pike	Lawrenceville	08648	609-895-1919
Heart Health	Women's Heart Healthy Program/Mercer Bucks Cardiology	1 Union Street	Robbinsville	08691	609-890-6677
Heart Health	Women's Heart Healthy Program/Mercer Bucks Cardiology	3140 Princeton Pike	Lawrenceville	08648	609-895-1919
Hiking	Lawrence Hopewell Trail	197 Blackwell Rd.	Pennington	08534	609-587-1898
Hiking	Rails to Trails Conservancy	VARIED LOCATIONS			866-202-9788
Hiking	All Trails (NJ)	VARIED LOCATIONS			
Hiking	Lawrence Trail Guide	VARIED LOCATIONS			
Hiking	Mercer County Bike Paths & Multi-Use Paths	VARIED LOCATIONS			
Hiking	NJ Hiking	VARIED LOCATIONS			
Hiking	NJ Trails Association	VARIED LOCATIONS			
HIV/AIDS	The Navigator Project - AIDS/HIV/STD Hotline	HOTLINE			1800-624-2377
Homeless	Interfaith Caregivers of Greater Mercer County	3635 Quakerbridge Road, Ste 16	Hamilton	08619	609-393-9922
Hospitals	Capital Health – Capital Health Medical Center Hopewell	1 Capital Way	Pennington	08534	1800-637-2374
Hospitals	RWJBarnabas Health – Robert Wood Johnson University Hospital at Hamilton	1 Hamilton Health Place	Hamilton	08690	609-586-7900
Hospitals	Trenton Psychiatric Hospital	101 Sullivan Way	West Trenton	08628	609-633-1500
Hospitals	Anne Klein Forensic Center – State of New Jersey, Dept. of Human Services	1609 Stuyvesant Avenue	West Trenton	08628	609-633-0900
Hospitals	St. Lawrence Rehabilitation Center	2381 Lawrenceville Road	Lawrenceville	08648	609-896-9500

Provider Type	Provider Name	Street Address	Town	ZIP Code	Phone
Hospitals	Trinity Health – St. Francis Medical Center	601 Hamilton Avenue	Trenton	08629	609-599-5000
Hospitals	Capital Health – Capital Health Regional Medical Center	750 Brunswick Avenue	Trenton	08638	609-394-6000
Housing	Interfaith Caregivers of Greater Mercer County	3635 Quakerbridge Road, Ste 16	Hamilton	08619	609-393-9922
Housing	Catholic Charities	383 West State Street	Trenton	08618	609-394-5181
Kids	Rutgers Cooperative Extension of Mercer County	930 Spruce Street	Trenton	08648	609-989-6833
Low-Income	Free Air Conditions for Seniors and Adults with Disabilities	132 North Warren Street	Trenton	08608	609-394-8847
Maternity and Adoption	Catholic Charities	383 West State Street	Trenton	08618	609-394-5181
Mental Health	NAMI Mercer (National Alliance on Mental Illness)	1235 Whitehorse-Mercerville Road	Hamilton	08619	609-799-8994
Mental Health	Depression and Bipolar Support Alliance	2100 E. State Street	Hamilton	08619	888-829-2483
Mental Health	NJ Connect for Recovery	HOTLINE			855-652-3737
Mental Health	Mental Health First Aid				973-571-4100
Mental Health/Substance Abuse	Corner House	1 Monument Drive	Princeton	08540	609-924-8018
Mental Health/Substance Abuse	Catholic Charities-Diocese of Trenton	10 Southard Street	Trenton	08609	609-396-4557
Mental Health/Substance Abuse	Phoenix Behavioral Health, LLC	1014 Whitehead Road, Ste B	Ewing	08638	609-771-3777
Mental Health/Substance Abuse	New Horizon Treatment Services, Inc.	132 Perry Street	Trenton	08618	609-394-8988
Mental Health/Substance Abuse	New Horizon Treatment Services, Inc., Gryphon House	132 Perry Street	Trenton	08618	609-394-8988
Mental Health/Substance Abuse	High Focus Centers	15 Princess Road	Lawrenceville	08648	609-349-7626
Mental Health/Substance Abuse	Opportunities for All, Inc.	1701 South Broad Street	Hamilton	08610	609-394-7013
Mental Health/Substance Abuse	Family Guidance Center	1931 Nottingham Way	Hamilton	08619	609-586-0668
Mental Health/Substance Abuse	Center for Healing and Behavioral Health Services	20 Scotch Road, Ste C	Ewing	08540	609-468-4419
Mental Health/Substance Abuse	Princeton House Behavioral Health	300 Clocktower Drive	Hamilton	08690	609-688-2788
Mental Health/Substance Abuse	Genpsych, PC	31 E Darrah Lane	Lawrenceville	08648	609-403-6190
Mental Health/Substance Abuse	Oaks Integrated Care	314 East State Street	Trenton	08608	609-396-4258
Mental Health/Substance Abuse	Footprints to Recovery	3535 Quakerbridge Road, Ste 300	Hamilton	08619	609-249-4645
Mental Health/Substance Abuse	Catholic Charities Alcoholism/Addictions Program	39 North Clinton Avenue	Trenton	08609	609-394-9398
Mental Health/Substance Abuse	Lifeback Addictions and Behavioral Health	4 Princess Road, Bld 200, Ste 206	Lawrence	08648	609-482-3701

Provider Type	Provider Name	Street Address	Town	ZIP Code	Phone
Abuse					
Mental Health/Substance Abuse	Summit Behavioral Health, LLC	4065 Quakerbridge Road	Princeton Junction	08550	609-651-4001
Mental Health/Substance Abuse	UPI Trenton Treatment Center	56 Escher Street	Trenton	08609	609-392-2822
Mental Health/Substance Abuse	Another Door Opens Recovery Center	700 South Clinton	Trenton	08618	609-393-1219
Mental Health/Substance Abuse	Princeton House Behavioral Health	741 Mount Lucas Road	Princeton	08540	609-497-3350
Mental Health/Substance Abuse	Trenton Healthcare, LLC	801 New York Avenue	Trenton	08638	609-393-8000
Mental Health/Substance Abuse	Princeton House Behavioral Health	905 Herrontown Road	Princeton	08540	609-497-3300
Mental Health/Substance Abuse	Family Guidance Center	946 Edgewood Avenue	Trenton	08618	609-393-1626
Mental Health/Substance Abuse	Rescue Mission	98 Carroll Street	Trenton	08609	609-695-1436
Mental Health/Substance Abuse	Rescue Mission of Trenton	98 Carroll Street	Trenton	08609	609-695-1436
Mental Illness	NAMI Mercer (National Alliance on Mental Illness)	1235 Whitehorse-Mercerville Road	Hamilton	08619	609-799-8994
Military	Veterans Total Care Initiative	2280 Hamilton Ave	Hamilton	08619	866-838-7654
Mothers	Mom's Quit Connection	HOTLINE			1888-545-5191
Nursing/Home Care - Assisted Living Residence	Atrium Senior Living of Princeton	1000 Windrow Drive	Princeton	08540	609-514-9111
Nursing/Home Care - Assisted Living Residence	Rose Hill Assisted Living	1150 Washington Blvd	Robbinsville	08691	609-371-7007
Nursing/Home Care - Assisted Living Residence	Brandywine Senior Living at Pennington	143 West Franklin Avenue	Pennington	08534	609-730-9922
Nursing/Home Care - Assisted Living Residence	Brandywine Senior Living at Princeton	155 Raymond Road	Princeton	08540	732-329-8888
Nursing/Home Care - Assisted Living Residence	Brookdale Hamilton	1645 Whitehorse-Mercerville Road	Trenton	08619	609-586-4000
Nursing/Home Care - Assisted Living Residence	Care One at Hamilton	1660 Whitehorse-Hamilton Square Road	Hamilton	08690	609-586-4600
Nursing/Home Care - Assisted Living Residence	Bear Creek Assisted Living	291 Village Road East	West Windsor	08550	609-918-1075
Nursing/Home Care - Assisted Living Residence	Presbyterian Home at Meadow Lakes	300 Meadow Lakes	East Windsor	08520	609-448-4100
Nursing/Home Care - Assisted Living Residence	Abrams Residence	50 Walter Street	Ewing	08628	609-883-5391
Nursing/Home Care - Assisted Living Residence	Acorn Glen	775 Mt. Lucas Road	Princeton	08540	609-430-4000

Provider Type	Provider Name	Street Address	Town	ZIP Code	Phone
Nursing/Home Care - Long Term Care Facility	Morris Hall/St. Joseph's Nursing Center	1 Bishops Drive	Lawrenceville	08648	609-896-0006
Nursing/Home Care - Long Term Care Facility	Hamilton Continuing Care Center	1059 Edinburg Road	Hamilton	08690	609-588-0091
Nursing/Home Care - Long Term Care Facility	Atrium Post Acute Care of Lawrenceville	112 Franklin Corner Road	Lawrenceville	08648	609-896-1494
Nursing/Home Care - Long Term Care Facility	Preferred Care at Mercer	1201 Parkway Avenue	Ewing	08628	609-882-6900
Nursing/Home Care - Long Term Care Facility	Royal Health Gate Nursing and Rehabilitation	1314 Brunswick Avenue	Trenton	08638	609-656-9291
Nursing/Home Care - Long Term Care Facility	Arcadia Nursing and Rehabilitation	1501 State Hwy 33	Hamilton Square	08690	609-586-1114
Nursing/Home Care - Long Term Care Facility	Mercerville Center	2240 Whitehorse-Mercerville Road	Mercerville	08619	609-586-7500
Nursing/Home Care - Long Term Care Facility	Hamilton Grove Healthcare and Rehabilitation, LLC	2300 Hamilton Avenue	Hamilton	08619	609-588-5800
Nursing/Home Care - Long Term Care Facility	St. Lawrence Rehabilitation Center	2381 Lawrenceville Road	Lawrenceville	08648	609-896-9500
Nursing/Home Care - Long Term Care Facility	Atrium Post Acute Care of Hamilton	3 Hamilton Health Place	Hamilton	08690	609-631-2555
Nursing/Home Care - Long Term Care Facility	Presbyterian Home at Meadow Lakes	300 Meadow Lakes	East Windsor	08520	609-448-4100
Nursing/Home Care - Long Term Care Facility	Riverside Nursing and Rehabilitation Center	325 Jersey Street	Trenton	08611	609-394-3400
Nursing/Home Care - Long Term Care Facility	Providence Nursing and Rehabilitation Center	439 Bellevue Avenue	Trenton	08618	609-396-2646
Nursing/Home Care - Long Term Care Facility	Atrium Post Acute Care of Princeton	5000 Windrow Drive	Princeton	08540	609-987-1221
Nursing/Home Care - Long Term Care Facility	Greenwood House Home for the Jewish Aged	51 Walter Street	Trenton	08628	609-883-5391
Nursing/Home Care - Long Term Care Facility	Water's Edge Healthcare and Rehabilitation	512 Union Street	Trenton	08611	609-393-8622
Nursing/Home Care - Long Term Care Facility	Princeton Care Center	728 Bunn Drive	Princeton	08540	609-924-9000
Nutrition	Choose My Plate	930 Spruce Street	Trenton	08648	609-989-6831
Nutrition/Healthy Living	Robbinsville Senior Center	1117 US Route 130	Robbinsville	08691	609-259-1567
Nutrition/Healthy Living	Hamilton Area YMCA	1315 Whitehorse-Mercerville Road	Hamilton	08619	609-581-9622
Nutrition/Healthy Living	Hamilton Area YMCA	1315 Whitehorse-Mercerville Road	Hamilton	08610	609-581-9622
Nutrition/Healthy Living	YMCA Healthy Living Programs	1315 Whitehorse-Mercerville Road	Hamilton	08619	609-581-9622
Nutrition/Healthy Living	ACT! (Actively Changing Together)	1315 Whitehorse-Mercerville Road	Hamilton	08619	609-581-9622



Provider Type	Provider Name	Street Address	Town	ZIP Code	Phone
Nutrition/Healthy Living	Hunterdon & Mercer County Regional Chronic Disease Coalition	2100 Wescott Drive	Flemington	08822	908-237-2328
Nutrition/Healthy Living	Lakeview Child Center	4 Princess Road, Bld 100	Lawrenceville	08648	609-896-4866
Nutrition/Healthy Living	Choose My Plate	930 Spruce Street	Trenton	08648	609-989-6831
Nutrition/Healthy Living	Get Moving-Get Healthy New Jersey	930 Spruce Street	Trenton	08648	609-989-6833
Nutrition/Healthy Living	Rutgers Cooperative Extension of Mercer County	930 Spruce Street	Trenton	08648	609-989-6833
Nutrition/Healthy Living	Healthy Living Princeton	PO Box 441	Princeton	08542	609-924-8021
Outdoor	Lawrence Hopewell Trail	197 Blackwell Rd.	Pennington	08534	609-587-1898
Outdoor	Rails to Trails Conservancy	VARIED LOCATIONS			866-202-9788
Outdoor	All Trails (NJ)	VARIED LOCATIONS			
Outdoor	Lawrence Trail Guide	VARIED LOCATIONS			
Outdoor	Mercer County Bike Paths & Multi-Use Paths	VARIED LOCATIONS			
Outdoor	NJ Hiking	VARIED LOCATIONS			
Outdoor	NJ Trails Association	VARIED LOCATIONS			
Outpatient Clinics - County Mental Health Board	Mercer County Division of Mental Health	640 South Broad Street	Trenton	08650	609-989-6574/6529
Outpatient Clinics - Deaf Enhanced Screening Center	Capital Health System	750 Brunswick Avenue	Trenton	08638	609-396-4357
Outpatient Clinics - Deaf Enhanced STCF	Capital Health, Fuld Campus	750 Brunswick Avenue	Trenton	08638	609-394-6000
Outpatient Clinics - Early Intervention Support Services	Catholic Charities-Diocese of Trenton	1225 Whitehorse Mercerville Road, Bld D, Ste 504	Hamilton	08619	609-256-4200
Outpatient Clinics - Homeless Services (PATH)	Oaks Integrated Care	31 Lexington Avenue	Ewing	08618	609-583-1900
Outpatient Clinics - Integrated Case Management Services	Oaks Integrated Care	314 East State Street	Trenton	08608	609-396-4258
Outpatient Clinics - Intensive Outpatient Treatment & Support Services	Oaks Integrated Care	314 East State Street	Trenton	08608	609-396-4258
Outpatient Clinics - Involuntary Outpatient Commitment	Oaks Integrated Care	314 East State Street	Trenton	08608	609-396-4258
Outpatient Clinics - Outpatient	Family Guidance Center	1931 Nottingham Way	Hamilton	08619	609-586-0668
Outpatient Clinics - Outpatient	Oaks Integrated Care	2550 Brunswick Pike	Lawrenceville	08648	609-396-8877
Outpatient Clinics - Outpatient	Catholic Charities-Diocese of Trenton	39 North Clinton Avenue	Trenton	08608	609-394-9398
Outpatient Clinics - Partial Care	Catholic Charities-Diocese of Trenton	10 Southard Street	Trenton	08609	609-396-4557
Outpatient Clinics - Partial Care	Catholic Charities-Diocese of Trenton	10 Southard Street	Trenton	08609	609-396-4557
Outpatient Clinics - Partial Care	Family Guidance Center	1931 Nottingham Way	Hamilton	08619	609-586-0668
Outpatient Clinics - Partial Care	Oaks Integrated Care	314 East State Street	Trenton	08608	609-396-4258

Provider Type	Provider Name	Street Address	Town	ZIP Code	Phone
Outpatient Clinics - Partial Care	A.A.M.H. - Mercer	819 Alexander Road	Princeton	08540	609-452-2088
Outpatient Clinics - Primary Scening Center for Mercer	Capital Health Regional Medical Center	750 Brunswick Avenue	Trenton	08638	609-396-4357
Outpatient Clinics - Program of Assertive Community Treatment (PACT)	Catholic Charities-Diocese of Trenton	1340 Parkway Avenue	Ewing	08628	609-882-4772
Outpatient Clinics - Program of Assertive Community Treatment (PACT)	Catholic Charities-Diocese of Trenton	39 North Clinton Avenue	Trenton	08609	609-394-9398
Outpatient Clinics - Residential Intensive Support Team (RIST)	Oaks Integrated Care	1001 Spruce Street	Trenton	08638	609-396-6788
Outpatient Clinics - Residential Services	SERV/Mercer	20 Scotch Road, 3rd Floor	Ewing	08628	609-406-0100
Outpatient Clinics - Residential Services/Transitional & Supportive Housing	Catholic Charities-Diocese of Trenton	41 Steinert Avenue	Hamilton	08619	609-890-2527
Outpatient Clinics - Self-Help Center	Transition Mission SHC/Trenton Psychiatric Hospital	101 Sullivan Way	West Trenton	08628	609-503-5762
Outpatient Clinics - Self-Help Center	Reach Out/Speak Out	2100 E. State Street	Hamilton	08619	888-829-2483
Outpatient Clinics - Short Term Care Facility	St. Francis Medical Center	601 Hamilton Avenue	Trenton	08629	609-599-5000
Outpatient Clinics - Short Term Care Facility	Capital Health Regional Medical Center/Fuld Campus	750 Brunswick Avenue	Trenton	08638	609-394-6000
Outpatient Clinics - Supported Employment Services	Catholic Charities-Diocese of Trenton	10 Southard Street	Trenton	08609	609-396-4557
Outpatient Clinics - Supportive Housing	SERV Centers of NJ	20 Scotch Road, 3rd Floor	Ewing	08628	609-406-0100
Outpatient Clinics - Supportive Housing	Oaks Integrated Care	31 Lexington Avenue	Ewing	08618	609-583-1900
Outpatient Clinics - Systems Advocacy	Community Health Law Project	225 East State Street, Ste 5	Trenton	08608	609-392-5553
Outpatient Clinics - Voluntary Unit	Capital Health, Fuld Campus	750 Brunswick Avenue	Trenton	08638	609-394-6049/6996
Ovarian Cancer	Cancer Support Groups at Capital Health	1 Capital Way	Pennington	08534	1800-637-2374
Ovarian Cancer	NJ CEED	416 Bellevue Avenue, Ste 401	Trenton	08618	609-989-0236
Ovarian Cancer	Give Women a Lift	PO Box 6645	Lawrenceville	08648	1855-832-5832
Ovarian Cancer	Teal Tea Foundation	PO Box 6645	Lawrenceville	08648	1855-832-5832
Parenting	NJ Parent Link	50 E State Street	Trenton	08608	609-633-1363
Physical Fitness	Robbinsville Senior Center	1117 US Route 130	Robbinsville	08691	609-259-1567
Physical Fitness	Hamilton Area YMCA	1315 Whitehorse-Mercerville Road	Hamilton	08610	609-581-9622
Physical Fitness	Hunterdon & Mercer County Regional Chronic Disease Coalition	2100 Wescott Drive	Flemington	08822	908-237-2328
Pregnancy	Catholic Charities	383 West State Street	Trenton	08618	609-394-5181
Prevention Services	Contact of Mercer County	60 South Main Street	Pennington	08534	609-883-2880
Prevention Services - Homeless Prevention	HomeFront	1180 Princeton Avenue	Lawrenceville	08648	609-989-9417

Provider Type	Provider Name	Street Address	Town	ZIP Code	Phone
Prevention Services - Prevention Coalition Mercer County (PCMC)	Capital Health Medical Center - Hopewell	1 Capital Way	Pennington	08534	1800-637-2374
Prevention Services - Prevention Coalition Mercer County (PCMC)	Robert Wood Johnson University Hospital Hamilton	1 Hamilton Health Place	Hamilton	08690	609-586-7900
Prevention Services - Prevention Coalition Mercer County (PCMC)	Corner House	1 Monument Drive	Princeton	08540	609-924-8018
Prevention Services - Prevention Coalition Mercer County (PCMC)	Princeton Alcohol and Drug Alliance	1 Monument Drive	Princeton	08540	609-924-8018
Prevention Services - Prevention Coalition Mercer County (PCMC)	Princeton Police Department	1 Valley Road	Princeton	08540	609-921-2100
Prevention Services - Prevention Coalition Mercer County (PCMC)	Signs of Sobriety	100 Scotch Road	Ewing	08628	609-882-7677
Prevention Services - Prevention Coalition Mercer County (PCMC)	Advancing Opportunities	1005 Whitehead Road	Ewing	08638	609-882-4182
Prevention Services - Prevention Coalition Mercer County (PCMC)	Rider University	101 Walnut Lane	Princeton	08540	609-921-7100
Prevention Services - Prevention Coalition Mercer County (PCMC)	St. James Hope Ministry	115 E. Delaware Avenue	Pennington	08534	609-737-0122
Prevention Services - Prevention Coalition Mercer County (PCMC)	High Focus Centers	15 Princess Road	Lawrenceville	08648	609-349-7626
Prevention Services - Prevention Coalition Mercer County (PCMC)	The Overdose Prevention Agency Corporation (TOPAC)	1540 Kuser Road, A-2	Hamilton	08619	609-581-0600
Prevention Services - Prevention Coalition Mercer County (PCMC)	East Windsor Alliance	16 Lanning Blvd	East Windsor	08520	609-443-4000
Prevention Services - Prevention Coalition Mercer County (PCMC)	Mercer County Sheriff's Office	175 South Broad Street	Trenton	08608	609-394-0286
Prevention Services - Prevention Coalition Mercer County (PCMC)	Cool 2B Clean	1931 Brunswick Avenue	Lawrence Township	08648	609-396-5877
Prevention Services - Prevention Coalition Mercer County (PCMC)	Family Guidance Center	1931 Nottingham Way	Hamilton	08619	609-586-0668
Prevention Services - Prevention Coalition Mercer County (PCMC)	Ewing Police Department	2 Jake Garzio Drive	Ewing	08628	609-882-1313

Provider Type	Provider Name	Street Address	Town	ZIP Code	Phone
Prevention Services - Prevention Coalition Mercer County (PCMC)	Ewing Drug Alliance	2 Jake Garzio Drive	Ewing	08628	609-883-2900
Prevention Services - Prevention Coalition Mercer County (PCMC)	The College of NJ	2000 Pennington Road	Ewing	08628	609-771-2131
Prevention Services - Prevention Coalition Mercer County (PCMC)	Hopewell Valley Municipal Alliance	201 Washington Crossing-Pennington Road	Titusville	08560	609-537-0242
Prevention Services - Prevention Coalition Mercer County (PCMC)	Hopewell Township Police Department	201 Washington Crossing-Pennington Road	Titusville	08560	609-737-3100
Prevention Services - Prevention Coalition Mercer County (PCMC)	Rider University	2083 Lawrenceville Road	Lawrenceville	08648	609-896-5000
Prevention Services - Prevention Coalition Mercer County (PCMC)	Mercer County Prosecutor's Office	209 South Broad Street	Trenton	08608	609-989-6305
Prevention Services - Prevention Coalition Mercer County (PCMC)	Hunterdon & Mercer County Regional Chronic Disease Coalition	2100 Wescott Drive	Flemington	08822	908-237-2328
Prevention Services - Prevention Coalition Mercer County (PCMC)	Recovery Advocates	2117 Route 33, Ste 1	Hamilton	08690	888-360-77888
Prevention Services - Prevention Coalition Mercer County (PCMC)	Lawrence Alcohol and Drug Alliance	2207 Lawrence Road	Lawrenceville	08648	609-844-7089
Prevention Services - Prevention Coalition Mercer County (PCMC)	Lawrence Township Health Department	2207 Lawrence Road	Lawrenceville	08648	609-844-7089
Prevention Services - Prevention Coalition Mercer County (PCMC)	Hightstown High School	25 Leshin Lane	Hightstown	08520	609-443-7738
Prevention Services - Prevention Coalition Mercer County (PCMC)	United Way of Greater Mercer County	3150 Brunswick Pike, STE 230	Lawrenceville	08648	609-896-1912
Prevention Services - Prevention Coalition Mercer County (PCMC)	West Windsor - Plainsboro High School	346 Clarksville Road	West Windsor	08550	609-716-5000
Prevention Services - Prevention Coalition Mercer County (PCMC)	Footprints to Recovery	3535 Quakerbridge Road, Ste 300	Hamilton	08619	609-249-4645
Prevention Services - Prevention Coalition Mercer County (PCMC)	Council on Compulsive Gambling	3635 Quakerbridge Road, Ste 7	Hamilton	08619	609-588-5515
Prevention Services - Prevention Coalition Mercer County (PCMC)	City of Angels	392 Church Street	Hamilton	08620	609-910-4942

Provider Type	Provider Name	Street Address	Town	ZIP Code	Phone
Prevention Services - Prevention Coalition Mercer County (PCMC)	Summit Behavioral Health, LLC	4065 Quakerbridge Road	Princeton Junction	08550	609-651-4001
Prevention Services - Prevention Coalition Mercer County (PCMC)	Melvin H Kreps School	5 Kent Lane	East Windsor	08520	609-443-7767
Prevention Services - Prevention Coalition Mercer County (PCMC)	Campfire NJ	535 East Franklin Street	Trenton	08610	609-695-8410
Prevention Services - Prevention Coalition Mercer County (PCMC)	Mercer County Department of Human Services	640 South Broad Street	Trenton	08650	609-989-6526
Prevention Services - Prevention Coalition Mercer County (PCMC)	State of NJ - Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	640 South Broad Street	Trenton	08650	609-989-6574
Prevention Services - Prevention Coalition Mercer County (PCMC)	West Windsor - Plainsboro Municipal Alliance	641 Plainsboro Road	Plainsboro	08536	609-799-0909
Prevention Services - Prevention Coalition Mercer County (PCMC)	Ewing High School ASYSST	900 Parkway Avenue	Ewing	08618	609-583-9800
Prevention Services - Prevention Coalition Mercer County (PCMC)	New Jersey National Guard	PO Box 340	Trenton	08625	609-530-4600
Prevention Services - Prevention Coalition Mercer County (PCMC)	Greater Mercer Public Health Partnership	PO Box 6194	Lawrenceville	08748	609-580-0621
Prevention Services - Programs	Bully Busters	1931 Brunswick Avenue	Lawrence Township	08648	609-396-5874
Prevention Services - Programs	Chess Champs	1931 Brunswick Avenue	Lawrence Township	08648	609-396-5874
Prevention Services - Programs	Children in the Middle	1931 Brunswick Avenue	Lawrence Township	08648	609-396-5874
Prevention Services - Programs	Fatal Vision Goggles	1931 Brunswick Avenue	Lawrence Township	08648	609-396-5874
Prevention Services - Programs	Footprints for Life	1931 Brunswick Avenue	Lawrence Township	08648	609-396-5874
Prevention Services - Programs	Keys to Innervisions	1931 Brunswick Avenue	Lawrence Township	08648	609-396-5874
Prevention Services - Programs	Life Skills Training	1931 Brunswick Avenue	Lawrence Township	08648	609-396-5874
Prevention Services - Programs	Olweus Bullying Prevention Program	1931 Brunswick Avenue	Lawrence Township	08648	609-396-5874
Prevention Services - Programs	Parenting Wisely	1931 Brunswick Avenue	Lawrence Township	08648	609-396-5874
Prevention Services - Programs	Prevention Coalition of Mercer County	1931 Brunswick Avenue	Lawrence Township	08648	609-396-5874
Prevention Services - Programs	Protecting You Protecting Me	1931 Brunswick Avenue	Lawrence Township	08648	609-396-5874
Prevention Services - Programs	Take Control of Your Health	1931 Brunswick Avenue	Lawrence Township	08648	609-396-5874

Provider Type	Provider Name	Street Address	Town	ZIP Code	Phone
Prevention Services - Programs	Trenton Municipal Alliance Committee	1931 Brunswick Avenue	Lawrence Township	08648	609-396-5874
Prevention Services - Programs	We Check for 21	1931 Brunswick Avenue	Lawrenceville	08648	609-396-5874
Prevention Services - Programs	Wellness Initiative for Senior Education	1931 Brunswick Avenue	Lawrence Township	08648	609-396-5874
Prevention Services - Programs	Metro Employee Assistance Service	1931 Brunswick Avenue	Lawrence Township	08648	609-396-5877
Prevention Services - Programs	Coping with Work and Family Stress	1931 Brunswick Avenue	Lawrence Township	08648	609-396-5877
Prevention Services - Programs	Parents Who Host Lost the Most	2298 Route 33	Robbinsville	08691	609-259-3600
Professional	CADC Classes/Rescue Mission	98 Carroll Street	Hamilton	08609	732-367-0611
Quit Smoking	Mercer Council on Alcoholism and Drug Addiction	1931 Brunswick Avenue	Lawrence Township	08648	609-396-5874
Quit Smoking	New Jersey Quitline	HOTLINE			1866-657-8677
Quit Smoking	Mom's Quit Connection	HOTLINE			1888-545-5191
Recovery	Corner House	1 Monument Drive	Princeton	08540	609-924-8018
Recovery	The Overdose Porevention Agency Corporation (TOPAC)	1540 Kuser Road, A-2	Hamilton	08619	609-581-0600
Recovery	Family Guidance Center	1931 Nottingham Way	Hamilton	08619	609-586-0668
Recovery	Catholic Charities	39 North Clinton Avenue	Trenton	08609	609-394-9398
Recovery	UPI Trenton Treatment Center	56 Escher Street	Trenton	08609	609-392-2822
Recovery	NJ Addiction Services	640 South Broad Street	Trenton	08650	609-989-6826
Recovery	Rescue Mission	98 Carroll Street	Trenton	08609	609-695-1436
Recovery	CADC Classes/Rescue Mission	98 Carroll Street	Hamilton	08609	732-367-0611
Recovery	Collegiate Recovery Community at The College of New Jersey	Forcina Hall, Room 308	Ewing	08628	609-771-2571
Recovery	NJ Connect for Recovery	HOTLINE			855-652-3737
Senior Citizens	Robbinsville Senior Center	1117 US Route 130	Robbinsville	08691	609-259-1567
Senior Citizens	Hamilton Area YMCA	1315 Whitehorse-Mercerville Road	Hamilton	08610	609-581-9622
Senior Citizens	YMCA Healthy Living Programs	1315 Whitehorse-Mercerville Road	Hamilton	08619	609-581-9622
Senior Citizens	Free Air Conditions for Seniors and Adults with Disabilities	132 North Warren Street	Trenton	08608	609-394-8847
Senior Citizens	Adult Protective Services	200 Wolverton Street	Trenton	08650	609-989-4320
Senior Citizens	Hunterdon & Mercer County Regional Chronic Disease Coalition	2100 Wescott Drive	Flemington	08822	908-237-2328
Senior Citizens	Lawrence Township Health Department	2207 Lawrence Road	Lawrenceville	08648	609-844-7089
Senior Citizens	Mercer County TRADE Transportation	300 Scotch Road	Trenton	08628	609-530-1971
Senior Citizens	Catholic Charities	383 West State Street	Trenton	08618	609-394-5181
Seniors	YMCA Healthy Living Programs	1315 Whitehorse-Mercerville Road	Hamilton	08619	609-581-9622
Seniors	Free Air Conditions for Seniors and Adults with Disabilities	132 North Warren Street	Trenton	08608	609-394-8847
Seniors	Adult Protective Services	200 Wolverton Street	Trenton	08650	609-989-4320
Seniors	Interfaith Caregivers of Greater Mercer County	3635 Quakerbridge Road, Ste 16	Hamilton	08619	609-393-9922
Shaping NJ	Hunterdon & Mercer County Regional Chronic Disease Coalition	2100 Wescott Drive	Flemington	08822	908-237-2328
Smoking	Mercer Council on Alcoholism and Drug Addiction	1931 Brunswick Avenue	Lawrence Township	08648	609-396-5874
Smoking	New Jersey Quitline	HOTLINE			1866-657-8677
Smoking	Mom's Quit Connection	HOTLINE			1888-545-5191
Specialty Care	New Jersey Surgery Center LLC	1225 Whitehorse Mercerville Road, Bld D, Ste 209	Mercerville	08619	609-581-6200
Specialty Care	Hamilton Endoscopy and Surgery Center LLC	1235 Whitehorse-Mercerville Road, Ste 310	Hamilton	08619	609-581-6610
Specialty Care	Surgical Specialists at Princeton	136 Main Street, Ste 100	Princeton	08540	609-799-1130

Provider Type	Provider Name	Street Address	Town	ZIP Code	Phone
Specialty Care	Hamilton Surgery Center LLC	1445 Whitehorse-Mercerville Road	Hamilton	08619	609-689-4820
Specialty Care	Mercer County Surgery Center	3120 Princeton Pike	Lawrenceville	08648	609-895-0290
Specialty Care	Planned Parenthood of Northern, Central, and Southern New Jersey, Inc.	437 East State Street	Trenton	08608	609-599-4881
Specialty Care	Princeton Endoscopy Center LLC	731 Alexander Road, Ste 104	Princeton	08540	609-452-1111
Substance Abuse	High Focus Centers	15 Princess Road	Lawrenceville	08648	609-349-7626
Substance Abuse	Mercer Council on Alcoholism and Drug Addiction	1931 Brunswick Avenue	Lawrence Township	08648	609-396-5874
Substance Abuse	Hunterdon & Mercer County Regional Chronic Disease Coalition	2100 Wescott Drive	Flemington	08822	908-237-2328
Substance Abuse	Lawrence Alcohol and Drug Alliance	2207 Lawrence Road	Lawrenceville	08648	609-844-7089
Substance Abuse	NJ Connect for Recovery	HOTLINE			855-652-3737
Sun Safety	Hunterdon & Mercer County Regional Chronic Disease Coalition	2100 Wescott Drive	Flemington	08822	908-237-2328
Tobacco	Hunterdon & Mercer County Regional Chronic Disease Coalition	2100 Wescott Drive	Flemington	08822	908-237-2328
Tobacco	New Jersey Quitline	HOTLINE			1866-657-8677
Tobacco	Mom's Quit Connection	HOTLINE			1888-545-5191
Transportation	RideProvide	15 Roszel Road	Princeton	08540	609-452-5140
Transportation	Greater Mercer Transportation Management Association (GMTMA)	15 Roszel Road, Ste 101	Princeton	08540	609-452-1491
Transportation	Mercer County TRADE Transportation	300 Scotch Road	Trenton	08628	609-530-1971
Transportation	Princeton Pedestrian and Bicycle Advisory Committee	400 Witherspoon Street	Princeton	08540	609-924-4141
Transportation	Mercer County Division of Transportation	640 South Broad Street	Trenton	08650	609-989-6629
Transportation	Give Women a Lift	PO Box 6645	Lawrenceville	08648	1855-832-5832
Veteran	Veterans Total Care Initiative	2280 Hamilton Ave	Hamilton	08619	866-838-7654
Women	Women's Heart Healthy Program/Mercer Bucks Cardiology	1 Union Street	Robbinsville	08691	609-890-6677
Women	Women's Heart Healthy Program/Mercer Bucks Cardiology	3140 Princeton Pike	Lawrenceville	08648	609-895-1919
Women	Give Women a Lift	PO Box 6645	Lawrenceville	08648	1855-832-5832
Women	Teal Tea Foundation	PO Box 6645	Lawrenceville	08648	1855-832-5832
Youth	Hamilton Area YMCA	1315 Whitehorse-Mercerville Road	Hamilton	08610	609-581-9622
Youth	ACT! (Actively Changing Together)	1315 Whitehorse-Mercerville Road	Hamilton	08619	609-581-9622
Youth	Catholic Charities	383 West State Street	Trenton	08618	609-394-5181
Youth	Lakeview Child Center	4 Princess Road, Bld 100	Lawrenceville	08648	609-896-4866
Youth	New Jersey Partnership for Healthy Kids	407 Greenwood Avenue	Trenton	08609	609-278-9622
Youth	NJ Parent Link	50 E State Street	Trenton	08608	609-633-1363
Youth	Rutgers Cooperative Extension of Mercer County	930 Spruce Street	Trenton	08648	609-989-6833
Youth	Collegiate Recovery Community at The College of New Jersey	Forcina Hall, Room 308	Ewing	08628	609-771-2571

## APPENDIX E: WORLD CAFÉ EXERCISE REPORT



### **Community Advisory Board Summary Report**

#### **Community Health Assessment Preliminary Review**

**Listening Session**

**April 25, 2018**

Morris Hall 5-7 pm

St. Lawrence Rehabilitation Center, Lawrence Township, NJ

**Welcome Remarks: Darlene Hanley, CEO of St. Lawrence Rehabilitation Center**

**Introductions: Diane Grillo, President of Greater Mercer Public Health Partnership (GMPHP)**

#### **Community Health Assessment Data Briefing – Naomi Savitz, New Solutions, Inc.**

Naomi provided an overview and brief report on preliminary CHA findings.

Community Health Assessment is a process that:

- Determines and evaluates the state of health and health needs of a local population
- Enables the identification of major risk factors and causes of ill health; and
- Identifies action needed to address these factors

Why do a CHA?

- To provide data for decision-making
- To promote awareness and action
- To satisfy a mandate

A CHA provides valuable information regarding why and how to improve the community's health status. A comprehensive CHA gathers information using sound data collection methods and reflects the behaviors, beliefs, and demographics of community residents. The process consists of development of a community advisory board, primary research, review of secondary source data, priority setting and plan development, communication plan (all constituents), implementation and evaluation.



Mercer County was split into 5 pie pieces to ensure that each part of the county is well represented. Out of 5 focus groups held between March 5 – 14, 55 people from diverse backgrounds shared their experiences and opinions. Results are broken down by “Definite Improvement” and “Some Improvement”. The improvement categories are broken down by municipality in the following table:

Area	Definite Improvement		Some Improvement
<b>Hamilton/Robbinsville</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Clinics for uninsured</li> <li>• Support for disabled</li> <li>• Veterans programs</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Childhood obesity</li> <li>• Senior services availability</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Opioid addiction</li> </ul>
<b>Pennington/Hopewell</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Hospital access</li> <li>• Anti-smoking campaign</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Parental support in various areas – medical and emotional</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Information flow</li> <li>• Broader drug/alcohol reach</li> <li>• Mental health support</li> </ul>
<b>West Windsor/Hightstown</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Senior transportation</li> <li>• Weekly BP screening</li> <li>• Improved coordination w/ Police</li> <li>• Closer hospital and EMT closer to police</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• New leadership</li> <li>• Lock boxes for elderly</li> <li>• Politicians more in touch with community</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Vision/hearing screener availability</li> </ul>
<b>City of Trenton</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Employment improved</li> <li>• Young adults doing more in community</li> <li>• More small businesses opening</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Food pantry availability</li> <li>• Heightened community activism/collaboration</li> </ul>	n/a
<b>Lawrenceville/Ewing/Princeton</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Improved partnership with law enforcement</li> <li>• General outreach improved</li> <li>• Health services for undocumented population</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Hospitals engaging more</li> <li>• Availability of more physical space</li> <li>• Heightened health focus</li> </ul>	n/a

Eight themes were reported in all groups:

- 1) Community/Network Connectedness/Communication
- 2) Access to care
- 3) Mental health –the single greatest concern area that was broadly defined
  - Opioid addiction, Stress/Anxiety management, Suicide prevention
- 4) Transportation
- 5) Language barriers/Immigrant population
- 6) Senior/Adult Needs
  - Also Veterans Services in some areas

## 7) Education

-Health, Parenting, Social Media, tutoring programs, bullying

## 8) Medical Conditions

- Alzheimer's/Dementia, Asthma, Cancer, Cardiovascular, Diabetes, Hypertension, Childhood Obesity, Chronic Pain Management

Although occasional improvements were noted, respondents characterized access as 'not good' or 'in need' of improvement': this was true across all groups

Access was often intertwined with lack of a broader communication network

- Insurance (lack of expense, cutbacks, poor understanding)
- Appropriate medical care (HCPs, Hospitals, Medication, Mental Health – particularly for low income families, dental/vision)
- Transportation (to reach appropriate medical services)
- Lack of resources (training, public health programs/services, health literacy, etc.)
- Identification of people in need (seniors, undocumented, immigrant population, etc.)
- Other (affordable housing, language barriers, racial barriers)

### **Using the Tools of Community Engagement in Your Organization – Stephanie Carey, Health Officer (Montgomery/Hopewell Borough/Pennington Borough)**

The goal is to engage community members and partners to better address public health issues. Stephanie provided a demonstration to the coalition partners present on how to use the World Café exercise.

What is a World Café?

A World Café or Knowledge Café is a structured conversational process for knowledge sharing in which groups of people discuss a topic at several tables, with individuals switching tables periodically and getting introduced to the previous discussion at their new table by a "table host".

### **World Café Etiquette and Facilitator Introductions – Carol Nicholas, Director of GMPHP**

World Café Etiquette

- Focus on what really matters
- Contribute your ideas and thinking
- Speak your mind and heart with humility.
- Listen to understand.
- Connect your ideas with others.
- Play, doodle and draw
- Have fun!

Tools of Community Engagement

- *These are tools you can take back to your organization to assure your communities' voices are heard!*
- World Café -Collaborative Dialogue for Questions that matter [www.theworldcafe.com](http://www.theworldcafe.com)
- SWOT-Assessing Strengths/ Weaknesses/Opportunities/ Threats
- Appreciative Inquiry-Asking what's best about your organization helps identify its values.

- Affinity Model--gathering comments on a key question without judgment, then identifying common themes

Table Hosts spend 10 minutes gathering feedback (on post-its) and 2 minutes clarifying and identifying themes.

**Table Hosts (Facilitators) for World Café Exercise:**

Tiffany O’Neal, West Windsor Health Department

Tony Lewis, Robert Wood Johnson Foundation, County Health Rankings

Patricia Dagnall, Retired Health Officer

Devangi Patel, Princeton Health Department

Carol and Stephanie were timekeeper and floater

**Table One:** Pat -- What does a healthy community look like (define “health”)? What do you view as a barrier to a good health in Mercer County? (Community)

**Table Two:** Toni --Appreciative Inquiry to define organizational values and themes—The theme for your columns may be values-words. What is the best thing about GMPHP? Why are you here tonight? A year from now, what will bring you back to GMPHP? (Organization)

**Table Three:** Tiffany -- Based on the data you heard tonight, which parts are most relevant to our Community Health Assessment? What/whose input is missing? (CHA) qualitative data and gap analysis from community members.

**Table Four:** Devangi-- For our 2015-2018 Community Health Improvement Plan implementation, what worked well? What didn’t go so well? What lessons did we learn implementing the 2015 CHIP that we can use to do better next time? (CHIP Evaluation)

**Questions:**

*Participants started at the table matching the number given to them at Registration.*

*Participants wrote their answers to the questions on post-it notes in 3-5 word phrases. A person could write as many post-its as they want, 1 idea per post-it., and stick on flip chart paper. After 10 minutes (or sooner if they are done), facilitator encouraged the group to spend two minutes clustering the post-its along similar themes, asking questions to clarify, build consensus, and name the theme. A total of 12 minutes per small group, with 2 minute changeovers. (A new flip chart page for each changeover)*

There were a total of 4 rotations to the 4 tables. Final answers were compiled into a table along with identified themes for each of the four questions:

## What does a healthy community look like?

Access to healthy food	Walkable communities/Safe Streets	Low/no disease	Employment	Health Knowledge/awareness	Mental Health Wellness	Safety	Built/Clean Environment	Health Systems	Community
Good food security	Safe walking and exercise trails	Lower communicable disease rates	Income (x2)	Informed community members who get regular physicals	Engaged	Safety (x4)	Kids in parks. Trees	Reduce stigmatizing policies, etc. (2x)	Community involvement, hard working, togetherness.
Looks like people eating well	Green space, places to walk, bike, play	Healthy communities = fed, safe + mentally stable	Employment (2x)	Educational, recreational, social opportunities (x5)	Increased self-efficacy	People out and about	Accessible opportunities for active lifestyles (3x)	Healthy community; all people have access to optimal health and shareable resources that are culturally competent (6x)	Healthy communities, emphasis on equity + social justice
Available fresh food and vegetables food options (x2)	Walkable community safe streets and sidewalks	Looks like people active, healthy, productive (3x)	Available clinic/primary care providers	Collaboration among community providers. Coalitions et. Where everybody knows what each agency's specialties are – what populations they serve etc.	Interacting with each other	Synergy amongst the various community service functions. (i.e. EMT, Police, community centers)	Transportation, Parks and fitness centers	Has available health services for all ages, populations, income brackets, and is culturally competent	Community access resources that can help them support their physical, mental, financial health
Access to healthy affordable food (x6)	Walkable	Decreased disease prevalence/incidence and increase quality of life (3x)	Healthy community = \$ cycles from rich to poor	Open, honest, dialogue about health and behavioral health issues	People are being their best selves (2x)		Vibrant community, clean air, community gardens/farms	Healthy community: presence of reputable healthcare providers. Services available to all	Healthy community: better than benchmark measuring, active lifestyle opportunities (2x)

## What do you view as a barrier to good health in Mercer County?

Insurance	Access to care	Time	Communication	Transportation	Health Literacy	Money/Funding	Access to food	Built environment	Stigma/ community norms
Lack of / cost of insurance (x5)	Education programs to the under/no insured at a low literacy rate and at a convenient place	Time to live healthy	Language barriers (x2)	Lack of transportation (x7)	Low health literacy (& general literacy)	Barriers = 501 (C ) (3)/ non profits have little to no \$\$	Abundance of tobacco and poor food choices at corner stores	Roads not pedestrian friendly	Lack of participation disinterested in joining organizations
	Accessible mental health services, types of docs wanted	Work, time	Lack of communication	Access to health care and transportation	Lack of information (x2)	Socioeconomic barriers and Appropriate resources	Food Concerns about Safety	Safe	Barriers – stigma of addiction and mental health challenges (2X)
	Barriers: access – quantity of providers, insurance coverage, access – hours of day/days of week	9-5 jobs			Information disparities (quality health information)	Low income, poverty and lack of resources			Barriers = food/shelter/safety takes priority over health (preventative)
	Access to care and healthcare				Convolutated healthcare system	Financial advisors and affordable medicine			Lack of emphasis on preventative medicine, Divisiveness and Pre-screening
	Not enough providers take Medicaid/affordable care				Education (x3)	It costs money to be/stay healthy			Cultural practices/behaviors/expectations
	Lack of specialists and access				Fear (x2)	Lack of funds for services (x5)			Marginalization from the community

## What is the best thing about GMPHP?

People	Process	Results	Data
Hopefully new members that can add their own experiences/ideas to the group	Collaboration communication between organizations (x11)	Eager now to get the bigger picture	The problems may change but the people who need help are still there
Continued energy and optimism of the leaders and members (x2)	Sharing info and community resources (x6)	Feeling that we made an impact and did not just sit in meetings	To learn more about the community health assessment
Meeting new people who have a passion for public health (x5)	Ongoing engagement in the health of my community	Progress towards CHIP	Knowledge of community resources (x3)
Meeting reps from many organizations	Improving lines of communication among providers, health departments and community organizations	The Impact we can have on the health of communities	Innovative techniques to gather data
The people and networking opportunities (x2)	Listening to the local community		
Best thing: the people involved	Committed to furtherance of CHA/CHIP		
Access to diverse groups and greater input (x4)	Hosting world cafes to share ideas (x2)		
Learning about various organizations and meeting people involved	Networking, partnering, getting things done		
Everyone brings a different perspective to the group	Opportunity for many to come together with a common plan and purpose		

## Why are you here tonight?

Continued Energy & Optimism	Engagement/Make a Difference	Leadership	Learn and Share	Networking
We are making progress on transportation committee, we want to keep making progress	I was invited: help the community, share information, collaborate, help college population	Formalize group with community outreach director	To contribute and support the process of CHA/CHIP (x2)	Partnership
I made a commitment	Make Mercer better	New role/responsibility + interest	To share ideas (x5)	Connections and cookies
Because of the “collaborative partnership” among members	To help people work together with the goal to help people and be a part of the solution (x3)	To learn how I can serve mercer county independently +through my organizational position	Get information, raise issues about community needs (9x)	Last minute invite BUT pleasantly surprised to find such a strong network of people to pick people’s brains
Passionate people	I was asked to attend	To learn about what others do and all how our agency can assist or provide services to others	To learn about the findings of the process and Mercer County health (x5)	Partnership available in community
Invite from Princeton Health Dept. + passionate about public health	Outreach	I want us to have a well thought out CHA and CHIP	Curiosity	Connecting with people interested in the health of our community
Guilt (I’ve wanted to engage and had not been able)	Working together to improve health	To represent the people of my community. Rejuvenation		To support the GMPHP, to network with new members. To Collaborate

## A year from now, what will you bring back to GMPHP (org)?

Results	Connections/Continued Partnerships	Achieved Common Goals	Learning	Relevance	Community Improvement
Results!	Connections!	Hope...to bring congratulations for accomplishments	It's an ongoing job – always room for improvement	Dedication and vibrancy of mission	The desire to help our community in a positive way
Interest in the process outcomes	And Productive goal-oriented meetings	Achieving goals. Continued movement forward on actions		If my concerns were addressed	Learning ways to improve health of my community
Visible changes in community – implementation process	To know the progress of the community partnership	Clear goals	The chance to reevaluate key points community orgs + assessments, given the dynamic sociopolitical environment around health care	GMPHP as a formal organization determined to have a usable CHIP	Survey will say that we are covering needs. Areas were without health care!
Outcome of the CHA (x2)	Innovative partnerships with school districts that improve health	Working toward common goals as result of needs assessment	Knowledge sharing	To make sure that whatever is working will continue as a need	Community improvement
	Myself, participating in the interagency department work groups	To see what needs were addressed in some way and what still needs to be done	To review CHA-CHIP, involvement with GMPHP, to see who is still involved with GMPHP		
	Reunite with the good, intelligent people on GMPHP		To gain insight into the community's needs and priorities		
	Community				



## Based on the data you heard tonight, which parts are most relevant to our community health assessment?

MENTAL HEALTH	ACCESS TO RESOURCES	CHRONIC DISEASE DATA	OVERCOMING BARRIERS	DATA
Mental health and SA issue	- Access to health services (geography, cost, type, insurance) - Access to healthy resources (food, physical activity) (x2)	Chronic disease data is very relevant	- Building healthy habits (food, exercise, health care)	Pregnant and addicted women – assessment of peer use of ATO? Treatment referrals for pregnant women
improving health through integrating behavioral health with physical health care and substance abuse	Barriers to quality health care	- Childhood obesity	Language barriers	Uninsured populations
- Behavioral health is part of overall health	Access to care		- Health care access (affordable, accessible)	Undocumented residential status
- Addiction issues	Lack of access to care		increased access to some health screenings	Childhood obesity
Mental health services/concerns	Health information and access		NJCEED - Health Literacy	
Co-occurring services/concerns	Active living that includes being outside (environment)			Transportation data
Focus on mental health	- Nutrition Food access, chronic disease prevention			behavioral health and substance abuse data
Opioid addiction	Community Resources available to underinsured /no insurance			

## Based on the data you heard tonight, which items are missing from our community health assessment?

Representation	Schools/Youth	Medical	Mental Health Services	Funders	Housing/Environment	Data
Insurance representatives (x3)	Vaping with kids	Access to telemedicine	Mental health providers	Financial coverage	Transportation (x2)	Missing – data from special needs population
School district representation (x3)	Youth (x2)	Appropriate medical care improved. Prenatal, Maternal, Nutrition: would like to see more educational programs and increased access (x2)	Addiction and young people’s mental health	Funders	Missing: healthy spaces and living (exposures)	Data missing from underserved populations (e.g. Immigrant, low-income, homeless, addiction) (x2)
Faith-based organizations (x2)	Youth in middle and high schools and dangers of vaping, e-cigarettes	Missing: access to care/insurance (x2)	Mental health of children in school	Accommodating people in “limbo” not needy “enough” too “well-off” according some policies	Housing – safe and affordable	Missing: specific data about respondents (x3)
Missing: sick and elderly: who can’t get to meetings (x2)	Gaps: officials in the school system	Opioid crisis for pregnant women and new mothers. As well as methadone for pregnant addicted mothers.	Transitioning people in need of our resources to a more stable point “graduating” people		Access to healthy foods for poorer communities/people	Missing: the last CHA was not as widely distributed to the minority community and vulnerable groups
Adolescent/ Youth community involvement	School climate and culture leaders	What’s missing: opioid crisis in .....?				Missing: sharing the results of the CHA in the larger Public Health Community
Missing: LGBT community, Law enforcement, homeless community, illegal immigrants (x1 each)	Student assistance counselors (in schools) county org?	Missing: Primary Care issues/strategies (prevention of...) (x2)				Multiple agencies are conducting the same survey process at the same time – duplication

## For our 2015-2018 Community Health Improvement Plan Implementation, what worked well?

Collaboration	Resources	Data	Commitment to keep on track	Improved Communication	Suggestions	General Comments
The collaborative effort/shared data (x2)	GMPHP resources such as transportation	Worked well – collected large amount of data leading to CHIP (x2)	CHIP – the priority groups had some vigorous members that accomplished many goals, others were not as committed	Permitted access to focus groups. Permitted to participate in focus groups	Narrow down focus group areas	CHIP – used the report to justify prevention of childhood obesity program for grant applications
CHIP – county wide involvement, good process to get to goals	Mental health group creating mental health directory	We were able to do comparative analysis between county and Trenton City	Was centralized. Kept things limited and focused	Well-coordinated. Was some time ago	Make goals more achievable	CHIP 2016 Report - used to justify integrated treatment program for pregnant women and new mothers
Many people and organizations were involved w/ GMPHP	Worksite wellness program went well but not sure about impact	Includes transportation/cancer and previously unrepresented groups	Commitment to keep moving on schedule, time – awareness	Improved agency communication	CHIP-CHA outcomes: WIC/Cornerstone, Health Screenings, Emergency Preparedness Survey = Focus on Prevention	Process is important – thanks for mixing it up
Sharing resources	Transportation grant	Good CHIP - Refocus us on issues, data	Target to measure	Increased awareness	Full day prioritizing meeting	I wasn't part of the development of the plan so I can't speak to the process. The plan looked good.
		Data paralleled the state (x2)	CHIP process good. Focus on problems urgent but no panic. CHA = good focus on data		Report to the community	Helped break down silos
		Identifying partners				Carol is a plus

## For our 2015-2018 Community Health Improvement Plan Implementation, what didn't go so well?

Data	Awareness	Reporting	Tracking	Suggestions	General Comments
Lack of drill down data for specific towns	Not familiar or confused with CHA/CHIP (x7)	Have a "website" page for tracking accomplishments or reporting the data	Too many goals (x3)	List accomplishments a report requirement	CHA – I was less familiar with the process than I am in 2018. Therefore, I was not as "invested" in pushing it out.
Needed more external participation	Partners were not aware of results of CHIP	I'm not aware of outcomes so far	Partners didn't assist with implementation	Keeping partners engaged in the process	There has to be value to the meetings
Survey was too long; many people refused to participate especially if language skill were low			Transportation is such a large issues that it is so overwhelming to make progress in a meaningful way. Maybe address the issue depending on the town. Smaller groups within a specific identified problem	Municipality specific selection of strategies and goals. Not every place can do or wants the same – tie back to focus groups and surveys per town	"Not Working" Barriers to Health = example: no rain cover over the Penn/Princeton Emergency Room Entrance Exit
Lofty goals but difficult to measure			The CHA could have been more widely distributed to many different groups		Not actively driving change at Health Systems
Unrepresented portions of mercer county			CHIP implementation tracking. Where is it?		Funding for implementation not available
Last minute collection of surveys	Can't remember data	Some problems (transportation for example) are so large it's hard to see improvement	Limited number of surveys	Problem = no opportunity to focus on design fail, design problems that affect public health	Healthymercer.org always seemed to be "under revision" – hard to use

Greater Mercer Public Health Partnership  
 SWOT Analysis Based on April 25, 2018 Community World Café Community Engagement Exercise

Strengths	Weaknesses
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• CAB member interest in learning about community health needs</li> <li>• Collaboration between community partners</li> <li>• Member commitment/involvement</li> <li>• Shared resources</li> <li>• Using CHIP to apply for grants</li> <li>• Passion to support the underserved</li> <li>• Members want to be actively engaged and make a difference</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Unidentified unrepresented populations</li> <li>• Built environment (healthy/green spaces)</li> <li>• Access to healthy affordable foods especially for underserved</li> <li>• Lack of access to affordable transportation</li> <li>• Significant health inequities by zip code</li> <li>• Document meetings and conversations with GMPHP leadership</li> <li>• Limited internal quality improvement</li> <li>• Objectives weren't SMART</li> <li>• Collect more localized data (vulnerable populations by priority area)</li> </ul>
Opportunities	Threats
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Conduct focus groups Identify health inequities experienced by vulnerable populations</li> <li>• Collect mental health and substance abuse data to address mental health needs</li> <li>• Professional development training (ex: Lean Six Sigma QI Trainings)</li> <li>• Work with Priority Area Leaders to develop SMART objectives</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Lack of awareness of GMPHP's CHA/CHIP process among new members</li> <li>• Lack of funding for local data collection</li> <li>• Funding for programs, marketing and resources</li> <li>• Gaps in accountability in CHIP Implementation</li> </ul>

6:50 Wrap up/Next Steps:

- Here is a video about the event: <https://youtu.be/DfOSCwWTbbE>
- Please complete the survey, and share the survey link: <http://www.healthymercer.org/>
- Join us for the Community Health Assessment Presentation this summer, and a Community Health Improvement Planning forum in September.

*Thank you*

**APPENDIX F: DISCHARGES AND POPULATION 18-64 FOR AMBULATORY CARE SENSITIVE CONDITIONS**

ACSC Discharges from NJ Hospitals	Total ACS Discharges	ANGINA	ASTHMA	BACTERIAL PNEUMONIA	CELLULITIS	CONGESTIVE HEART FAILURE	CONVULSION	COPD	DEHYDRATION	DENTAL CONDITIONS	DIABETES	ENT
<b>ALL RACES</b>												
Statewide	55,565	603	3,780	6,170	6,230	5,260	963	6,355	2,923	761	7,624	533
RWJHAM PSA	2,481	17	135	308	307	235	41	278	82	39	399	24
<b>WHITE</b>												
Statewide	27,668	276	1,289	3,316	4,150	2,014	528	3,729	1,469	379	3,271	237
RWJHAM PSA	977	4	40	128	191	73	18	126	39	23	104	5
<b>BLACK</b>												
Statewide	15,535	160	1,363	1,578	892	2,180	242	1,792	740	186	2,603	134
RWJHAM PSA	1,110	11	78	133	68	129	18	126	23	10	248	11

ACSC Discharges from NJ Hospitals	Total ACS Discharges	GASTRO-INTESTINAL OBSTRUCTION	GRAND MAL STATUS/OTHER EPILEPTIC CONVULSION	HYPERTENSION	HYPOGLYCEMIA	IMMUNIZATION RELATED PREVENTABLE	KIDNEY/URINARY INFECTION	NUTRITION DEFICIENCIES (til 12/14 DSCHG)	OTHER TUBERCULOSIS	PELVIC INFLAMMATORY DISEASE	PULMONARY TUBERCULOSIS	SKIN GRAFTS W CELLULITIS
<b>ALL RACES</b>												
Statewide	55,565	1,936	4,534	994	60	8	4,164	2,068	33	359	73	134
RWJHAM PSA	2,481	81	195	45	3		190	71	3	19	5	4
<b>WHITE</b>												
Statewide	27,668	969	2,226	346	25	3	2,051	1,203	4	110	6	67
RWJHAM PSA	977	29	74	5	1		82	26	1	4	1	3
<b>BLACK</b>												
Statewide	15,535	437	1,293	427	26	2	841	462	10	118	16	33
RWJHAM PSA	1,110	29	91	32	2		57	30	2	9	2	1

Population Source: Claritas Inc via New Solutions

ACSC 2016 Discharge Rate per 1,000 population	Est 2016 Population 18-64	Total ACS Discharges	ANGINA	ASTHMA	BACTERIAL PNEUMONIA	CELLULITIS	CONGESTIVE HEART FAILURE	CONVULSION	COPD	DEHYDRATION	DENTAL CONDITIONS	DIABETES	ENT
<b>ALL RACES</b>													
Statewide	5,610,651	9.903	0.107	0.674	1.100	1.110	0.938	0.172	1.133	0.521	0.136	1.359	0.095
RWJHAM PSA	193,337	12.833	0.088	0.698	1.593	1.588	1.215	0.212	1.438	0.424	0.202	2.064	0.124
Variance from Statewide		2.929	(0.020)	0.025	0.493	0.478	0.278	0.040	0.305	(0.097)	0.066	0.705	0.029
<b>WHITE</b>													
Statewide	3,657,780	7.564	0.075	0.352	0.907	1.135	0.551	0.144	1.019	0.402	0.104	0.894	0.065
RWJHAM PSA	112,111	8.715	0.036	0.357	1.142	1.704	0.651	0.161	1.124	0.348	0.205	0.928	0.045
Variance from Statewide		1.150	(0.040)	0.004	0.235	0.569	0.101	0.016	0.104	(0.054)	0.102	0.033	(0.020)
<b>BLACK</b>													
Statewide	783,378	19.831	0.204	1.740	2.014	1.139	2.783	0.309	2.288	0.945	0.237	3.323	0.171
RWJHAM PSA	44,341	25.033	0.248	1.759	2.999	1.534	2.909	0.406	2.842	0.519	0.226	5.593	0.248
Variance from Statewide		5.202	0.044	0.019	0.985	0.395	0.126	0.097	0.554	(0.426)	(0.012)	2.270	0.077
<b>Variance Black from White</b>													
Statewide		12.27	0.13	1.39	1.11	0.00	2.23	0.16	1.27	0.54	0.13	2.43	0.11
PSA		16.32	0.21	1.40	1.86	-0.17	2.26	0.25	1.72	0.17	0.02	4.67	0.20
<b>Est Admissions Statewide</b>		<b>9609.41</b>	<b>100.89</b>	<b>1086.94</b>	<b>867.82</b>	<b>3.20</b>	<b>1748.67</b>	<b>128.92</b>	<b>993.37</b>	<b>425.39</b>	<b>104.83</b>	<b>1902.46</b>	<b>83.24</b>
Est Admissions PSA		723.59	9.42	62.18	82.37	-7.54	100.13	10.88	76.17	7.58	0.90	206.87	9.02

(Table continues)

ACSC 2016 Discharge Rate per 1,000 population	Est 2016 Population 18-64	Total ACS Discharges	GASTRO-INSTESTINAL OBSTRUCTION	GRAND MAL STATUS/OTHER EPILEPTIC CONVULSION	HYPERTENSION	HYPOGLYCEMIA	IMMUNIZATION RELATED PREVENTABLE	KIDNEY/URINARY INFECTION	NUTRITION DEFICIENCIES (til 12/14 DSCHG)	OTHER TUBERCULOSIS	PELVIC INFLAMMATORY DISEASE	PULMONARY TUBERCULOSIS	SKIN GRAFTS W CELLULITIS
<b>ALL RACES</b>													
Statewide	5,610,651	9.903	0.345	0.808	0.177	0.011	0.001	0.742	0.369	0.006	0.064	0.013	0.024
RWJHAM PSA	193,337	12.833	0.419	1.009	0.233	0.016	0.000	0.983	0.367	0.016	0.098	0.026	0.021
Variance from Statewide		2.929	0.074	0.200	0.056	0.005	(0.001)	0.241	(0.001)	0.010	0.034	0.013	(0.003)
<b>WHITE</b>													
Statewide	3,657,780	7.564	0.265	0.609	0.095	0.007	0.001	0.561	0.329	0.001	0.030	0.002	0.018
RWJHAM PSA	112,111	8.715	0.259	0.660	0.045	0.009	0.000	0.731	0.232	0.009	0.036	0.009	0.027
Variance from Statewide		1.150	(0.006)	0.051	(0.050)	0.002	(0.001)	0.171	(0.097)	0.008	0.006	0.007	0.008
<b>BLACK</b>													
Statewide	783,378	19.831	0.558	1.651	0.545	0.033	0.003	1.074	0.590	0.013	0.151	0.020	0.042
RWJHAM PSA	44,341	25.033	0.654	2.052	0.722	0.045	0.000	1.285	0.677	0.045	0.203	0.045	0.023
Variance from Statewide		5.202	0.096	0.402	0.177	0.012	(0.003)	0.212	0.087	0.032	0.052	0.025	(0.020)
<b>Variance Black from White</b>													
Statewide		12.27	0.29	1.04	0.45	0.03	0.00	0.51	0.26	0.01	0.12	0.02	0.02
PSA		16.32	0.40	1.39	0.68	0.04	0.00	0.55	0.44	0.04	0.17	0.04	0.00
<b>Est Admissions Statewide</b>		<b>9609.41</b>	<b>229.47</b>	<b>816.26</b>	<b>352.90</b>	<b>20.65</b>	<b>1.36</b>	<b>401.74</b>	<b>204.36</b>	<b>9.14</b>	<b>94.44</b>	<b>14.71</b>	<b>18.65</b>
Est Admissions PSA		723.59	17.53	61.73	30.02	1.60	0.00	24.57	19.72	1.60	7.42	1.60	-0.19

Population Source: Claritas Inc via New Solutions